```
0166
 1
                   SOUTHEAST ALASKA SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
                            VOLUME II
 7
 8
 9
10
                          TELECONFERENCE
11
                          March 23, 2022
12
                            9:05 a.m.
13
14
15
16
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
17
18
   Donald Hernandez, Chair
19 Calvin Casipit
20
   Michael Douville
    Albert Howard
21
22
    Ian Johnson
23
   Harvey Kitka
24
    Cathy Needham
25
    Patricia Phillips
26
   Robert Schroeder
    James Slater
27
28
    John Smith
29
    Louie Wagner
30
    Frank Wright
31
32
33
34
    Regional Council Coordinator, DeAnna Perry
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
    Recorded and transcribed by:
43
44
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
45
    135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2
46
    Anchorage, AK 99501
47
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
48
49
50
```

0167	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Teleconference - 03/23/2022)
4	
5	(On record)
6	
7	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Frank, if you're
8	there we need to run through roll call and see if we
9	have a quorum. Frank, if you're there, could you do
10	that please.
11 12	MD MDTCHE. Youh alter
13	MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, okay.
14	Cathy Needham.
15	Cathy Needham.
16	MS. NEEDHAM: I'm here.
17	MO. MEEDMAM. I M METE.
18	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you, Cathy.
19	int. mileni. onaj, enam jou, ouenj.
20	John Smith.
21	
22	MR. SMITH: Here.
23	
24	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, John.
25	- · ·
26	Harvey Kitka.
27	
28	MR. KITKA: Here.
29	
30	MR. WRIGHT: Okay.
31	
32	Louie Wagner.
33	
34	MR. WAGNER: Here.
35	MDHDTCHEmb
36	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
37 38	Datricia Dhilling
39	Patricia Phillips.
40	MS. PERRY: Mr. Secretary. Patty did
41	text me this morning and she will be joining us a
42	little bit later this morning, she has an appointment.
43	Thank you.
44	
45	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you.
46	
47	Mr. Hernandez, I know you're there.
48	•
49	Albert Howard.
50	

```
0168
 1
                    (No comments)
 2
 3
                     MR. WRIGHT: Albert Howard.
 4
 5
                     MR. HOWARD: Good morning, Frank, I'm
 6
    here.
 7
 8
                     MR. WRIGHT: All right, thank you,
9
    Albert.
10
11
                     Mr. Schroeder.
12
13
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Good morning, Frank.
14
    Bob's here.
15
16
                     MR. WRIGHT: Good morning.
17
18
                     James Slater is probably on his way
19
    over to Pelican.
20
21
                     (No comments)
22
23
                     MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.
24
25
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Michael Douville is
26
     here, good morning.
27
28
                     MR. WRIGHT: Good morning.
29
30
                     Cal Casipit.
31
32
                     MR. CASIPIT: Good morning, I'm here.
33
34
                     MR. WRIGHT: Good morning.
35
36
                     Ian Johnson.
37
38
                     MR. JOHNSON: Good morning, I'm here.
39
40
                     MR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Ian.
41
42
                     Mr. Chair, we have a quorum.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
45
     Frank.
46
47
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So this morning
50
```

0169 1 we're going to pick up where we left off yesterday afternoon with old business after we call for any public testimony, public or tribal testimony on items not necessarily on the agenda, non-agenda items. know we had had some interest from Salmon Without 5 Borders to testify and I think Seak wanted to testify. 6 7 I don't know if either of those groups are on the line this morning and are ready to go but it's also an opportunity for any member of the public who has called 10 in and would like to testify or tribal organizations 11 who would like to testify. 12 13 So if I could hear some shout outs of 14 who is online and wants to testify and then we can 15 establish an order of who will testify first and go 16 from there. 17 18 (No comments) 19 20 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Maybe, DeAnna, 21 I'll turn to you, have you heard from anybody that was 22 wanting to testify this morning that maybe just hasn't 23 called in yet. 24 25 MS. PERRY: No. I did get a 26 confirmation Salmon Beyond Borders would be available 27 Wednesday morning so maybe they plan on joining us a 28 little bit later. I think I mentioned between 9:00 and 29 10:00 is when we usually get to that, it's one of the 30 first things. But, yeah, they might not have joined us 31 yet. I can reach out and see if they intend on joining 32 us soon. 33 34 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. If you 35

could do that quickly. In the meantime I'll wait and see if there's anybody else on the line who would like to testify this morning.

37 38 39

36

REPORTER: Hi, Don, this is Tina. I don't want to testify.

40 41 42

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Hi, Tina.

43 44

REPORTER: Hi.

45 46

(Laughter)

47 48

REPORTER: But I do want to say, everybody -- I know we keep saying to check your device

```
0170
 1
     and I really hope people will stop right this second,
     look at your device, make sure you're on mute because
     that echo does come from phones unmuted. I mean I can
     only say that from experience that the echo is from
 5
     unmuted phone lines. So if everyone can try to do that
 6
     before we start public testimony it would be
 7
     appreciated.
 8
 9
                     Thanks. Sorry, go ahead Don.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thanks
12
     again, Tina.
13
14
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, John Smith.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, I heard
17
     somebody.
18
19
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, it was me, John Smith.
20
     I just wanted to let you know I talked to Richard
21
     Petersen the other day and they said that Ray Paddock
22
     and Marco were scheduled to come in on Thursday so
23
     that's tomorrow. So just to let you know that I see
     his name on the schedule. But also just to let you --
24
25
     I hear the echo almost every time everybody talks so I
26
     think it's just coming from your system but that's just
27
     a point of view.
28
29
                     Happy Day.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you,
32
     John. Yes, I do hear the echo in the background, I
33
     hope it's not coming from my phone, I'm obviously not
34
     muted I'm talking but, I don't know.
35
36
                     REPORTER: Okay, this is Tina. So, no,
37
     it's not coming from your line, Don. It comes from
     many lines being unmuted. I'm not saying it's the
38
39
     Council necessarily, I mean there's many, many people
     on this call. So I don't want to imply that it's
40
41
     Council members only. There's lots of Staff and
42
     various people, but there are unmuted lines. I mean I
43
     have checked with the Operator, she said there's lots
44
     of unmuted lines. So when I make that comment, I'm
     really making it to everybody that's attending this,
45
46
     you know, conference, not just the Council members. So
47
     please know that, thanks.
48
49
                     MS. PERRY: And this is DeAnna, and I
```

just wanted to remind folks, I did find out a lot of Staff use headphones and apparently when you take your microphone and you flip it off so it's kind of in the same direction with your headphones, straight up your head, that automatically puts you on mute, that may or may not show with the operator whether you're muted but if you are with us and on a headset just remember to go ahead and flip that up to make sure that you mute yourself. I guess if continue with this, Tina, maybe we will have to have the Operator just mute all lines and then we'll have to remind folks to star, six to open up the line because we're not going to be able to continue like this.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I don't know if that problem is going to get resolved right away. DeAnna, have you heard back from Salmon Beyond Borders.

MS. PERRY: Not yet, Mr. Chair. Would you like to take maybe a five minute break and we can go ahead and take care of the phone lines on our end and then come back on. Everybody will be muted. Then, Don, you will have to star, six to unmute yourself and start the meeting back.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, yeah, go ahead and get that message out and we'll take a five minute break and we'll come back at 9:15.

REPORTER: Okay, this is Tina. So, Don, I'm just going to say -- I don't know if this is going to apply at this meeting, we have had to do that at other RAC meetings and I have been told that sometimes you have to star, six twice to get your phone unmuted when we go to an all mute line. I'm just putting that out there ahead of time in case when you do star, six, if you don't come back. I will let you know as soon as I hear you.

MS. PERRY: Thank you, Tina.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sorry, I said okay -- I had my phone muted but, okay, I'll remember that, thanks Tina.

REPORTER: Yep, okay, we'll try that.

(Off record)

```
0172
 1
                     (On record)
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Can you hear me
 4
    now?
 5
 6
                     REPORTER:
                               Yes.
 7
 8
                     MS. PERRY: Loud and clear, no echo.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Hopefully all the
11
    Council members are back with us and like I say,
     everyone is muted so if you want to say something you
12
13
     need to hit star, six. And, DeAnna, back to public
14
     testimony. Do we have anybody on deck.
15
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, I haven't heard
16
17
    back from Salmon Beyond Borders and I haven't heard
18
    from anyone else who would like to testify this
19
    morning. I do know that we have Seak for tomorrow as
20
    well as was mentioned during agency reports Central
21
    Council, Tlingit and Haida, they'll give an agency
    report. I think that was mentioned earlier. But,
22
23
     yeah, I guess we could call once again, Mr. Chair, if
24
     there's anyone online who would like to give public
25
     testimony on non-agenda items at this time just press
26
     star, six to open your line and call for the Chair.
27
28
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
31
32
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
33
    did receive a text from Joel Jackson. I don't know
34
     that he's on the line yet and I can respond to him but
35
     I think he might have wanted to come back in and say a
36
    few words.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. If you
39
     could do that that would be good. We can wait for a
40
     few minutes but I want to get going and proceed with
41
     the other items on the agenda.
42
43
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
46
47
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Jackson did respond
48
     and I think he's going to try tomorrow morning.
49
```

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. Well, let's move ahead on the agenda then. Next item up was report from the Indigenous Management Working Group and I do want to check and see on some logistics here. We put together sort of a preliminary position statement and, DeAnna, I don't know if that's something that has been circulated to the Council. I don't know if it's going to be put up on the Teams, or how do we go about that, logistically.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, I believe Mr. Schroeder had distributed that to the working group and the working group was maybe going to fine-tune that and bring a statement back to the Council for all to vote on. So I guess I would reach out to Bob and see if that's ready to go or if we need to set up another break out group for them to fine-tune that. So, yeah, I would check in with Bob. We talked before the meeting and I think that was the plan so I'll just ask Bob if we need to do that at this time.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, and while we're waiting for Bob, I do want to know like how will that be distributed to the rest of the Council when it's ready to go.

MS. PERRY: Well, what Bob had before, his original email was a lot of notes and not really anything in proper form so we were distributing -- or he was distributing that to the work group, that, again -- I think -- when we look at distributing information there is this requirement to have all documents that are distributed to the Council members have to be made public and, with that, there's an accessibility requirement, it's known as .508 compliance. So this is something that has come up in the last couple of years and the agency is really starting to enforce that. So the days of us just blasting out a bunch of emails are coming to an end because anything that gets distributed to the entire Council has to be made public. And it's my understanding, and Bob can correct me if I'm wrong, but his document was really just for some working purposes and it wasn't meant to go out to everyone. So Bob....

MR. SCHROEDER: Okay.

0174 1 MS. PERRY:I know you..... 2 3 MR. SCHROEDER: Am I on here now? 4 5 MS. PERRY: Yeah, we can hear you now, 6 thanks. 7 8 MR. SCHROEDER: Okay, thanks. I had to 9 train myself on star, six. Yeah, what we had is just 10 kind of like working notes and those were sent around 11 to the people who were interested in the co-management 12 concept and what we were up to. And about 10 minutes 13 ago I sent these draft notes to the remaining Council 14 members as well. These aren't really public documents, 15 they're things that are in process. 16 17 If I could suggest, Don, do we have 18 time to spend -- can we spend a fair amount of time on 19 that this morning? 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't quite 22 follow you. 23 24 MR. SCHROEDER: Well, what I'd..... 25 26 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do you mean the 27 working group needs to break out? 28 29 MR. SCHROEDER: No, I'd like some -- I 30 think it would be good to have Council discussion just 31 to see if we're going in the right direction. And 32 perhaps you could initiate because it was your idea to 33 have a working group that would look at co-management 34 and perhaps you could just go over the number of 35 meetings that have been, that we've attended and who 36 have participated. I think your memory on that is 37 probably better than mine. 38 39 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. But I 40 guess my question is, I mean we have kind of this 41 working document that we've been working on and at some 42 point we want to get feedback from the entire Council, 43 how do we do that if we can't distribute that to the 44 Council; I'm confused here. 45 46 MR. SCHROEDER: Well, what I think, 47 and, you know, we're kind of -- this is kind of feeling 48 our way of remote meetings but on other things that 49 have been pretty complicated we haven't attempted to do

final drafts online or during our meeting, what we've -- say when we were dealing with Roadless, which had very detailed and involved comments, what we did there was we discussed in the Council key points and had the Council say, yes, we -- we believe that this represents the Council's view and then we had a drafting committee to make things more presentable following the intention of the Council. Since there are a lot of things going on with co-management I'd suggest that that would be a good way of going and if we spend a little time on that this morning we could see if we have a need for a break out group during this meeting. We've already had a number of people who have weighed in. Patty, who's provided some comments, Ian has provided some additional things that should go in the draft and I think Albert probably has some ideas as well.

So if that's acceptable to you, then what we'd do is kind of run through this morning, why -- what -- what's been happening with the co-management group and I'll possibly talk about the key points that we may want to put in a document that comes out of the Regional Council.

 $$\operatorname{So}$ is that a reasonable how to proceed $\operatorname{Mr.}$ Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, it's reasonable if you want to rely -- I mean my point of view is, you know, we have this working document, you know, the working group put together a number of ideas, as you say, we want to include what would be a final document that would be approved by the Council, yet, we can't really distribute that to the Council, I guess we're just supposed to give them a synopsis verbally of what we've been doing and then write it up and, I don't know, when does it come back to the whole Council to see.....

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:I don't know -- DeAnna. It sounds a little unworkable.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, DeAnna, I hear you.

MS. PERRY: Okay.

2 3

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.

4 5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. PERRY: If I could make a suggestion. Bob had mentioned talking a little bit about the history, how about I just give a brief overview of that. The document -- I don't have the latest document and I don't have any of these comments that Bob's talking about, I'm not in that email trail, I guess, so I guess it would be possible that the original document that Bob had sent to the work group, it looks like it has 15 points. So I think an orderly way to go about this, if you don't want to do a break out group, would be to take each one of these points -one of us can read what this is and then get the Council's feedback on that. It's my understanding that the working group wants to put together a letter possibly to the Federal Subsistence Board and the Forest Service concerning indigenous management of Southeast Alaska fish, wildlife, plants and other natural resources.

22 23 24

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right.

25 26

MS. PERRY: So do you want me to start off that way.

27 28 29

30

31

32

33

34

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, I just want the Council -- the whole Council to know, you know, what our thoughts are on this and I guess, you know, preferably they'd be able to read our working document and comment on it and see if, you know, they wanted to add anything or make some suggestions. Give them a chance to look over what we've done.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

I mean, you know, I know eventually it's probably going to be finalized, you know, not at the meeting directly but, you know, we still want their thoughts on this. And I guess I was kind of hoping at some point in the process they'd at least be able to have a written document in front of them to look over and not just have us talking about it.

43 44 45

46

47

48

MS. PERRY: So, Bob, it sounds like you did send this -- it sounds like Bob sent out the latest to the Council, I don't have that, so if Bob has already sent it out to the Council then it sounds like the Council has it. The Council.....

```
0177
 1
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, that -- that's --
     that's correct. Let's see am I on right now or not, I
 2
     don't know what's happening.
 4
 5
                     REPORTER: You have been unmuted.
 6
 7
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, we....
 8
 9
                     MR. SCHROEDER: So then I want to....
10
11
                     REPORTER: You've been unmuted the
12
     whole time, Bob.
13
14
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Okay, great.
                                                   Then....
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, then....
17
18
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Okay. Then DeAnna's
19
     suggestion is pretty good and -- and if we had a --
20
     really the state we're in -- or where the group is, is
21
     we have like a bunch of -- we have notes, and as DeAnna
22
     pointed out, they're organized into 15 points and
23
     they're just -- they're just working notes so we don't
24
     have a document that is -- is ready for prime time in
25
     any way and so I think DeAnna's suggestion, Don, would
26
    be pretty good to just let the -- we have a fair number
27
     of new Council members on and they need the background
28
     of why we're even talking about this and what the
29
     history of this is. And then I think we could be
30
     pretty efficient in going through if we -- if the 15
31
     points that -- or 15 items that are included in the
32
     notes, along with useful additions from Ian, Patty and
33
     the other people who are a part of this group. So maybe
34
     we could just -- at the will of the Chair we could just
35
     do this and then see how -- how much we can accomplish
36
     efficiently.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, we'll
39
    proceed....
40
41
                     MR. SCHROEDER: So, DeAnna, can....
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....like that.
44
45
                     MR. SCHROEDER: .....you go over kind
46
     of the -- the history of when we started having a co-
47
     management group and why the -- the Council decided to
48
     do that.
49
```

MS. PERRY: Yeah, I have a quick history. This indigenous management, or co-management work group was formed in our winter 2021 meeting. It was created so the Council could stay in touch with what's happening with groups and tribes as they make efforts to pursue indigenous management of resources.

We have actually had five meetings so far. We started in April of 2021 and our last meeting was just this past February, February 23rd. This is compromised of Council Members Don Hernandez, Albert Howard, Bob Schroeder, Ian Johnson and I think Harvey as well has maybe attended a meeting. And we've also invited folks from different tribal organizations. So I know Ray Paddock and Marco Banda has been with us, Wanda Culp, Rebekah Sawyers from WECAN, Osprey Lake, also from WECAN. So there's been several different folks who have joined these Council members in talking about the issues and some of the efforts that they would like to make.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MS. PERRY: There's been a lot of -- so I think Central Council has helped out WECAN with a few things -- so, yeah, and I think what we had hoped is that we would come with a report out to the Council but it looks like that's still in the works so hopefully we can fine-tune a letter to the Board, but, yeah, that's basically the background. Is that what you were thinking of Bob?

MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, that -- that's really good and (coughing), excuse me -- yeah, I think that's a really good introduction. And that could lead to the first point that is circulated around is that this discussing in whatever we would write up, why we're doing this endeavor and why we're engaged in this.

And in thinking about it is very much the way DeAnna put forth, that over the last couple of years, before our winter meeting, we heard about quite a bit of interest in co-management and indigenous management activities in Southeast Alaska and noted that groups came before us, both tribes, the Guardians Program, and WECAN and perhaps other groups really saying that this -- this was something they were

interested in and were pursuing independent of what the Council may wish to do or support.

Now, quite clearly WECAN and the Guardians have looked to us both to provide us information on their activities and to see if we support what they're up to.

 I see this -- when I thought about it is the really part of what our ANILCA Regional Advisory Council obligations are. One thing that the Council does is it hears from people in all the communities and we have basically almost an open mic time for people to come and tell us what they're concerned about and part of our obligation is to listen carefully to -- so that we know what's going on in our region and to forward things on to the Federal government through Forest Service and through OSM.

 So that's a bit of the reason for why we even addressed this. And if Ian -- Ian's on there, I'd like him to weigh in because he -- he's thought about this quite a bit and sent a few notes on to -- on to the group. Ian, are you with us right now.

MR. JOHNSON: I am, yep. Yeah, so it's -- yeah, definitely the engagement from the groups that -- the discussions we've had have been good, you know, I know working through Hoonah Indian Association -just taking off my RAC member hat really quick and putting that hat on, I guess, you know, we definitely have worked towards co-management topics and -- and so I think -- then sorry I'll put my RAC hat back on -but, you know, certainly we talk a lot about, you know, obviously through ANILCA we're like charged with ensuring subsistence and increasing opportunity and I think we can more actively do that through promoting this co-management structure. I think what Bob is saying in regards to it being heard from community members is a -- community and tribal members is a common theme that can help bridge a lot of the gaps that exist. And so I know some of the feedback that I've recently given back to Bob is just that I think the opportunity for co-management increases eyes on the ground, it empowers people to take more charge of their local surroundings and regulatory environment. It increases the $\operatorname{--}$ or maybe increases the efficiency of the cycle of management decisionmaking within the community and so I think, you know, we talk a lot about

that management cycle in the proposal cycle here within the RAC and meeting. I think in some ways including the middle man, you know, being the community, and I don't say middle man in like any kind of connotation that's negative, this is just the opportunity to increase our scope of community members and get them engaged in the process. It hits on a lot of the topics that have been talked about, you know, the WECAN presentation yesterday was a -- you know, Wanda and her group have been really heavily involved in the co-management discussions and the food first concept that she's introducing is -- is definitely rooted in this co-management concept structure, I think. I think she would agree with me if I threw that -- that this is a facet and part of that.

And then there's just broadly a lot of movement towards that. And the Indigenous Guardians Network, which we are going to get a broader update on that, I think from Ray and Marco tomorrow, but part of that agreement with the Forest Service is to look into this and bring movement and -- and policy towards that discussion.

So, sorry, Bob, I think I might be rambling a little bit but it....

MR. SCHROEDER: No.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ JOHNSON:I might turn it over to you to help get -- help coalesce this a little bit again.

MR. SCHROEDER: Thanks for that Ian, and, you know, we also -- other reasons for why we addressed this are -- one reason would be that we have had a very positive relationship with tribes, in particular and supporting cooperative fisheries, harvest and escapement data gathering and other activities in the region. So we have this -- this ongoing experience where tribes and communities have stepped up and really worked well with the Federal Program.

And -- and I'm kind of going a little bit out of order in our points here, but we also noted that, you know, we're now incredibly at the 32 year mark of Federal management of fish and wildlife resources in Southeast Alaska and in Alaska and -- and

when the Program got going no one believed that it would be around for very long. The idea was that unitary management would be reestablished by the State either changing its Constitution to allow to comply with ANILCA, or there would be changes to ANILCA, that hasn't happened, so we're at the 32 year mark. And I believe, and I think the group believes that this may be a time to examine the management structures that have been implemented into recommended changes to Federal management of subsistence in all realms.

And we're noting that we are working quite well with the 20 recognized tribes in our area.

We mention that we have a long track record over this time period of supporting fisheries monitoring projects in, at least, Hoonah, Kake, Hydaburg and Sitka and supported subsistence harvest surveys in most of the region's communities. SERAC worked with tribes and communities on a multi-year deer planning effort on Prince of Wales. And basically we found these cooperative monitoring and subsistence use projects to be very successful ones. They've been cost effective and have resulted in tribal capacity building. So I think we have a good deal of experience now saying, looking at what's gone on the in the past to say that, yes, this is completely feasible, it works quite well and it serves the people of our region.

Another point -- a second point that we would include -- so I'm now moving off the why question, the second point has to do with our authority to even talk about these things under ANILCA. And we've excerpted what our authority is from the statute. Perhaps I could get Patty, since she's back as our ANILCA expert, to just to say what she would see the -- how strong our authority is to our recommended implementation of -- of co-management and indigenous management structures. I don't know if Patty is on there, if not we'll get her another time.

(No comments)

MR. SCHROEDER: Patty, are you here.

(No comments)

48 MS. NEEDHAM: She may need to star,

49 six.

```
0182
 1
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Are you here.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Bob, I believe
 4
     Patty had another appointment this morning she had to
 5
     make.
 6
 7
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Am I here?
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Wait a minute,
10
     there she is.
11
12
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, sorry I didn't
13
     know I had to -- thank you Cathy for suggesting I press
14
     star, six, besides my mute button. So I just got this
15
     stuff sent to me, you know, last (indiscernible -
    breaks up) and what I did was, you know, read was sent
16
17
     to me and basically edited the document (indiscernible
18
     - breaks up) and needs, and just inserted areas, where
19
    we could, you know, beef up the document with Title
20
    VIII language and tried to stay focused on, you know,
21
    we're trying to engage and address tribal co-management
22
    in subsistence resources and provide opportunity for
23
    Alaskan Natives in the region to contribute in a
24
    meaningful way for the protection of subsistence
25
     resources. So there is, you know, we do have -- what
26
     do you call that -- authority through ANILCA and
27
     through the RAC process to, you know, to share what
28
     we've learned through this RAC process where people
29
     testify and we hear what they're saying and it's always
30
     good that -- from what we hear what they're saying that
31
     we actually take steps in the directions to help
32
     promulgate, you know, some of their desires of moving
33
     towards compacting -- or towards co-management.
34
35
                     So I guess that's all I'll add for now.
36
37
                     Thank you.
38
39
                     MR. SCHROEDER: So this could be a --
40
     just stopping at this point to see if there are -- if
41
     we have input from either other team members, I know
42
     Albert has been on most of the calls, or other Council
43
    members who -- on this idea of moving toward co-
44
    management or indigenous management structure. So
45
    perhaps we could spend a few minutes and see what other
46
     Council members are thinking at this point.
47
48
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
```

50

Albert.

```
0183
 1
                    (No comments)
 2
 3
                     MR. HOWARD: Hello.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
 6
    Albert.
 7
 8
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 9
    There's a couple of examples we've had that we can
10
    refer back to as far as co-management goes. And I've
11
    mentioned this in the past, I think there is
12
     opportunity to work with the State on managing systems
13
     if they do it right. And I've had a meeting with
14
    Member Johnson and Member Casipit and Frank Wright and,
15
     yeah, it was an opportunity I thought if we included
    Member Needham in that process, the funds that we've
16
17
    received from the Forest Service for Kupreanof deer for
18
    -- if you do that -- if you look at it right and had to
19
    manage that system and present it to the system, that
20
    would open the door for co-management opportunities, I
21
    believe, and it could be done with proper data, you
22
    know, in the same manner that Hetta's been done where
23
    the State recognizes that, yes, they do have data
24
     supporting that decision what's happening to the salmon
25
     at Hetta. But I think it's an opportunity to take a
26
     look at what Ian Johnson may be working on as far as
27
     the Kupreanof deer....
28
29
                     (Teleconference interference -
30
    participants not muted)
31
32
                     MR. HOWARD: .....go. I haven't heard
33
     anything since the first meeting.
34
35
                     So, thank you, Mr. Chair.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert.
38
     Any other Council members like to comment at this point
39
     on what Bob's been presenting.
40
41
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Ian.
44
45
                     MR. JOHNSON: Am I live.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I hear you.
48
49
                     MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I do want to
50
```

include a couple more thoughts and I can respond to Albert's stuff and give a little more context. We are doing a lot of, I guess, co-management -- things that lead into co-management things in the Hoonah area here. Mr. Stewart, in his opening remarks, talked about the Hoonah Native Forest partnership, that's a seven year multi-agency, ANCSA, NGO, tribal partnership that has, you know, done a lot. And part of what we've done is just train local people to get them involved in land management, monitoring and just projects, like in a nutshell, without going any further, that's what it's done. And coming out of the meeting last autumn, which was heavily focused on the deer in Chichagof and west Admiralty, it was evident that there was money -- there was a need for understanding better, more thoroughly the deer populations and engaging stakeholder groups and so I did submit on behalf of the tribe some money -- requested money through that process to do some of that. And so that work plan is still in formation, however, later this week after this meeting is done I'll be meeting with the Forest Service to determine how we're going to go about that but basically I think it'll have three components.

The components would be working with the State to conduct a full suite of household surveys again. Working with the State -- and I've already been in contact with several members, biologists, area biologists and others to talk about....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. JOHNSON:the population monitoring, both long-term and short-term, and so genetic mark/recapture essentially using local folks to do that and then a long-term camera study is likely. And then the last portion is to get the -- some of these stakeholder groups together. And what Mr. Howard was hoping was maybe that we focus on the north Chichagof portion but then to learn lessons from that for the west Admiralty area. So I guess that's what I'm hoping to provide for all communities, is a framework and model that may help towards this comanagement idea of deer and local folks getting involved in that.

So I'll stop there but that's -- yeah, thank you.

0185 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 2 Ian. Anybody else on the Council have anything to add on what we've been discussing so far. 4 5 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal. 8 9 MR. CASIPIT: I just wanted to take 10 this opportunity to thank the subcommittee on what 11 they've provided so far. Just the notes..... 12 13 (Teleconference interference -14 participants not muted) 15 16 MR. CASIPIT:from Bob that he 17 sent out this morning, I took a look at them and went 18 through them, I probably would have some more detailed 19 comments on this, but I would more -- more time to look 20 at it. But specific to the document and Point 11, 21 there's probably some things, and what I'd like to do 22 is ask things that can actually be done, and I know 23 some of these things in the past -- we've heard from 24 Federal attorneys that we can't do, like for instance 25 the -- the law enforcement stuff..... 26 27 (Teleconference interference -28 participants not muted) 29 30 MR. CASIPIT:apparently that's a 31 no go as far as delegating that authority to a co-32 management or something like that. I remember back in 33 the days when we were talking about that, that 34 delegating that law enforcement authority is probably 35 not going to happen. 36 37 Same with the analyze regulatory 38 proposals. Maybe we can rewrite that to talking about 39 how to engage the public in that, but, you know, I --40 there's just some editing that could be done to this 41 that would improve it. 42 43 (Teleconference interference -44 participants not muted) 45 46 MR. CASIPIT: Like I said, I'd rather 47 us ask for things that can be done than ask for things 48 that, by law, are just not going to happen.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. CASIPIT: Anyways, that's my initial thoughts on this. I'd like to have some more time to focus in more detail and think about it in deeper terms but, you know, just on the brief through first read, you know, that's my thought, that's a great start.

REPORTER: Wait a second Cal. Cal.

MR. CASIPIT: Yes.

REPORTER: Yeah, this is Tina. So I'm not getting on the recording what you're saying, it's very difficult. Whoever is shuffling their papers and all the people that may have spoken since we came back on, you need to remute yourself after you're done speaking. I know that it's an open conversation but we really do need to star, six in between when you are speaking because Cal's coming in very choppy, and from Ian. So if everybody could please mute, star, six unless you are the speaker. So right now only Cal should be unmuted. I really appreciate that and I think everybody else would so they can hear.

Thank you.

Go ahead, Cal.

MR. CASIPIT: Well, I'm sorry, Tina, but I'll just summarize it again and hopefully you will hear me.

I just wanted to compliment on putting this together. Unfortunately since it came this morning I haven't had a chance to go through it in much detail. I would like some more time to review this and develop some more in-depth comments and think about these a little deeper because this really needs to have some thought put into it.

And then I had a specific thing about Point 11, where there's some things we're requesting, basically contracted out, and I think law enforcement is one of those things that we've heard in the past is probably a no go. And I just want to be sure that what we're asking for is doable and is allowable by law.

```
0187
 1
                     That's it.
 2
 3
                     REPORTER: Thanks, Cal.
 4
 5
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Mr. Chair, if I'm --
 6
     can I get back on here.
 7
 8
                     REPORTER: You've always been on here
 9
     Bob.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, go ahead,
12
     Bob.
13
14
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Thanks much for the
15
     comments, Cal. And, you know, if we had a live meeting
     we'd all have an evening session and be able to hash
16
17
     things out a little more efficiently but I think we'll
18
    be able to get there.
19
20
                     Another part of the notes that are in
21
     there which is a little bit on the why but it also has
22
     something to do with the how, specifically we're
23
     talking about co-management and indigenous management.
24
     Those are the things that have been put forth by the
25
     groups that have come before and we'll be hearing more
26
     about that when Ray Paddock is on tomorrow representing
27
     the Guardians. But there is background in here talking
     -- gosh, I'm getting some background here too -- is
28
29
    this coming through okay Tina.
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
33
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Tina, is this
34
    acceptable?
35
36
                     REPORTER: Are you talking to me?
37
38
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yes. Are you getting a
39
     reasonable feed.
40
41
                     REPORTER: I could hear you. So the
42
    problem, Bob, is there's an echo, that means that
43
    people are not muted.
44
45
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Okay.
46
47
                     REPORTER: But I could hear you. When
48
     Cal was talking it was cutting out and so that's why I
    broke in. Really, it's only the speaker that should be
49
50
```

1 unmuted.

MR. SCHROEDER: Okay. Well, let's again -- let's do our good for the order and mute your phone if you're not talking.

But some other parts of the -- this is kind of the why and how. Another part of the why is that the Regional Advisory Council, the suggested wording is recognizes that lands and waters of Southeast Alaska were at once Aan'ni or Native lands, and that this change of Tlingit territory, Haida and Tsimshian territory may be something that needs to be addressed. Also in a note is that there's a great deal of specificity concerning the traditional law for knowing which clan and which kwaan has authority over particular pieces of land, as, I think, perhaps Wanda mentioned in her presentation yesterday, clans and kwaans know their territories quite well. These are things that still exist. So these are very wise concepts. And, of course, these boundaries, clan and kwaan boundaries were verified post-war by Goldschdmitt and Haas report and then in recent years most tribes have reviewed their traditional land ownership and created new maps that delineate clan and kwaan territory. So what this says is that we're not talking about something very vague about indigenous management or co-management, but our likely direction would be to include the traditionally owned portions of Southeast Alaska and the management of those areas.

So that's another point in there, in both the how and the why.

Cal spoke of -- and I'm sorry I couldn't circulate this draft earlier. He spoke to 11 which were things pointed at subsistence management and Forest Service, and that point deals with co-management agreements concerning with subsistence research and planning as well as active subsistence management. It'll be great working with Cal to get wording on that better.

Point 12 falls on Forest Service and Park Service, which are the land management agencies in our region to enter into cooperative co-management agreements with our region's tribes on all matters concerning land management of traditional lands. This could include authority to preserve, prepare, review

materials for Federal plans or land use actions, to perform data gathering on Forest resources, to assess and implement restoration activities and to enable tribes to become effective guardians of public land resources. And there's an immediate suggestion that both agencies should recruit qualified tribal residents into their internship programs and to grow a new generation of natural resource managers.

So there are basically two thrusts to our ask. Our ask would include a very close to subsistence research planning and subsistence management. Those are things that are very close to what the Regional Advisory Council looks after.

The Regional Advisory Council's also been very involved in land management and commenting on land management plans and we're saying quite a bit of that activity could go to indigenous communities through cooperative management agreements.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. SCHROEDER: And one other thing that -- the suggestion -- and -- and I know we're kind of bouncing around here, which is, in addition to the echo, is particularly frustrating -- we -- the suggestion is that we would be writing to Forest Service and to OSM concerning these matters and that we would also circulate a copy of our policy to other Regional Advisory Councils who may also be interested in co-management activities.

 $$\operatorname{So}, \operatorname{Mr}.$ Chair, what further direction should we take here at this point.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, I think it's a good time to maybe see if there's any other comments from Council members about what you've just relayed to us on these last points. Maybe take a pause here and see if anybody wants to weigh in here with their thoughts.

(Pause)

```
0190
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, somebody may
 2
    want to chime.....
 3
 4
                     MS. PHILLIPS: This is Patty Phillips.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty.
 7
 8
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 9
     (Indiscernible - breaking up) respond about how certain
10
    things are not possible and it could be changes to
11
     regulations could be in order. For example, the
12
    halibut -- subsistence halibut and (indiscernible -
13
    breaking up) rural citizens of Alaska, that had to go
14
    through a regulatory process for it to go into
15
    place....
16
17
                     (Teleconference interference -
18
    participants not muted)
19
20
                     MS. PHILLIPS:
                                   ....and I know that's
21
    not a RAC process, but it's an example of how
22
     regulatory changes can be made, and it is a very slow
23
    process but if there's recommendations to go in that
24
     direction then we can support those.
25
26
                     So that's my comment, thank you.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
29
     Patty. The echo was a little strong in the background
30
     for you. But you were referencing process outside of
31
     our Regional Advisory Council process, could you say
32
     again what that was.
33
34
                     (Teleconference interference -
35
    participants not muted)
36
37
                     MS. PHILLIPS: The subsistence halibut
38
     rural residents and tribal members were able to get a,
39
     you know, a subsistence halibut card to allow for
40
     subsistence harvest of halibut. And I'm just using
41
    that as an example in another Federal area that we
42
     could use as an example. And if we need to -- if we're
43
    trying to make recommendations on changes that will
44
    more support the -- what the tribal citizens are asking
45
    and it would fulfill a concern we often have. We don't
46
    want heavy handed law enforcement but we also want to
47
    protect our resources.
```

Thank you.

48 49

```
0191
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I heard --
 2
     I heard you fine that time, Patty, thank you.
 4
                     (Teleconference interference -
 5
    participants not muted)
 6
 7
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
 8
    Albert.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Council mem -- go
11
    ahead.
12
13
                     MR. HOWARD: This is Albert. It sounds
14
    like somebody's working in their garage or doing dishes
15
     or something so.
16
17
                     (Teleconference interference -
18
    participants not muted)
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, when the
21
    line is silent I hear more and more of that background
22
    noise and you're right, Albert, it sounds like
23
     somebody's working somewhere.
24
25
                     REPORTER: So we can alleviate that if
26
    everybody would hit star, six, so that's star, and then
27
    the six, the asterisk button and the six, or your mute
28
    button. Please.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah.
31
32
                     REPORTER: I am sorry to keep
33
    interrupting but maybe someone will hear me eventually
34
    and mute their phone.
35
36
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, we could
37
    make that statement over and over again but until the
38
    person goes by their phone they're never going to know
39
    it's them.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I know.
42
43
                     REPORTER: Yes, true.
44
45
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Mr. Chair -- Mr. Chair,
46
    maybe I have a suggestion at this point.
47
48
                     REPORTER: To mute your phone?
49
```

0192

1 (Laughter)
2
3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah.
4
5 MR. SCHROEDER: Or actuall
6 suggestions. One is I think it wouldn't
7 to prepare some key points, shorter than t

MR. SCHROEDER: Or actually I have two suggestions. One is I think it wouldn't be too hard to prepare some key points, shorter than the four pages that — that was circulated that could have Council approval tomorrow and then we could do the wordsmithing as a committee of volunteers after that time. That would give us a reasonable record in these trying circumstances. So that's suggestion one.

Suggestion 2 is, I believe it's the Council's intention to really support the co-management efforts that are already in place. We haven't heard from the Guardians Program yet but we may have a separate communication that is very specific, if we wish to support very specific things that are going on with Guardians or with WECAN or with one of the other co-management projects that are around.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}$$ those would be two actions that we would do tomorrow.

And I guess while I still have the phone here, I know you and I had discussed a number of situations where really having indigenous management might work much better than our current system. I know we talked a little bit about the Unuk River, on which the Federal Subsistence Board and our Council have spent a great deal of energy and that would be sort of a prime fishery -- it would be prime for indigenous management and we, now, fortunately have Louie Wagner on board who is our now Council expert on that fishery. There are also -- we've been -- we spend a huge amount of time on Prince of Wales wolves, and that's definitely an area -- topic area where tribal involvement in meeting with wolf trappers and presenting the program and gathering information would be particularly productive.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

 MR. SCHROEDER: But back to the two -reiterating the two suggestions would be that the
working group comes before the Council tomorrow with a
very slimmed down, not even a draft, but listing of

points that we wish to cover, and that that would go to a drafting committee after our meeting and, 2, that we are mindful of specific support for the existing comanagement activities.

4 5 6

Thanks much. That's what I got for right now. And I'm going on mute.

7 8 9

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, good.

10

(Laughter)

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, yeah, thank you Bob. I think that is how we are going to have to proceed for sure. Two separate letters might be warranted. There are some specific programs we may want to support, I agree with that. I know that the only way that we're really going to accomplish putting together any kind of a letter going to agencies is for, you know, a working group to finalize that. I just want to make sure that, you know, what we've done this morning and kind of laying out to the whole Council, you know, our ideas thus far, and what we have tentatively put into wording, you know, is acceptable to the rest of the Council, that they know what the work group has done and are willing to approve based on what they've heard this morning, approve the work group putting together a final draft. I mean preferably I would have liked to be able to have something, I think as you said, maybe a little bit more fleshed out to be able to bring back to the Council before we adjourn and this -- this whole idea of not being able to distribute stuff without being .508 compliant, I know that's really hamstringing us. I don't know if we have to do all this orally, or I mean it just doesn't even make sense to me that we can't even do our job but, yeah, we can make it work, I think, based on what you outlined there.

38 39 40

41

42

So I guess I do -- I would like to hear before we wrap up this item, I guess I would like to hear if there's any other comments from Council members on what we're proposing here.

43 44 45

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is Albert.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am still wrapping my head around this all, and how this could work and, in my mind, I'm thinking we can work with local tribes and ACs, and I think the Guardian Program is a whole new concept to Southeast Alaska and they're not -- they don't have their feet on the ground yet. And I think, just my thought process is maybe have local ACs or tribes, whenever there isn't a RAC member representing their community, that they can write a written report that can be presented during the Council reports as their concerns with what's happening to the resources within their area. As an example, Kake and Tenakee don't have representation on this Council but we can invite them and their ACs or their tribe, their local IRAs to send us a report on what their concerns are and how they feel we can address them.

I think we're throwing too broad of a net by adding groups like Guardian and so on and so forth. I think we would still stay within our guidelines if we work with local tribes or ACs.

I -- when the west Admiralty proposal was put in, some of the non-Native were pretty upset with me and actually came to the house, who had never came to my house before, so I explained to them that they are Federally-qualified subsistence users because they live in Angoon. So there's -- and I think in that conversation I found out that Angoon, in fact, did have an AC in the past and that they have written proposals and everything and so on and so forth and so I think just my idea of how this should look is maybe have the tribes and their local ACs send us their report if they don't have a RAC member on the RAC and what they would like to see happen in their area concerning their resources.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Albert. Yeah, we may be -- as we found out from Mr. Jackson yesterday, we may not always have enough outreach to the affected areas that, you know, we're talking about. We really probably need to do a better job at that so that's something that we could work on. And I am looking forward to hearing -- you know we heard a lot at the working group from the Guardians Program and we're going to probably hear more from Mr.

Paddock tomorrow and I think that'll be pretty informative to both us and the Council, probably in a lot more detail of what we were able to hear on our working group. So that might help to flesh out some of the ideas that we've been talking about this morning. So that will be helpful.

So I think by the end of this meeting we will have a better idea of what we will want to put into our written statement so, yeah, we're going to keep all those in mind, Albert. So thank you for that.

Is there any other Council members that want to add anything at this point.

MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead.

MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, it's Louie.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Louie.

MR. WAGNER: I was going through some of my old paperwork and I have from the RAC meeting there, October 16, 2001 and they worked on discussions on customary trade in Southeast Alaska Subsistence Regional with Bill Thomas, Dolly Garza and Floyd Kookesh, Mr. Probasco and when Mr. Littlefield -- I don't know if some of that might help with this work group. This went on to the Federal Subsistence Board at their meeting so I just thought I'd put that in there. And, yeah, it'll be nice to hear more on this, it sounds like they're doing a really good job there.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, Louie. That's good historical perspective. You know some of the issues we're talking about now could have been things we discussed previously. It's good to keep in mind, keep track of that information and draw on that later so very good.

Any other Council members.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, I believe John Smith was trying to speak but I haven't heard him. So John you might have to press star, six to open up your

0196 1 line. I saw that he had texted on the videoconference 2 that he was trying to speak. 3 4 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh. 5 6 MR. SMITH: Oh, okay, gotcha. 7

Chairman.

8 9

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I hear you fine, John, go ahead.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SMITH: Yeah, I was just -- I like what Albert was saying about getting documents from different -- different -- the corporations or the tribes of each location, but also having them come and do an introduction with us because I -- you know, of course, myself, I live in Juneau but I'm from Klukwan and Sitka and Hoonah and Angoon and travel there to see family and harvest food so understanding, too, that even Huna Totem in Hoonah, that they have a watch -- a land watchman that's hired to manage their property and making sure that only tribal member or family members that might be married into the Huna Totem family so -and I'm not sure if Angoon or others roll that way but having each community share their process so that we get a very good understanding.

26 27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

And if you can share -- Mr. Jackson, I know many of the Jackson family but I couldn't realize what his first name was, if you can share that because I really, really wanted to connect with him because I understand his issues and I'm really glad we opposed the process until we hear more. I'm the new guy so I don't know all the ins and outs but I'm really taking lots of notes and getting more information here while we're speaking.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

And I really like the idea, I heard communicating, because a lot of our villages like Tenakee and Ketchikan and Haines, are landless, so they're voiceless too. I see on the list that many of the villages don't have a spokesman on there so that doesn't mean us, as Council members, can't get a message to their community to voice their words and I'm not sure if that's possible. I'd like to personally talk to Mr. Jackson and actually hear more of his issue, about his issues, not just him but his community, but also dividing up and understanding more of the -- that Unit 3 -- you see Unit 2 down there and

0197 how we can break up and understand more of, okay, there's -- what was there, 500 people applied for a spot to harvest, only 56 people, but where did those 56 people apply from. Did some of those people come from 5 -- that live in Unit 2 came over to Unit 3, you know, a lot that information and breaking down to -- okay, two 6 7 people in Kake got this, five people in Petersburg got theirs, and they harvested here. Seeing that data 9 would really help myself understand more of the issue 10 of what's really going on and if people are traveling 11 to different locations and that kind of thing. 12 13 Anyhow, happy day. Happy day. 14 15 If there's money to travel to actually 16 talk and communicate with people or we just use the 17 phone is just as good, I guess. 18 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, 20 John. It's kind of hard for -- it's especially hard 21 for new Council members meeting over the phone like 22 this, you know, we don't have a chance to all get 23 together and, you know, just talk about all these other 24 little topics that are going around and around our 25 communities and get to know one another and all these 26 things. 27 28 But just to answer your one question, 29 that's Joel Jackson and he's the President of the 30 Organized Village of Kake, the local tribe, and so 31 we've heard from him a number of times in recent years. 32 So we may be hearing from him again this morning. 33 34 So is there any other Council members 35 with comments. 36 37 (No comments) 38 39 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. 40 we'll.... 41 42 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.

43
44 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, I hear
45 Frank.

46
47
MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
48 When we're talking -- talking tribal, are we talking

When we're talking -- talking tribal, are we talking nothing about the corporation or anything like that,

1 the properties, or is it just in general areas of -- of the hunt for the local -- like in Hoonah, we got all 2 the way up the bay and all the way -- all over -- all over the place, like, you know, all the way up to South 5 Pass and part of down toward Augusta, you know, and I hate to think that we're sticking with the governmental 6 7 designations like what the corporations do, you know, Huna Totem Corporation or lands for hunting and stuff 8 9 and I would -- I would think that if we're going to be 10 doing -- doing a hunt or anything like that, we not be 11 restricted to areas that are designated by Federal 12 governments or any kind of -- you know, this is the 13 only way, I think that we should be -- how do you say 14 -- concentrating on what our traditional and cultural 15 ways of hunting, not being designated by anybody, but 16 by the people of the community.

17 18

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, Frank. So that whole subject of, you know, talking about corporation lands being part of traditional territories and management on those lands and how does that incorporate into indigenous management. I know in our work group meetings we had some call ins from Sealaska representatives. I don't recall them ever really adding much to the conversation, I believe they were just kind of listening in. And I know Wanda Culp, in her presentation, she talked more about the interactions between private land holdings, corporate ownership and indigenous management. She seems to have some ideas there. But for our -- you know for our Council's participation that's -- that's not in our jurisdiction. There may be, you know, other groups who may be working with State and the tribes -- or excuse me, State and corporations and tribes, I guess, to work on those issues but, you know, those conversations would happen outside of our Council participation. you know, there may be things in the works there that we don't really know about it is -- it is part of the whole picture, that's true. And, you know, at some point there may be some cooperative agreements, I think that was alluded to. You know, we do have this memorandum of understanding with the State on our -you know we have kind of a joint management agreement with the State already so it could come to the points where, you know, all of this could come together but I guess that's just really not part of our discussion right now. So things will go on, I guess.

0199 1 MR. SCHROEDER: Mr. Chair, can I make 2 some closing remarks. 3 4 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Bob. 5 6 MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, it -- I think 7 this discussion is pretty good and it's obviously -there are all kinds of complexities with respect to 9 Native corporation lands, individual tribes versus 10 Central Council, et cetera, et cetera. In the hopes of 11 getting something out of the Council and out of our 12 meeting this time, I'd like a couple of volunteers from 13 the co-management group or elsewhere to help out coming 14 up with key points which could be presented tomorrow 15 after the Guardian Program presents. And then that 16 might be suitable for Council action. So this is a 17 request for volunteers, I guess. 18 19 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: You're not 20 volunteering. 21 22 (Teleconference interference -23 participants not muted) 24 25 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right, thank you, 26 Bob, we do need to establish that here before we wrap 27 this up. So we have an existing working group. I think 28 it would probably be appropriate if you just, during 29 our break here or something, or lunchtime, get in 30 contact with the -- the working group members and maybe 31 we can establish a group that can finalize something to 32 bring back to the Council before the end of the 33 meeting. How does that sound? 34 35 MR. SCHROEDER: That sounds -- sounds 36 really good and, you know, we want something that also 37 is rather well tempered so having numerous eyes helps out on that kind of drafting. So perhaps -- well, 38 39 let's see who's up for it. Ian, Patty, Cal, Albert would be likely candidates, we probably just need three 40 41 people to -- including myself to come up with something 42 that would work with the Council. 43 44 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I think we 45 can do that amongst ourselves, so, yep having an

established work group so we'll just decide who's best

MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie.

49 50

46

47

48

suited to....

```
0200
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Excuse me,
 2
     somebody.
 3
 4
                     MR. WAGNER: Yeah, Mr. Chair, Louie.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that Louie.
 7
 8
                     MR. WAGNER: Louie.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
11
    Louie.
12
13
                     MR. WAGNER: Can you hear me now, Mr.
14
    Chair.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I can hear you. I
17
    hear you.
18
19
                     MR. WAGNER: Okay. Following that
20
     October 16, 2001 meeting, I think it was like December
     4th, the following meeting with the Federal Subsistence
21
     Board they also covered -- now I forget -- co-
22
23
    management, they passed that at that meeting. I think
24
    it would be nice to research that. I would really like
25
    to have those minutes following that meeting.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah, if
28
    there's a....
29
30
                     MR. WAGNER: Is that possible?
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If there's some
    precedent out there that should all be accessible. IT
33
34
    goes back a ways but it should be available.
35
36
                     MR. WAGNER: Yeah, I would like to
37
    receive that and I don't know if I'd have to ask DeAnna
38
    for that or you.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: DeAnna would have
41
    to be the one who would have to research that.
42
43
                     MR. WAGNER: Oh, okay. All right,
44
    thanks.
45
46
                     MS. PERRY: I'm sorry, what meeting was
47
    that in question.
48
49
                     MR. WAGNER: The meeting -- the Federal
50
```

0201 Subsistence Board in Anchorage following the October 16th, 2001 meeting. 2 3 4 MS. PERRY: 2001? 5 6 MR. WAGNER: Yeah, it followed that 7 October meeting of 2001 with Bill Thomas attending 8 there and I think Mr. Littlefield. 9 10 MS. PERRY: Okay. I think all of that 11 should be on our website but I might have to dig. I'm 12 not sure if we've got things going back that far. I've made a note of it Louie, I'll try to follow up on that. 13 14 15 MR. WAGNER: Okay, I appreciate that 16 DeAnna, thank you very much. 17 18 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody 19 else on the Council, any final comments on this. 20 21 (No comments) 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I think we 23 24 know where we're going to proceed from here so that 25 sounds good. 26 27 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is 28 Albert. 29 30 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert. 31 32 (No comments) 33 34 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert. 35 36 MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 37 I have an obligation to meet with BIA at 1:00 o'clock 38 today. So I just want to get that on record and I'll 39 come back when that's over. It's kind of a busy day 40 for me being the chief consultant to the next young 41 leaders here so thank you. 42 43 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks for 44 letting us know Albert. As far as the agenda goes we only have one more item of old business. I think I 45 46 might like to get through this and then maybe we'll 47 take a short break before we come back for new business 48 and the one additional item -- or excuse me, there's 49 actually two items. One is an update on the deer

summit. I gave a little brief update during my Chair's report but maybe just to reiterate that.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

We have been -- going back to a little history here. This deer summit was actually first proposed and the Nature Conservancy had an idea to put this together and they contacted the tribe, Fish and Game, Forest Service, they came to the Council to see if we would support such an effort and as I said it was just an effort to bring a lot of different interests on Prince of Wales Island, Unit 2, together and have an iperson meeting, is the concept, for everybody to get together and to have some presentations on what's going on with the -- you know, what people know about deer populations, latest research projects, there's a lot going on in research. Talk about habitat, road management. All these issues would be presented to the group and there would be lots of opportunity for break out groups and discussions on all these various topics relating to the success of deer hunters on Prince of Wales Island. And we put together a steering committee to facilitate putting this -- and I've been participating, representing the Council -- the Council kind of approved our participation and we wrote a letter of support, you know, asking the Forest Service if they had any funding available to help support this so that's been our involvement. We've held a number of different steering committee meetings trying to put all this together. We had originally hoped to have the personal -- or in-person summit happen this spring, but that was postponed, there was still too much uncertainty about the Covid protocols, agencies, of course, have a lot harder time complying with, you know, getting together in in-person meetings so that was kind of a stumbling block. So right now, presently, we are hoping to have this summit in the fall. We haven't picked a time yet, but hopefully before the hunting season gets into full swing and after summer seasonal activities kind of start slowing down.

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

But in the meantime, in preparation for this, the steering committee put together a needs assessment survey that's being distributed to a lot of different venues, communities, tribes and throughout Prince of Wales Island. It's a strictly voluntary survey that people can fill out. It doesn't have a real, you know, scientific research based format, it's just a questionnaire asking people -- you know,

basically about their activities revolving around deer hunt. It offers them opportunities to give their opinions on what they think some of the situations are and the purpose of that assessment -- needs assessment is just to have something else as a discussion topic when everybody gets together. See what the results of that survey assessment are and see if there is any kind of a consensus within various users on the island and go from there.

So, yeah, it's a nice cooperative arrangement between a lot of different entities. It could be a good working model perhaps for, you know, other areas moving forward in the future so.

So does anybody on the Council have any questions about this deer summit.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I guess that would conclude that topic. DeAnna, the last item of old business, which was I think added to the agenda yesterday was an overview of the Council charter changes and it looks like you were going to do that.

MS. PERRY: Yes.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Can we cover that here this morning.} \\$

MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, this will be really quick. The Program just wanted us Coordinators to pass along those charter changes to our Councils. So for the record my name is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator for the Southeast Regional Subsistence Advisory Council. Your Council's 2021 charter can be found under Tab No. 15 in your Council meeting materials and it's also posted on the Federal Subsistence website.

All 10 Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils were chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The Council charters are renewed every two years. Last year the Councils reviewed the charters and proposed some changes. The Federal Subsistence Board supported most of the Councils' proposed changes and forwarded its recommendations to the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture for

0204 1 review and approval. Nine Councils requested to add a carryover terms clause to all Council charters to avoid temporary vacancies on the Councils that occur between the expiration of the current member terms and then the 5 annual set of Secretarial appointments. As most of you know the last several years we've had our appointments 6 7 come to us late so there has been this gap in between the expiration of appointments which is usually 9 December 2nd, and then like this year I think it was 10 February before we got new appointments. The 11 Secretaries did support that change request so the 12 clause on carryover terms was added to your charter. 13 You'll see it under Section 12: Membership and 14 Designation. The added language reads: 15 16 If appointments for a given year have 17 not yet been announced, a member may continue to serve 18 on the Council following the expiration of his or her 19 term until such appointments have been made, unless 20 reappointed the member's service ends on the date of 21 announcement, even if that member's specific seat remains unfilled. 22 23 24 So that's the update on Council charter 25 changes, Mr. Chair, thank you. 26 27 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, DeAnna. Any 28 questions from the Council on those. 29 30 (No comments) 31 32

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: It sounds like pretty positive changes to me so glad to hear that.

33 34 35

Anybody else on the Council.

36 37

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

MR. WRIGHT: On that section there, does that mean, when we're sitting in our Chair and there is -- and we're deliberating -- that's all the question I have (indiscernible - breaking up) is our sitting on the Council and then there's a change and then our Chair, or our seat (indiscernible - breaking up) is it an appointment even when we're sitting there.

47 48 49

50

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Can you answer

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.

that, DeAnna, clarification.

MS. PERRY: I'm not sure that I caught all of Frank's question. Frank, are you asking if, for instance, you're at a meeting and we get new appointments.

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, I am.

MS. PERRY: Okay. If that were the case, I would assume you would probably finish out the meeting. I don't see an instance where that would ever happen, especially once we start traveling, there's no way to get new members in our system and be able to get them to a meeting that guick. So, if, for instance, in our case, I received appointments yesterday, you guys were already traveling, I guess you would probably sit in the audience and not serve as a Council member but that's never happened before. So yeah if -- if, for instance, we all traveled to Craig for a meeting and on the second day I got new appointments, there would be no way to get the new members there, they could probably participate by phone but, yeah, I don't think we've ever thought of that because the chances of that happening would probably be really slim.

MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, I was just wondering because the Federal government is kind of sticky, you know. Oh, Mr. Chair, I'm sorry. I would -- you know if it does happen and then, you know, being the Federal government being sticky like that and the new member is there and on the phone, I just -- that's just something I'm thinking about. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, DeAnna.

MS. PERRY: Through the Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank.

MS. PERRY: Member Wright. Again, realistically we wouldn't be able to physically get a new person to the meeting that quick. If you were already at the meeting, again taking, for instance, we're all at Craig, it's the second day of the meeting and I get new appointments, the people sitting on the Council who are in Craig could sit in the audience and not participate as a Council member because we have received appointments and then we would return you, you know, as quickly as we could, which probably would mean

you would still be there for the entire meeting, and then the new members, we would arrange for them to participate as voting Council members by phone.

So according to our charter, you would not serve, if your term was expiring and you were doing that continuing to serve, once the appointment is made you would not be able to be a voting Council member sitting on the Council but if you're already physically at our meeting you are obviously more than welcome to sit in the audience and still participate, you just wouldn't be participating as a voting Council member.

Does that make sense. Did I cover what you were asking, Frank.

MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, I guess. I was just -- just a thought that came into my head, that I was just wondering about that. I don't know if I'm a member and I'm sitting in the audience and there's no reason for me to be there so okay thank you. Goodbye.

MS. PERRY: Uh-huh. You bet. We could return you back to your home station as quickly as we could, if that would be your preference, but hopefully we don't have to wait until the end of March when we're having these meetings to get appointments but it's happened before where we've gotten appointments one week before a meeting.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. Anybody else on the Council have a question on charter changes.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. That concludes our old business on the agenda. We'll take a little recess until 11:00 and then we'll come back with new business so recess until 11:00.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, hello, everyone, this is Chairman Hernandez again. I'd like to bring the meeting back into session. Hopefully everybody's returned from the break. Frank, if you're online maybe you could run through the roll call and

0207	
1	make sure we have a quorum.
2	
3	(No comments)
4	
5	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Just checking to
6	see if anybody is hearing me.
7	
8	MS. PERRY: I can hear you fine, Don,
9	you're coming through good.
10	
11	MR. WAGNER: I can hear you.
12	
13	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good. I
14	guess we're looking for Frank to see if he could do a
15	brief roll call and make sure we have a quorum.
16	
17	(No comments)
18	
19	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: DeAnna, could you
20	maybe do that for us?
21	
22	MS. PERRY: Sure, Mr. Chair. So if
23	Council members could star, six to unmute their lines
24	just for a moment so that we could go ahead and take
25	roll call and once you let me know that you're on,
26	please go ahead and hit your star, six again so that we
27	don't have any echos or background noise.
28	
29	Cal Casipit.
30	ND GLGTDIE V
31	MR. CASIPIT: Here.
32	MO DEDDY MILES
33	MS. PERRY: Thank you.
34 35	Mike Douville.
35 36	MIKE DOUATITE.
37	(No commonta)
	(No comments)
38 39	MC DEDDY. I'm Clator
40	MS. PERRY: Jim Slater.
41	(No commonta)
42	(No comments)
42	MS. PERRY: Bob Schroeder.
43	Ma. FERRI: DOD SUNIOEGEI.
44	MD CCUDOEDED. Horo Dolono
45 46	MR. SCHROEDER: Here, DeAnna.
47	MS. PERRY: Thank you.
4 7	MS. PERRY: Thank you.
49	Albert Howard.
50	AIDEIC NOWAIG.
J (

```
0208
                     MR. HOWARD: Here.
 1
 2
 3
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Albert.
 4
 5
                     Patty Phillips.
 6
 7
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Here.
 8
 9
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Patty.
10
11
                     Louie Wagner.
12
13
                     MR. WAGNER: Here.
14
15
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you.
16
17
                     Harvey Kitka.
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
                     MS. PERRY: John Smith.
21
22
23
                     MR. SMITH: Here.
24
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, John. I see you on
25
26
     Teams also.
27
28
                     Cathy Needham.
29
30
                     MS. NEEDHAM: I'm here.
31
32
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Cathy.
33
34
                     Ian Johnson, are you still with us.
35
36
                     MR. JOHNSON: I'm here.
37
38
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you.
39
40
                     And, Frank Wright, have you joined.
41
42
                     (No comments)
43
44
                     MS. PERRY: Okay. Going back, Mike
45
     Douville, have you joined us.
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     MS. PERRY: Okay. Mr. Chair, it looks
50
```

like you have eight of your 13 members online at the moment, you do have a quorum. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, DeAnna. Continuing on with new business, we'll hopefully take these in the order that they're on the agenda. Do we have Justin Koller available to give us an information update on the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. (No comments) CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do you know if he's there, DeAnna, have you heard from him. MS. PERRY: Yes, I believe that's Justin Koller with OSM. Justin, are you with us? MR. KOLLER: What about now, Mr. Chairman, can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, fine,
Justin. Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program update
for us.

MR. KOLLER: Yes, sir. Good morning, Mr. Chairman Hernandez, and members of the Council. For the record, my name is Justin Koller. I'm a Fisheries Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. I would like to provide a brief update on the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. This will be a brief update and is not an action item and there are no associated materials for this discussion in your meeting books.

The Office of Subsistence Management implements the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program which research and monitoring projects that provide information to Federal subsistence managers. These projects are selected on a two year cycle. The cycle starts when we meet with the Councils to determine the research needs of the area. We call these the priority information needs, or PINS, and compiling the priority information needs is this Council's opportunity to direct the research in the Southeast region. Investigators then develop proposals based on this list of priority information needs. Those proposals are then reviewed by OSM Staff, the Technical Review Committee and this Council, and all the input generated

0210 1 from this proposal review process is presented to the Federal Subsistence Board. The Board then makes a recommendation on which projects to fund. The Office of Subsistence Management and the Tongass National 5 Forest then fund as many projects as possible based on 6 the available budget. 7 8 9 At their February meeting the Federal 10 Subsistence Board made recommendations about which 11 projects to fund in 2022. There are a total of seven 12 projects that will be funded in the Southeast region 13 beginning this year. There are four sockeye salmon 14 monitoring projects located at Hetta Lake, Neva Lake, 15 Sitkoh Lake and Klag Lake. There is a eulachon 16 population dynamics population monitoring project in 17 northern Southeast. There's a project updating 18 community household subsistence harvest surveys and 19 documenting subsistence patterns in Icy Straits. And 20 there is a project estimating in-season harvest of the 21 Klawock River subsistence salmon fishery. 22 23 With the 2022 cycle coming to an end, 24 it's time for the Councils to begin developing priority 25 information needs for the 2024 Fisheries Resource 26 Monitoring Program cycle. We are asking for Council 27 members to identify knowledge gaps and information 28 needed for the management of subsistence fisheries in 29 the Southeast region. Compiling the priority 30 information needs will be an action item at your fall 31 meeting. 32 33 This concludes my presentation 34 regarding the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. 35 36 Thank you. 37 38 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Justin. 39 Anybody on the Council have questions for Justin 40 Koller. 41 42 (No comments) 43 44 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, not hearing 45 any questions, Justin..... 46

47 48 49

50

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Ian.

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, thank you for the information. Even though there wasn't a presented, or like a physical portion of the presentation, are there — is there a good area to direct to just learn more about it and especially how to share that out to our communities.

MR. KOLLER: Yes. There will be a call for proposals -- there was a call for proposals for -- excuse me -- there will be a call for proposals for the FRMP proposal and that'll go out in a news release and that'll provide more information about the program. There's also, on the DOI subsistence website, there's a section on the FRMP program and if somebody could help me out and put that in the chat to find information on the program.

MR. JOHNSON: Great, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody else on the Council with a question.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is

24 Albert.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I've spoke to this in the past and I'd like to see it -- being a part of the fish monitoring program, I've talked about water quality and I think all of that, water temperature reading, should be a part of that program so we can do the process of elimination so when someone comes in with the State and says, well, the water in that system was low that summer so that's why the salmon didn't return or we didn't get the returns that we thought we would this year, based on that year's water flow, we can say, yeah, you're correct, or no, you're not correct because according to our data it shows there was plenty of water. So I think we need to do more than just count fish. So hopefully we can start doing this different and come up with better data on why the fish are either coming back in large numbers or not coming back at all.

So, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. Justin, are projects like Albert's talking about, are

```
0212
 1
    those things that would be possible under this
    monitoring program?
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. KOLLER: Yes, Mr. Chair. Mr.
 5
    Howard. Those are absolutely possible and that's --
 6
     like I said, this would be the opportunity for this
 7
    Council to recommend that those types of data
    collection activities be included in the priority
 9
    information needs for the projects that you're
10
    interested in. I know that this Council, in the last
11
    cycle, recommended that discharge be added to all
     sockeye monitoring projects, so I believe those were
12
13
     added this cycle.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, good. Any
16
     other questions from Council.
17
18
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chair.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, was that
21
     John.
22
23
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, I really like that
24
     idea. Even the carbon testing is -- there's a lot of
25
     different things you could be testing in the water
26
     these days with the technology and I think carbon is
27
     really important with that being one of our issues to
28
    the water.
29
30
                     Thank you.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
33
     John. Anybody else have a question for Justin.
34
35
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal.
38
39
                     MR. CASIPIT: Just a quick question for
     Justin. In all those projects, I know probably the
40
41
    weir projects have money directly going to tribes for
42
    the work that's being done but can you maybe share how
43
    much -- percentage-wise, how much of the money is going
44
    towards tribal partners and others that are -- other
45
    local entities that are working these projects?
46
47
                     MR. KOLLER: Mr. Chair. Mr. Casipit.
48
     I don't have that information in front of me right now.
     I know, for instance, Hetta Lake and Klag Lake are both
49
50
```

independently done by local tribes so in that case the majority of funding is going directly to the tribes. Neva Lake and Sitkoh Lake, for instance, are cooperative research projects by the Forest Service, Juneau Ranger District and the Angoon Community Association. So I'm not sure what the breakdown is there of how much goes to the tribe.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any other questions from the Council.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is 13 Albert.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm not sure if you have access to any DNA samples to the British Columbia king salmon, if it's possible or if you have the opportunity to get that, I would like to see that and it can be sent to my email. I'm working with the tribe here to try and get a sampling project set up where we're sampling all the king salmon that are caught within the area to see if, in fact, any of it is British Columbia king salmon. Also is there DNA sampling on all the salmon systems within Southeast to see if, in fact, that certain systems are being intercepted before they get to the salmon stream. I mean it's good to do the process of elimination and figure out exactly what is happening to our resource. There's two bays here that used to have so much dog salmon in them that each of the -- you could just about walk across the river and step on them, now you hardly see a jump in the bay. So I'm just trying to find solutions to current problems.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. KOLLER: Yeah, Mr. Chair and Mr. Howard. There is a DNA sampling program that is conducted by the State and I don't have any of that information currently but if there are systems that you are interested in in your local area, that could be added to the priority information needs, to do some sort of DNA sampling on -- on those stocks and compare them to the DNA baseline in other systems throughout Southeast and British Columbia. And we'd be able to do a literature search and possibly get some information on DNA sampling for you.

```
0214
 1
                     Thank you.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody
 4
    else on the Council with a question.
 5
 6
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.
 7
 8
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
 9
10
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, is that
13
    Louie. Did I hear....
14
15
                     MR. SMITH: This is John.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: John. Okay, John,
18
    go ahead.
19
20
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, I don't know if I'm
     out of order but if it's a monitoring program, maybe
21
    understanding the monitoring going on in Deshu' in
22
23
    Haines and they -- in the river there where they have
24
     the blocking so that they can count the fish. I find
25
     it discouraging and I don't know if that's off subject,
26
    but understanding why they do that and understanding
27
     that would be good, anyway.
28
29
                     I don't know if I'm out of order or not
30
    or off the subject.
31
32
                     But anyway, happy day.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm not
35
     familiar with that. Justin, something you're familiar
36
    with.
37
38
                     MR. KOLLER: Mr. Chair. Mr. Wagner
39
    [sic]. I am not familiar with -- with that particular
40
     issue and that may be a question for the State.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: It could be a
43
     State project, right. Okay. Anybody else on the
44
     Council have a question.
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
49
     Justin.
50
```

0215 1 MR. KOLLER: Mr. Chair, this is Justin. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Justin. 4 5 MR. KOLLER: Yeah, Mr. Chair. Mr. 6 Casipit's question -- to his question, Pippa Kenner dug 7 up some information and put it in the chat here and it looks like for Southeast, 200 -- roughly 211,000 went 8 to Federal organizations, State organizations, 22,000 9 10 and tribal/rural organizations 575,000\$. 11 12 Thank you. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. 15 That was quick work. 16 17 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal. 18 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal. 20 21 MR. CASIPIT: I want to thank Staff for getting me that -- those numbers really quick and that 22 23 is -- I'm really pleased at those numbers. I'm really 24 pleased to see tribal governments really moving in and 25 doing the fisheries monitoring that's needed in their 26 communities. That's great, thank you. 27 28 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 29 Cal. Any other questions or comments. 30 31 (No comments) 32 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks again 34 Justin. Council members keep in mind that this topic 35 will be coming up in the fall and they'll be looking 36 for suggestions from the Council on where to do the 37 next round of monitoring projects so we'll hear more 38 about that, I'm sure, at our fall meeting. 39 40 So, Federal fisheries closure reviews. 41 Justin, your name is on here, or possibly Rob Cross or 42 Jake Musslewhite, are you going to do that? 43 44 MR. KOLLER: yes, Mr. Chair. This is 45 Justin. Good morning members of the Council. This is 46 Justin Koller again. 47 48 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Justin.

2

4

5

6

7

MR. KOLLER: Fisheries Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. Concurrent with the Federal fish and shellfish call for proposals, OSM Staff will conduct reviews of closures to Federal subsistence fisheries similar to what the wildlife cycle has done this last round with Federal wildlife closures. This is not an action item and there are no associated materials in your books.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Title VIII of ANILCA establishes a priority for the taking of fish and wildlife on Federal public lands and waters for non-wasteful subsistence uses over the taking of fish and wildlife on such lands for other purposes. Under certain circumstances specified in Title VIII, the Board is authorized to restrict, close and reopen Federal public lands and waters to the taking of fish and wildlife. Like other Board established rules closures are subject to change during the regulatory cycle. It is the Board's policy that Federal public lands and waters should be reopened as soon as practicable once the conditions that originally justified the closure have changed to such an extent that the closure is no longer necessary. To help ensure that closures do not remain in place longer than necessary, the Board has directed OSM to review existing closures on a regular basis.

262728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

OSM will review half of all closures during each applicable regulatory cycle. Closure reviews will include analysis of all relevant considerations and OSM will recommend an action on each closure for the Council's consideration. The types of actions that the Council can recommend are, to retain, which means the closure remains in the regulations unchanged. The second option is to modify, which means to recommend a change directly related to the actual closure, such as adjusting the dates that the closure's effective, or perhaps assigning an expiration date or broadening a closure to both subsistence and nonsubsistence users. A third option is to rescind, which means to remove the closure from the regulations. And the last option is to defer, which means the closure remains in place until that time the Board takes up the closure again.

44 45 46

47

48

There are currently 20 fisheries closures under review statewide. Of the 20 closure reviews, two will be considered for the Southeast region during the fall Council meeting. OSM will be

```
0217
     reviewing closures at Taku River for salmon and the
     Neva Lake system for sockeye salmon.
 2
 3
 4
                     Again, the Council will consider these
 5
     closure reviews at the fall meeting along with
 6
     fisheries proposals.
 7
 8
                     And this concludes my update on the
 9
     fisheries closure review process and status of the
10
     upcoming closure reviews to be considered for the fall
11
     2022 meeting.
12
13
                     Thank you.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
16
     Justin. Are there any questions from the Council on
17
     the closure reviews.
18
19
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna.
22
23
                     MS. PERRY: Yeah, I think some of the
24
     Council members are trying to speak but there might be
25
     some issue with the star, six so I know Harvey has
26
     joined us, I believe Cathy also wanted to make a
27
     comment so I just wanted to take a brief pause to see
     if either of those would be able to be heard. Again, I
28
29
     think everybody who's coming on to the call is already
30
     in mute so if you press your star, six, or your mute
31
    button hopefully that will open up your line.
32
33
                     So, Harvey, if you're there.
34
35
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks,
38
     DeAnna. I've also noticed that all the background
39
     noises and echos have gone away so that's good.
40
41
                     MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Louie, go ahead.
44
45
                     MR. WAGNER: I have a question for
46
    Metlakatla here. We do not -- you know, we're not with
47
    ANILCA, we're the only reservation in all of Alaska,
48
     and if you don't mind I could read a little bit of
49
     this.
```

0218 1 The United States Treaty Federal Statutes and Executive Orders reserved to Indian Tribes 2 the right to fish for subsistence and commercial purposes, both on and off the reservation lands and I 5 was wondering if I could be told how those closures 6 affect what was given to Metlakatla as a reservation. 7 8 MR. KOLLER: Mr. Chair. Mr. Wagner. 9 This is Justin Koller again. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Justin. 12 13 MR. KOLLER: That is an excellent 14 question for our -- that's an excellent question for 15 our policy coordinator at OSM if she is online or 16 possibly Pippa could help me out with how that fits in. 17 18 (No comments) 19 20 MR. KOLLER: I believe Robbin LaVine is 21 online right now and she may be able to help if 22 possibly Mr. Wagner would restate his question please. 23 24 Thank you. 25 26 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Restate the 27 question. 28 29 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Would you like to 30 restate your question again, Louie, I think we might 31 have somebody on the line now that can answer that. 32 33 MR. WAGNER: Yes. I was wondering with the Federal fisheries closures, how Metlakatla fits in 34 35 with this -- these closures because we are not part of 36 ANILCA, we're the only reservation in Alaska and I have 37 the Federal tax code here and under the Act of 1988, so 38 I would like to know how we fit in with that, if 39 possible. 40 41 MR. KOLLER: Mr. Chair. Mr. Wagner. 42 This is Justin. 43 44 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Justin. 45 46 MR. KOLLER: So Metlakatla is included 47 in C&Ts on Federal public lands and waters so they are 48 indeed included in this. And also, Robbin, our Policy

Coordinator -- our Policy Coordinator, Robbin LaVine,

49

```
0219
     is currently trying to unmute her phone and chime in on
     this one so just please standby for a second.
 3
 4
                     (Laughter)
 5
 6
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair, this
 7
    is Robbin, can you hear me.
 8
9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.
10
11
                     (Pause)
12
13
                     REPORTER: Yes, go ahead, Robbin.
14
15
                     MS. LAVINE: Hello, Mr. Chair, and
16
    members of the Council. This is Robbin LaVine. I'm
    the Subsistence Policy Coordinator for OSM. The --
17
18
    Metlakatla, as Justin did inform you, is included in
19
    the C&Ts on Federal public lands. You are rural
20
    residents of Alaska. And to be Federally-qualified you
21
    need to be rural residents of Alaska with a customary
22
    and traditional use of a species and for that you are
23
    qualified.
24
25
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Did that
28
     answer your question adequately Louie?
29
30
                     MR. WAGNER: No, not really, I was just
31
     -- that -- what the report was right off, it was
32
     following ANILCA.
33
34
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: ANILCA.
35
36
                     MS. PETRIVELLI: Mr. Chair.
37
38
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: ANILCA.
39
40
                     MR. WAGNER: ANILCA.
41
42
                     MS. PETRIVELLI: Mr. Chair, this is Pat
43
    Petrivelli.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Pat, if
46
     you've got a further answer here, go ahead.
47
48
                     MS. PETRIVELLI: Yeah, Metlakatla is
49
    one of the few places that is true Indian Country in
50
```

```
0220
    Alaska because it is a reservation and they can make
    their own hunting and fishing regulations so the
     fisheries closures that we're talking about are those
     in place by the Federal Subsistence Board and whatever
 5
     is on your reservation land you control those through
 6
    your regulations. Does that answer your question?
 7
 8
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No, ma'am.
 9
10
                     MR. WAGNER: No, not really. I'm aware
11
     of that, yes -- yes, we have our own fish and wildlife
12
    here and our own forestry management and the Act seems
13
     to cover the whole thing a lot different and been
14
    having a difficult time trying to find out just how far
15
     this goes.
16
17
                     MS. PETRIVELLI: I'm not certain
18
    how....
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, if it helps,
21
    Louie....
22
23
                     MS. PETRIVELLI: Oh. I was just going
24
    to say I'm not sure.....
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Louie -- for
27
     all other Federal lands on the Tongass Forest people in
    Metlakatla have the same rights as every other rural
28
29
    residents, so, yeah, any closure policies, anything on
30
    the Tongass, yeah, people from Metlakatla have the same
31
     -- the same right to review those on the Council and
32
     have your input, so, yeah.
33
34
                     MR. WAGNER: All right, thank you, Mr.
35
    Chair.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other
38
     questions from Council on Federal fisheries closure
39
     reviews.
40
41
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chairman.
42
43
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Ian.
46
47
                     MR. JOHNSON: I think -- I have a
48
    pretty generic question. I just want to make sure I'm
49
    prepared for the fall 2022 meeting and as a relatively
50
```

new -- well, not new, but not seasoned Council member, can the presenter just give me an overview of the expectations for that meeting and I know there's some Hoonah water considerations on there and I just want to make sure I'm prepared. So like when we review the closures in 2022 what's the expected feedback from the Council.

MR. KOLLER: Mr. Chair. Mr. Johnson. So we will analyze these closures and present that information to this Council at the fall meeting and the Council will have a couple different options there. One is to retain the closure based on all the information and public testimony so it remains in regulation unchanged. The second option would be to modify the closure, which basically means to recommend a change directly related to the actual closure. The third option is to rescind the closure and remove it from regulation. And the last option is to defer the closure, which basically it means it will remain in place until the Board takes it up again.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any follow up,

Ian.

Albert.

MR. JOHNSON: No, that's appreciated. I don't want to deliberate on it too much, I just want to make sure I'm prepared. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, maybe just a little further, you know, the Staff will prepare a complete analysis, you know, with all the historical information and present situation, you know, and present that to us so we'll have that to look at and review.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I thought this would be an opportunity to add more proposals for closures but it doesn't appear that way.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Albert, that's what we're going to do at this meeting. This is the

```
0222
 1
    chance to put in proposals for, you know, any fish
    proposals. So if there's a closure that you're
 2
    contemplating, a new closure, this meeting would be the
    time to put that forward as a proposal. So that's what
 5
    we're going to be doing here.
 6
 7
                     MR. HOWARD: Okay. I have a couple.
 8
     So whenever the opportunity is there you can let me
 9
     know. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That is coming up
12
     in new business. I got a feeling we're going to be
13
     doing that this afternoon. Okay, so anything on the
14
     closure reviews, any other questions.
15
16
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, can you hear
17
    me.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I hear you Patty,
20
     coming in good this time.
21
22
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
23
     (Indiscernible - breaking up) meeting.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I'm sorry,
26
     Patty, you were a little broken up, you came in good at
27
     first and then you broke up.
28
29
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Will the closure review
30
    paperwork will go out before the next meeting.
31
32
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think you said
35
     -- yeah, go ahead.
36
37
                     MS. PERRY: I think Patty was asking
38
     about closure paperwork being shared with the Council.
39
     So at this meeting Staff was just going to advise us
     what's coming up. Those closures will be during our
40
41
     regulatory meeting, along with our fishery proposals.
42
     So it'll be in the same format. Again, it'll be a
43
     slightly different question at the end but we'll have a
44
     full analysis. It'll be in the meeting book. All the
45
    Council members will get that just like the analysis
46
    done with the proposals.
47
48
                     Patty, did that answer your question?
49
```

```
0223
 1
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Good enough, thank you.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 4
     DeAnna. Any other questions.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I think
 9
    maybe we can move on....
10
11
                     MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Wait a minute, who
14
    was that?
15
16
                     MR. KITKA:
                                 This is Harvey Kitka.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, Harvey, you're
19
    back, hi, you got a question.
20
21
                     MR. KITKA: I've been trying for an
    hour to try to talk to you.
22
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
25
26
                     MR. KITKA: Ever since we went to
27
     silent mode it kind of messed my phone up.
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, you might
29
30
    have missed -- they had to mute everybody's phone so it
31
     required kind of a -- you had to do something to get
32
    back in but we hear you now Harvey so what do you have
33
     for us.
34
35
                     MR. KITKA: Yeah, I had something, a
36
     little bit about the Guardians, I believe it was.
37
    Maybe a suggestion to the working group that's working
38
     on it, if they could make it a little easier for these
39
     groups to get grants to get the people to different
40
     meetings. Realizing we don't get people to our
41
    meetings because of time-wise and things, everybody has
42
    to work and things, but sometimes there's travel but if
43
     they can get groups to work -- to where they can get
44
     grants and stuff. I saw this happen at the Board of
     Fish meeting where Herring Protectors were able to get
45
46
     a grant and get a lot of people there to talk from a
47
     lot of different communities and that was a big help.
48
     So some things that might help along the way. I just
49
     wanted to say that.
```

0224 1 Thank you. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thanks Harvey. 4 Sorry you got closed out earlier there. We will be 5 going back to discussions about the Guardians Program 6 probably tomorrow so keep those thoughts for discussion 7 tomorrow. Thanks for that. 8 9 Can we wrap up the fisheries closure 10 review discussion. 11 12 (No comments) 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, let's move 15 on to more fisheries. We have a fisheries report topic and it's -- I believe that's going to be given by 16 17 Forest Service Staff, Jake Musslewhite. Are you there 18 Jake. 19 20 MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I 21 here. Can you hear me okay, did I star, six it all 22 right. 23 24 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, you're 25 coming in loud and clear, go ahead, Jake. 26 27 MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Nice. Okay. 28 we do have like a powerpoint presentation I was hoping 29 that, DeAnna, if you could put that up possibly. 30 31 (Pause) 32 33 MS. PERRY: I believe Laurent may have that, if not, I can pull that up and for Council 34 35 members it's behind Tab No. 6 in your meeting book. 36 37 MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yes. Anyway, while 38 we're getting that all set. Basically this just going 39 to be kind of a quick tour throughout Southeast 40 fisheries, mostly focusing on salmon, especially 41 sockeye and leaning heavily on information from our 42 FRMP projects and other sources and stuff. So this is 43 all kind of things that have happened since our last 44 fisheries cycle and hopefully it's information that 45 might provide valuable when we're kind of crafting 46 fisheries proposals here in the very, very near future. 47 48 I'm just going to pause a minute here

while we try to get that presentation up.

49

(Pause)

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Perfect. Okay. And maybe I'll just ask whoever's driving the boat there, maybe I'll kind of just ask for the next slide as we go through. So you can actually go ahead and get the next slide, that'd be great.

Okay.

I'm going to start off with, just because 'tis the season with eluachon monitoring, which is actually occurring right now. Like I say, I believe our Ketchikan Staff is probably freezing and sitting on a bucket somewhere next to the creek watching for those. They're kind of not good communications out so I'm kind of out of the loop so I haven't heard anything from this year but this slide kind of shows a brief summary of what we saw in 2021. You know, dates that they finally started seeing some stuff and, you know, that they're there. And, of course, last year we did have, you know, a limited fishery on the Unuk and we have that occurring again this year so I'm kind of hopeful to see what comes of that.

Next slide would be great.

Okay.

So I'm going to try to work north to south here and start in Yakutat. So this slide -- this graph shows kind of the story we're able to assemble there with sockeye harvesting effort in the Yakutat area. I don't know if -- I just lost my presentation there, I don't know if you're seeing the same thing but.

(Pause)

 MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Perfect, okay. So the -- the story that that graph tells there is kind of showing the fairly recent decline we're seeing here in the past like seven years of sockeye harvest and effort in the Yakutat area. And you can see that, you know, it's sort of stacked by the various areas. So the orange bar there, the Situk, is really the big player there and that's where, by far, the majority of the sockeye harvest comes from. And that is -- we've seen an effort of long time being pretty steady, we're

seeing a real decline there, both harvest and effort. And I think a lot of that is being driven by the fact that we've had early season chinook closures there, which kind of overlaps with the sockeye season so that kind of shuts folks down during a pretty good chunk and, you know, maybe it's just not quite as worth it to go out there in the later season. So, yeah, that's kind of the story there.

Next slide.

Yeah, okay, so this is -- it's still staying with the Situk here. On the left here, you know, we see the harvest and effort. With the harvest in that thick black line and the number of permits being fished in the grey. So you can see the harvest, you know, starting around 2016-ish, 2015, '16-ish, right around we started a lot of those chinook closures, harvest and effort both really dropped off and it had been really pretty stable for quite a long time. And then if you look at the slide on the right that's showing the escapement of both chinook and sockeye through the Situk weir. There's a really good stock assessment program there so we have a pretty good idea of what's going on. And you can see that the escapements lately, you know, fish passing through the weir has been, you know, reasonably steady and fairly healthy so the decline in harvest there is not because there's no fish to harvest it's that people aren't getting out and going fishing quite as much so.

So next slide would be great. Perfect.

And then moving up into the Haines Upper Lynn Canal area. The two big players there for the sockeye especially are the Chilkat and Chilkoot Rivers. So the Chilkat on the left, we're seeing a pretty steep decline in harvest there over the past 10-ish years and a lot of that seems to be kind of driven by abundance, especially the past couple of years have been, you know, kind of weak on the Chilkat side of things. And then looking at the right, it's kind of the opposite story on the Chilkoot where maybe you see a long-term trend towards increasing harvest and some pretty healthy escapements there in recent too.

And next slide would be great.

Okay, now moving over to Hoonah where

we do have the one active ongoing FRMP project at Neva Lake. Things got pretty grim at Neva there for a little while and were down to like I think 1,800 fish, you know, down from had been as high as nine, 10,000 and that's been getting a fair bit better. We're seeing four to 5,000 fish here over the past few years so that's good. The down side is, is that in reaction to those declining escapements the harvest limits were seriously declined -- you know, lower, down to 10 fish per household and, you know, there was the closure we were just discussing so the past couple of years reported subsistence harvest, at least, at Neva has been almost completely eliminated. We don't see any reported harvest, at least, and it seems to me, just talking to folks around Excursion Inlet, there's sort of a community understanding to stay off those fish and not harvest them as well as I think just the 10 fish limit makes it not worth folks' while especially if they go there and there's not many fish around in the first place. So, that, to me, is an issue with preserving subsistence use with that system. And then the alternative if you don't want to fish Neva is Haktaheen, of course, this slide, I think is sort of outdated, it says relatively stable but it's really not the case.

I'll show you on the next slide,

please.

So, yeah, looking at Neva on the left, as you can see we took a big dip in the escapement there with the low point in 2015 and the harvest kind of followed with it and then things have been doing better since then, it's climbed back up. But we -- we've seen that the harvest the past few years has essentially gone to zero. And then looking at the right we also see that the harvest at Haktaheen has declined as well and I have no -- just looking at the number of fish permit it seems like people are getting fewer fish per permit there as well so they're probably having to scrape a little harder just to get those so a little -- so just a little concerned about that one.

So next slide would be great.

Moving on to Angoon area. We also have an active FRMP project at Sitkoh Lake. We did have a low point there for a few years. We were cruising along, you know, at about, you know, 8 to 12,000 fish

5

6 7

9

10

and then we had a couple of dry summers in a year and that actually made some pretty serious migration problems. I'll kind of talk about those a little bit more. And then we've also discontinued a couple projects in the past few years one at Kook Lake and another at Kanalku. And one interesting thing that I've been kind of keeping an eye here these past few years is a lot of effort seems to be shifting over to Hanus Bay, sort of Lake Eva sockeye and so I think that's an interesting thing that I'm kind of personally trying to monitor.

11 12

Great slide would be great.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

And then charts and graphs for the Angoon thing. As you can see looking at Sitkoh on the left there you see that really low point there around 20-what, 2018, 2019 were both very dry summers. is a beaver dam on the system right below the lake outlet that was a near complete barrier to sockeye and turned into sort of a bear feeding station. In 2019 we went out and just absolutely nuked that beaver dam and I believe we got -- once we uncorked that beaver dam we got roughly half the run pass through the weir in like the next 24 hours, maybe, maybe even 12 hours, so it was definitely a -- you know a major issue there. And so now these past couple summers we've had closer to normal, if not above normal precipitation, we've seen the escapements return back to something closer to normal and so it wasn't the fish weren't there, it's just they couldn't make it all the way to the lake. Subsistence harvest there has been fairly low from the past few years though. And then on the right we have Kanalku which is an important -- obviously an important system to Angoon. That monitoring program ended in 2017 so I wish we had a better finger on the pulse there, all we kind of have to go by is the reported harvest which has been declining over the past few years. So I don't know if that reflects abundance or effort or not but I would really like to see some way that we could better monitor what's going on in that system. And in the lower right we have Kook Lake, Basket Bay which is a, sort of very important alternative source for sockeye, I guess, in the Angoon area and we've seen harvest there kind of dip these past few years. And that might just be a switching effort to other places and not reflect abundance at all so, yeah.

And next slide.

Moving on to Sitka. We have an active FRMP project at Klag Bay. I'm not super familiar with that project or that system but I do know that it's really flow driven and so fish sort of school up in the saltwater waiting for their opportunity to enter freshwater there and are pretty susceptible to harvest so, again, discharge and things may be a big driver in that. Redoubt Lake, of course, has been doing really well over the past couple years and is a very accessible and popular spot for local residents to harvest sockeye and they seem to be doing pretty well at it. And then there's a few other places around there, it seems like Sitka folks have some -- have some choices to make when it comes to obtaining sockeye.

Next slide.

And, again, just to -- just to show you the charts and graphs there for the Sitka area system. As you can see the harvested and escapement at Redoubt has been pretty strong over these past few years and so that.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. MUSSLEWHITE:that seems to be doing really well. Meanwhile at Klag, we've been seeing that, both harvest and effort -- or both harvest and escapement declining kind of over the long-term but it looks like there was a little bit of a bright spot this past year with improved escapement. So I hope that trend continues.

Next slide.

Moving on to the Kake area. We had monitoring projects at Falls Lake and Gut Bay. Those just actually wrapped up their last year of monitoring this past year. We did have some strong returns at Falls Lake, which have been not so strong in recent years and we did see, kind of the same thing we'd seen in other systems in 2019 with low water making it tough for fish to make it into the system. I personally have the opinion that Falls Lake is really driven by rearing capacity. So we had -- you know, it actually does better at lower escapement and I'll kind of show you

that on the graph here in a little bit. Then of course other systems used by Kake residents is Kutlaku and Alecks Creek.

 $\label{eq:Yeah, next slide, also got some} % \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac$

Yeah, so looking at Falls Lake there, as you can see we had kind of a big -- pretty strong escapement in, you know, 2015, '16, '17 which has dropped off and so I actually personally think that that tended to over escape the lake which is pretty small and fairly, you know, sterile, you know, the nutrients. So we actually tend to get really good returns off of the, you know, like having 1,500/2000 fish in that system, you know, which you can see, you know, we had 2011 through 2013, we had those sort of escapements which resulted in a strong concern. So that actually might be an optimum escapement level for that lake. And then looking at Gut Bay, the harvest at Gut Bay is really variable, it might just have to do with how people are doing at other spots. And then -but it's been on a fairly long-term decline. We don't really know, you know, if that's driven by abundance or not. We did operate a project there over the past couple of years which I think proved to be pretty challenging, just the logistic-wise, we found some pretty variable escapements there over just a couple years.

Next slide.

Moving on to Petersburg and Wrangell. The big player there, of course, is the Stikine and --which is kind of mixed news there. The subsistence chinook fishery there has been closed. Sockeye returns seem to be low here these past few years and I haven't really run that river but it sounds like the past couple years it's been pretty tough with high water. And then, of course, we have Kah Sheets and Mill Creek and Thoms Creek as alternative sources for folks in that area.

And then next slide please.

And then just showing you the harvest and escapement there for the Stikine. So the Fed -- so the current Federal subsistence fishery started, I think, in 2004 there, quickly it got really popular and

took off and some pretty sizeable harvests but then just as escapements in these last years have been pretty weak harvest has declin -- declined as well.

And next slide.

And then just a couple of the smaller systems around the Petersburg, Wrangell, show you the harvest trends there have been, like a lot of these smaller systems, pretty variable, you know, over the years.

And then next slide.

Okay, working our way farther south down to Prince of Wales. There's been two active FRMP projects there, one at Hetta and one at Eek, as well as the Klawock River weir which provides information for — for that system. And then there was a few prior projects there at Karta and Hatchery Creek. And kind of island-wide it seems like sockeye harvest are down in recent years except for Salmon Bay. So this is a big island, it's going to take a few slides to get through it but — so next one.

Looking at escapement and harvest on Hatchery Creek, on the left, which I'm not super familiar with these systems since I am way up here in Juneau but, you know, look -- just -- I know that it was pretty important for a long time and then, you know, returns really declined and then I think people just sort of crossed it off their list there for awhile. So even though we had, you know, maybe some escapement coming back there people were not going there to harvest fish or weren't successful in doing And then on the right, Salmon Bay up on the north end, which seems to be doing pretty well if -- just looking at the harvest numbers there so that's -- you know we -- we have had projects there in the -- in the past but no real recent information but just based on the harvest it must be doing at least reasonably well.

And then next slide.

Then on the west side, of course, the Klawock River system is the big player there and so harvest have been kind of steady and then -- I didn't really hear a lot about what happened this year but it looks like harvest was way down. I don't have an

escapement figure for that though. So I wish I had better information about just the very recent past. And then the other, you know, system -- or kind of looked at is Sarkar, which, I know a lot of folks are scratched their heads trying to figure out how to put together a monitoring project for that but it's such a complex system there that it would be really difficult. But for whatever reason the harvest there have really declined.

Then next slide.

On the east side, Karta where we did have a project there for a few years, you know, same thing with pretty variable harvest and, again, at Kegan Creek and Thorne River.

And then, yeah, next slide when you're ready.

On the south end, of course there's been a monitoring program at Hetta for quite awhile and that one is nice because they have harvest surveys. So you see the yellow line there is the harvest estimate based on the surveys compared to the black line which is the -- which is reported on permits. So whenever I look at reported harvest I always take it with a little bit of grain of salt, and that is a really good illustration of, you know, of what's going on there, the difference between reported and harvest and that -what you get when you really sort of track those fish down. And then on the right, of course, is Eek, where we've had a monitoring project there for, you know, a few years and harvest there for whatever has declined. I think that was another one of those systems that it's sort of an alternative to others and so maybe folks aren't feeling the need to go there, it's a fairly small system.

So, yeah, and then next slide.

And just some fairly recent management actions, I guess, over the past couple of years. On the Situk River, where we get all of our information from the Fish and Game weir there, there's been chinook closures after -- the past couple years from the subsistence there. They -- they did sort of reopen sort of later in the season, mid-July or something like that, and then on the -- the Stikine, those fisheries I

-- are -- or, you know, the chinook fishery at least is basically closed preemptively based on the pre-season forecast which has been happening over the past few years. And there was also a closure for the sockeye fishery in 2019. And then, of course, way down in District 1, we have had -- that eulachon fishery has been closed for a long time but we had a limited opening on the Unuk last year and again this year with the remainder of the district closed.

And then next slide.

And then, yeah, so this was -- this slide was in here -- I left it just kind of a quick rundown of all the various places that we had, you know, kind of sockeye monitoring projects. So those that are in the green kind of got the go ahead for funding for this next cycle so we expect those to continue but Falls and Eeks there in red, those -- do not -- were not recommended for funding, not included in the funding, so they won't be going at least in the near future.

And then, yeah, next slide.

Yeah, I guess if there's sort of a take home message, when I looked at all of these, it's really clear to me that sockeye subsistence users really depend on like a portfolio of places to -- to go to and we see that, and especially when they're looking at, you know, several small systems. So we see that harvest and effort can be really dynamic and with people going, you know, one spot to another for places that are sometimes a little bit opaque, it could be just as simple as the ability to get your boat there, or, you know, weather trends during, you know, was it really stormy during the first couple weeks of July or something like that. So -- yeah, so as we're monitoring these I always try to keep that -- and try to ferret out the -- sort of the underlying story that's going on with subsistence use of all these resources.

And that concludes my presentation but I was listening to the discussion that I wanted to maybe touch base on a couple of things that Albert, and I think others were mentioning. The first would be the discharge and, yeah, Albert, I totally agree with the importance of that and as Justin was saying that we did

1 work that into all of our upcoming FRMP projects. And the ones I operated I tried to lay the groundwork for. 2 We'd been monitoring water level and stuff but I started doing direct discharge measurements. It 5 actually takes a few years or quite a few spot 6 measurements to establish the stage rate and curves and 7 everything like that so we're -- we are definitely on that. And I think an excellent example of that is the 8 9 thing that we saw at Sitkoh Lake where escapement for 10 several years was almost completely driven by a couple 11 of dry summers so it -- it most definitely. I see that 12 at Neva as well. In fact just this morning was working 13 on a graph and looking at how the pulses of sockeye 14 come in as we get the rain and, you know, pulses of 15 high water. So definitely a huge player in that and 16 something that we need to keep an eye on as with 17 climate change, you know, framework -- the framework.

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

Oh, and I think the other thing that Albert was talking about is BC chinook being caught in the Angoon area. I don't really know the background. Perhaps, Albert, we can have a conversation about that. But I would -- just based on what I know about chinook in general, I can assure you that, yes, a probably large proportion of the chinook being caught in the Angoon area are of BC origin and that's been well, well established. That really would not require DNA testing or anything like that. It's well established by years and years of coda wire tag data. I've actually tried to look at this in Angoon but there isn't much coda wire data for Angoon proper because there's no port sampling there but in general I think the rule of thumb is that probably 75 to 80 of the chinook taken in all the fisheries of Southeast Alaska are of BC and Washington, especially Columbia River, Columbia Snake River type origin. So I could probably get you more solid numbers but I'm going to guess an 80/20 split of outside Alaska, Alaska origin is probably a pretty good thing that you could bet on. So -- so yes all those -anything you catch out in front of Angoon is most likely from -- not from Alaska, especially during these winter fisheries. And I can, you know, try to get more information, specific information on that.

43 44 45

And if anybody has any questions I'd be happy to try to answer them.

46 47

48 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is 49 Albert.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, hang on Albert -- we've gone a little bit past noon here and I did tell some folks that we could take their testimony at 1:00 o'clock, and this presentation I'm sure is going to generate a lot of questions but it also kind of leads us into our, you know, call for Federal fish and -- fish wildlife -- fish, shellfish proposals. And, you know, this report that Jake just gave kind of -- kind of informs us a lot of what we want to do with those fish proposals. So I think I'm going to recess now, so 1:00 o'clock. When we come back we'll have some public testimony because I promised that this morning to folks who weren't available this morning. And then we'll get into.....

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, I'm --Mr. Chairman, I'm kind of caught in a Catch-22 today. I've got an obligation to meet with the BIA and it's concerning tribal members in Angoon.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.

MR. HOWARD: And I also have questions about -- about the presentation and so I'm not sure quite how to handle the predicament I'm in.

 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay, sorry, that's right you mentioned that Albert. Well, if it's okay with the rest of the Council members why don't we let Albert ask some questions now and if then if the rest of the Council members can kind of hold off until this afternoon that would -- that would really help us out. So, Albert, go ahead.

MR. HOWARD: I appreciate that, Mr. Chairman. There's two things that — the DNA sampling of chinook salmon, I want the tribe to do it themselves so fishermen in the area can take samples for me and I can send it off to a lab and say — and show the fishermen here, in fact, we either are catching salmon or we're not and I want to have it on record that we either are, and, yes, we agree with the closures or no we're not and we don't agree with the closures. Because it seems like when we close it for everywhere else, Angoon is the only one that can't catch king salmon, everyone else out in Lisianski and Sitka has their own fishery and we get left out and we need it more than anyone.

The second thing is I remember when Ed Gambell, Sr., when the Forest Service was going to shoot the falls at Kanalku he said, not only are you allowing the -- the sockeye easier access to the lake but you're also allowing easier access for the predator fish like the trout. So I think in the future I'd like to see that on how much trout get by and that could be part of the process of this could be the reason why numbers are going to be so low coming up because this -- this amount of trout made it past the weir.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. You have a response there, Jake.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, Mr. Chair, I didn't hear a question there. I'm not -- as far as the role, I guess, of like Dolly varden and other kind of predators on Kanalku fish boy, I -- I don't have any data on that, I don't know if there's any way we could evaluate that at all, yeah.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman. The Dolly varden idea could just be something we could add going forward. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, it might be able to be worked into a project somewhere. They might want to start monitoring that other places as well. So, Albert, yeah, you have a commitment this afternoon, hopefully you'll be back, you know, we're going to be discussing new proposals to put forward. So whether you're here or not this afternoon when we get into that, I'll make sure that you have an opportunity to -to, you know, get in that discussion at some point maybe if it's even a little out of order. So -- but that is what we're going to do this afternoon. We will look at all this information that Jake has provided, the Council can ask questions and if there's Council members that have ideas for proposals to put forward we will move right into that. We'll kind of work it all together.

So I think we can....

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yeah, good

```
0237
     luck with your meeting this afternoon, Albert.
 2
 3
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And for the rest
 6
     of the Council, I think we can kind of delay our
 7
     getting back into session this afternoon until 1:15.
     We'll take an hour long break and reconvene at 1:15.
     DeAnna, if you have access to the Salmon Beyond Borders
 9
     people, tell them that we'll hear from them at 1:15 if
10
11
     they're ready.
12
13
                     (No comments)
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, recess until
16
     1:15.
17
18
                     (Off record)
19
20
                     (On record)
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We can reconvene
22
23
     our meeting and if our Secretary, Frank Wright is with
24
     us, then do a roll call and we'll make sure we've got a
25
     quorum.
26
27
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay.
28
29
                     Ian Johnson.
30
31
                     MR. JOHNSON: I am here.
32
33
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Ian.
34
35
                     Calvin Casipit.
36
37
                     MR. CASIPIT: Here.
38
39
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
40
41
                     Michael Douville.
42
43
                     (No comments)
44
45
                     MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     MR. WRIGHT: James Slater, he's
50
```

```
0238
     probably -- might still be flying.
 3
                      (No comments)
 4
 5
                     MR. WRIGHT: Bob Schroeder, I heard
 6
     you.
 7
 8
                     Albert Howard.
 9
10
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Here.
11
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
12
13
14
                     Mr. Hernandez, I heard you.
15
16
                     Patricia Phillips.
17
18
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Here.
19
20
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Patricia.
21
22
                     Louie Wagner.
23
24
                     MR. WAGNER: Here.
25
26
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
27
28
                     MR. WRIGHT: Harvey Kitka.
29
30
                     MR. KITKA: Here.
31
32
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
33
34
                     John Smith.
35
36
                     MR. SMITH: Here.
37
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
38
39
40
                     Cathy Needham.
41
42
                     MR. DOUVILLE: I am here, Frank, Mike
43
     Douville.
44
45
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thanks, Mike.
46
47
                     Cathy.
48
49
                     MR. WRIGHT: We've got a quorum, Mr.
50
```

0239 Chair. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Frank. So we're going to spend some time this 5 afternoon talking about fish proposals. We're also going to, hopefully, have Jake Musslewhite available to 7 answer questions on the fisheries report that he gave us before lunch. It's going to help inform a lot of what we may be talking about on our proposal process, 10 so that's coming up. But I did offer up an opportunity 11 for public testimony on non-agenda items this afternoon after lunch. And we may have Salmon Beyond Borders 12 13 available to talk to us, but I don't know if they're 14 there. 15 16 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. 17 18 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, hi, Cathy. 19 20 MS. NEEDHAM: I think I figured my 21 phone issue out so I just wanted to let you know I was 22 here. 23 24 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good, okay. Yeah, 25 I don't know if there's anybody from Salmon Beyond Borders who is unfamiliar with our phone system but in 26 order to get into the system -- everybody is muted, I 28 think you have to press star, six to have access. So 29 if there's anybody trying to call in. 30 31 MS. WEITZ: There you go, that was the 32 key I needed, Chairman, thank you. This is Jill Weitz 33 with Salmon Beyond Borders. 34 35 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. And what 36 was your name again. 37 38 MS. WEITZ: My name is Jill, J-I-L-L, 39 Weitz, W-E-I-T-Z. 40 41 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Jill Weitz, 42 yeah, thank you. Glad I could clarify the phone issue 43 for you. So, yeah, you have a presentation for us this 44 afternoon. 45 46 MS. WEITZ: Yeah, I -- I so appreciate 47 the opportunity to speak before all of you. I'm simply 48 doing some follow up. I believe you heard from 49 colleagues both with Salmon Beyond Borders and the

Southeast Alaska Indigenous Transboundary Commission earlier this past fall. But I wanted to just kind of provide an update as it relates to the Alaska BC Transboundary mining issue and the work that Salmon Beyond Borders is doing -- has been doing throughout the region, in coordination with the Southeast Alaska Indigenous Transboundary Commission and I was not in attendance for the presentation that Brie and Fred gave this fall so I hope that I won't be monotonous.

But we are -- our organization and many throughout the region have been focusing on working to kind of establish some -- some standing, if you will, as it relates to the management of the shared rivers, the Taku, the Stikine, and the Unuk watersheds that originate in British Columbia and produce historically 80 percent of Southeast Alaska's king salmon. these systems have been now listed -- their chinook runs have been listed as stocks of concern. The Taku and Stikine being listed in October of 2021 and the Unuk being listed in 2017. But we've been working on this issue for years, even prior to that -- starting actually when we had some historic runs. But long and short, we've been organizing throughout the communities in Southeast Alaska to raise awareness for the notion that British Columbia is pursuing what they deem as the Golden Triangle, this area that is in Northwest British Colombia and we have seen rampant developments and investments in this region in my ten years with Salmon Beyond Borders working on this issue since 2013 and it's not slowing down. And these projects, of course, some of which are already operating and producing mines are near and within the spawning and rearing grounds of the salmon we all depend upon in this region.

We have worked collaboratively throughout the region to, as I said, raise awareness locally but we have also been working to -- working along side with our Alaska Delegation and have had incredible support from our members of Congress, including the late Congressman Young as it relates to garnering resources for USGS to be doing water quality monitoring and to be building working relationships to do said monitoring with some of the tribes in Southeast Alaska but really working to elevate this issue as far and high as we can at the Federal level seeing as it is an international issue inherent with the political border.

48

49

50

1 We've had great conversations with 2 Department of Interior folks over the years and maintain that with current leadership at Interior as 4 well as folks like Ms. Raina Thiele working with DOI, 5 the awareness for these Alaska issues and the issues related to food security and community access to these 6 7 resources, I think is gaining even more traction, which is exciting. And the regional effort that hopefully 9 and I believe Brie and Fred spoke with you all about is 10 the notion that we've been working to garner updated 11 resolutions from municipalities and tribes in Southeast 12 and many of you on this Council actually have been 13 integral in the -- in the successful of -- of not only 14 updating communities and tribes but -- but garnering 15 these resolutions that are specifically requesting two things, one of which is a -- the support for the 16 17 Southeast Alaska Indigenous Transboundary Commission's 18 request for a pause so they present 15 of the 19 19 Federally-recognized tribes in Southeast and sent a 20 letter to Premier Horgan in British Columbia requesting 21 a pause on the permitting of new mines and expansion of 22 existing mines until a consultation process is in 23 place. So these resolutions support that request so 24 that tribes, as they are recognized as sovereign 25 nations in the U.S., that at the Federal -- at the 26 Federal level must be recognized as well. So working 27 as much as we can to support that request. And the 28 second ask in these resolutions was that a ban on 29 tailings dams like that -- for some of you who might be 30 familiar with the tailings dam that failed at the Mt. 31 Polley Mine in the headwaters of the Fraser River 32 watershed. Those types of tailings dams are already 33 built and are proposed to be built and are already 34 permitted in the headwater of these systems and so we 35 are calling for a different means of tailings storage 36 so as to protect human, health and the environment 37 should some of these projects move forward. 38 effectively there have been resolutions passed in every 39 community, every municipality south of Juneau, Juneau's 40 still on the table to -- to pass this resolution so 41 there have been nine municipalities thus far that have 42 passed, as well as six tribes that have passed resolutions supporting these two requests, as well as 44 individual resolutions from groups like United 45 Fishermen of Alaska. 46

And so I -- I think that -- I'm happy to provide you all, Council members, with additional background information, some maps, et cetera, so as to

better understand, not only the current efforts underway by groups in the region but also kind of where we're looking to forge ahead and I think that, of course, with your, you know, support and consideration of a resolution coming from the Regional Subsistence Advisory Council, I think that would be, you know, an incredible way to bolster and support the communities of Southeast that are focused so much on sustaining these rivers that provide our communities with these incredible resources we are so fortunate to have.

With that, I'm happy to stay on and answer questions but I think happy to -- to just send along some information to you, DeAnna, to share with Council members, if that is of interest and so I'll stop with that.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jill. Maybe I'll offer opportunity for Council members to ask any questions first if you're willing to take some.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is somebody on the Council asking a question, I hear somebody.

(No comments)

 $$\operatorname{CHAIRMAN}$$ HERNANDEZ: Perhaps not. So I guess I have a question.

MS. WEITZ: Sure.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You asked if the Council maybe put forward a resolution and there's already resolutions out there, do you have a resolution to send to the Council that maybe we could put something together that would kind of mirror what other folks are asking?

MS. WEITZ: Absolutely. I would be more than happy to share with the Council the draft resolution that Salmon Beyond Borders and Southeast Alaska Indigenous Transboundary Commission put out for communities and tribes to consider. And so I'll send that document as well as copies of the resolutions that have been passed thus far, those that we have access to at this point. Happy to do that.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. That may -- that may be of interest to the Council.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead.

MS. PERRY: This is DeAnna. I just wanted to let the Council know that after Tab 10 in your meeting book, attached to our annual report is a copy of that resolution that was brought up at the fall meeting, if they wanted to look that over. I don't have the additional resolutions that Jill just mentioned but I did want to point that one out in case folks wanted to look at that, we do have that one.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thanks, DeAnna, that's probably what I was looking for, yeah, very good. Any other questions from Council.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, Jill, other than, you know, maybe a resolution coming from the Council, is there any other action that you might recommend that would be helpful if we wanted to express our concerns about this?

MS. WEITZ: I -- absolutely there is always great opportunities, Chairman. As I mentioned, we are still working with the Juneau assembly as they kind of ebb, a bit, if you will, from their focus on a lot of our Covid mitigations here in Juneau but I think for those Council members who reside in Juneau and/or would be interested in writing to the assembly specifically requesting that they, too, would follow as the municipalities throughout Southeast have done to take up a resolution and consider a resolution, I think that would be incredibly helpful.

And then I think, you know, this is so interesting for me, like talking at a phone and not seeing all of you on a screen or being able to share information but I think, you know, that if there are every opportunities to better understand how some of the Council's work and the other regional efforts throughout Southeast could either, you know, folks are

1 interested in learning more about transboundary and the -- the various efforts and collaborations between the 2 Fed and tribe and local municipalities, I think it would be great, you know, to just know that we are here 5 as a resource and to be able to make connections for folks and share information as we can. And I think 6 7 that potentially I -- I don't know the exact structures and interface with the Department, per se, but we have 9 been working with our -- you know, Senator Murkowski is 10 vice Chair of the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs 11 and have been working to hopefully garner support for 12 that committee to host a -- either a roundtable 13 discussion which focuses on indigenous sovereignty and 14 access to resources and food security as it relates to 15 these shared watersheds, and so I think support for that but also DOI, as a member of the White House 16 17 Committee on Indian Affairs, they have a specific 18 subcommittee related to international indigenous 19 affairs and that's something we've been working with 20 Ms. Thiele to try to advance so as to create as many 21 opportunities as we can for especially the tribes of 22 Southeast Alaska to -- to have their concerns heard and 23 elevated and advanced. And, you know, I just think 24 it's always important to recall that this particular 25 issue has just been one that has united so many sectors 26 of Southeast Alaska that aren't typically united, you 27 know, between gear groups and sportfish, and tribes and 28 communities and business owners and tour operators, 29 it's been really unique in that realm and to be a part 30 of that and so I think if any of you, as Council 31 members, identify opportunities for engagement then, 32 you know, a better understanding of the issue, please, 33 just, my ask is, yeah, that you reach out and -- and 34 know that we are -- that we are here to help serve. 35

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that's a good observation. Our Council members are involved in a lot of various, yeah, agencies and groups, yeah, across the spectrum. So yeah they can certainly bring that message to spread it around. So very good. Any

other questions from Council members. Comments.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. WEITZ: Thank you all so much for your time.

49

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46 47

48

0245 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, well, thank you for bringing that to our attention again, and, yep, we might take that up before the end of the meeting and discuss a resolution. So thank you for bringing that 5 to our attention and passing along your information, 6 very helpful. Thank you, very much. 7 8 MS. WEITZ: Thank -- thank you, take 9 care. 10 11 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna, 12 I have a question for Jill. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 15 DeAnna. 16 17 MS. PERRY: Jill, are you still there. 18 19 (No comments) 20 21 MS. PERRY: Oh, she might have already 22 disconnected. I was just trying to find out if that 23 resolution had been signed. Attached to our annual 24 report was a copy of it, it had not been signed at the 25 time we drafted the annual report and if it was signed 26 I'd like to attach a signed copy. So I will try to 27 reach out to her or maybe the folks at Central Council 28 who might be able to answer that for me. 29 30 Thank you. 31 32 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Bring back 33 that answer to us when you get it DeAnna. Okay, moving 34 on with the agenda. We are to the point where we call 35 for Federal fish and shellfish proposals. And Justin 36 Koller, maybe you can present that although we might 37 have some questions on the fisheries report, Jake, are 38 you still there. 39 40 MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yes, Mr. Chairman, 41 I'm here. Thanks. 42 43 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So Justin why 44 don't you just get us started by telling us what's 45 required here with the call for proposals and then 46 we'll get into a question session here. 47

MR. KOLLER: Okay, Mr. Chair, that

sounds good. Good afternoon, Mr. Chair. Members of

48

49

0246 1 the Council. For the record my name is Justin Koller. I'm a Fisheries Biologist with the Office of 2 Subsistence Management. I'll just give you a brief update on our call for Federal fish and shellfish 5 proposals. This is an action item and there is a one 6 pager that contains pertinent information, and I 7 believe it is about Tab 7 in your meeting materials. 8 9 The Federal Subsistence Board is 10 accepting proposals this spring to change the Federal 11 regulations for the subsistence take of fish and 12 shellfish on Federal public waters for the 2022 through 13 2025 regulatory cycle. The Board will consider 14 proposals to change Federal fish and shellfish seasons, 15 harvest limits, methods and means and customary and 16 traditional use determinations. The current window of 17 opportunity to submit proposals opened on March 17th 18 and closes May 16th. As a Council, you may vote on 19 fisheries proposals to submit to the Council 20 Coordinator who will submit them on behalf of the 21 Council. Proposals from the general public are 22 submitted to the regulations website at 23 www.regulations.gov. Again, there is more information 24 on how to submit proposals in the news release in your 25 meeting materials at Tab 7, I believe. 26 27 The proposals will be analyzed and 28 presented to this Council during the fall meeting. 29 Proposal analysis and Council recommendations will be 30 presented to the Federal Subsistence Board for their 31 actions at the January 2023 fisheries regulatory 32 meeting. 33 34 That concludes my presentation and 35 happy to take any questions related to the fisheries 36 regulatory cycle process or discuss proposals you would 37 like to put forward. Thank you. 38 39 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 40 Justin. Any Council members with questions about what 41 our role is in this and how we're going to proceed. 42 43 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair. 44 45 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. 46

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cathy, go ahead.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

47

48 49

You know we had a presentation by Jake Musslewhite and I had some questions for him on the fisheries report that didn't necessarily relate into the call for Federal fish proposals. Some of the questions that I had for him actually probably are a little more pertinent to the FRMP program, the funding that comes out of FRMP and so I don't know if we were going to have an opportunity to have any discussion about that kind of stuff before we submitting proposals. Because I don't think my questions to him are going to be able to be turned into a proposal for regulations.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay, I understand that Cathy, no, we'll open up a forum here for Jake to answer any questions that we might have on his presentation this morning. So, yeah, I kind of wanted to make sure everybody was clear on the proposal process here that the Council is going to be taking up next as well.

(Pause)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.

 $\,$ MS. NEEDHAM: So did you want me to ask my questions of him now or do you....

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I'm not hearing any other questions on process so go ahead let's get into any other questions from our morning session here, the fisheries report.

MS. NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Mr. Chair. So I have some questions about, you know, Jake talked about the slide that was up there for South Prince of Wales Island that showed the data and it was the only system that's being monitored under the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program that I could tell that actually has a comparison of local harvest survey data versus harvest reported on permits. And I do know that the Klawock system is going to attempt to do this as well. But I'm wondering if there are any other systems that have a little bit of, not just one year data, but a little bit of extra data that actually does that kind of comparison. And then if he could answer

that then I have some follow up to that.

2

4

5

6 7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, through the Chair. Again, for the record this is Jake Musslewhite, Fishery Biologist for the Forest Service. We -- there actually was harvest monitoring done at Falls Lake and Gut Bay as well. I think actually if Justin Koller's still on, he ran that project and probably has a better handle on what any differences between the reported harvest and then the, you know, estimated harvest based on surveys and observations and such are. But in general, you know, we do see that same pattern that was sort of shown on that slide at Hetta there where the -you know, the -- the harvest that is observed by folks hanging out there for the whole season watching what's really happening on the ground is quite a bit different than reported harvest, quite a bit higher. My personal rule of thumb is I always tend to take the reported harvest and double it and it's probably closer to what's really going on.

20 21

Thank you.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. NEEDHAM: Okay. And then I also know that information about harvest sometimes comes from household harvest surveys for sockeye, so what I'm trying to get at -- I mean I personally think the local harvest survey data, if you can get it, on the fishing grounds or in follow up surveys, is probably the most accurate method, but I also understand that like at Hetta it's a pretty unique system and that it's mostly closed, they know who their fishermen are at any given time and they know when the boats leave and they are able to follow up with members in the community on that. So kind of my overall broader question is, should we be thinking about funding, or finding funding mechanisms that collect data that is more useful to us than some of that harvest that is being reported just back on permits since we all know that the harvest permit data is not as accurate. And I say that because I think we can try to find a way to collect data in a confidential way that doesn't, you know, bring enforcement issues to pass, but -- and maybe get rid of this whole harvest reporting on permits if it's not going to be as useful data and try to push towards getting more accurate data. Because I think in some cases the data is being used to determine need for communities and where they're taking that from, I'm not sure that we're doing our best job there. So that was

a couple questions all rolled into a whole bunch of my thoughts on the matter but the household harvest survey, is that comparable back to it, and then is there something that we should be trying to make sure is more funded in terms of data back to management agencies.

Thank you.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, through the Chair. Yeah, those household harvest surveys are great. I don't have a whole lot of experience with them because my projects don't really limit themselves well to those sort of harvest surveys and, you know, on-site surveys are great too. The advantage, of course, the household harvest surveys is you can follow the fish sort of through the economy as it were and see what, you know, how they get distributed to further households and everything like that. I think that the current permit system has a huge disconnect between how things have traditionally been done and actually still are being done, where you have a limited number of people with the means and equipment that are harvesting fish for multiple households, where the permits themselves are tied to one person -- household, with some possibility for proxy fishing and that kind of thing but, you know, there's a big mismatch there between how fishing and then distribution is really done and the permitting system. So, yes, there's that.

However, doing those sorts of surveys and monitoring is expensive and laborious, or else we'd be doing a lot more of it and so if you have some great idea about how to do it better I am definitely all ears. So I hope that answered your questions.

MS. NEEDHAM: Yeah, I mean I think it's a discussion that I'm glad that we can have. And I was glad to see, you know, that particular slide in this presentation because it really -- I wanted to make sure that this Council was aware and that we understand what the differences in the data collection methods are especially when it comes time for us to recommend what we think are priority information needs and how to do it. I wish I had the magic answer. I think every system is different, depending on if it's a closed -- like if you know who your harvesters are at any given time, of if it's a more open system. Like I mentioned we're going to try these surveys that we did in Hetta,

there was a funded proposal for -- to try to do that -- an attempt to do that back in Klawock, which is not a closed system but multiple communities that harvest out of that system but it's also kind of a finite harvesting time so we're hoping to be able to on-site (Indiscernible) that and see how that works.

 $$\operatorname{But}\ I$$ don't have any bright ideas on how to necessarily do it better.

I'm glad to hear that the household harvest survey information is also -- a little more accurate and better reflected into what's really going on when it comes to subsistence harvest take.

I also wanted to ask one other question that didn't have anything else to do with that particular subject but more on -- I don't know if it's a question. Eek and -- the Eek project is actually an Eek and Kasook project and you mentioned that it won't be recommended for funding. But I wanted Council members to know that it is -- it's on a separate funding cycle with FRMP than like Neva, Sitkoh, Klag, Falls and Hetta are so it is a project that is still ongoing for two years and it does look at escapement for both Eek and Kasook. But with that being said the community of Hydaburg didn't really harvest sockeye out of either of those systems this past year, they utilized a completely separate system so their harvest data permits that comes back won't show pretty much any harvest by the community because -- I don't know that that actually -- I shouldn't say that, I don't know what they're reporting -- but we're not seeing -- you know, we don't see any harvest data for some of those other systems that they have and they have 16 small systems around their community that are known sockeye runs, whether they're small or whether they're large and they do move around a lot more especially in times of low abundance at Hetta, and I'm wondering if that's representative in other communities as well besides just Hydaburg.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, through the Chair. I think that's an excellent observation. We do see that in a whole bunch of communities. Of course I'm up here on the north end so I don't -- I'm not as familiar with all the Prince of Wales systems but to use an area I'm more familiar with, for instance, folks in Angoon have always had Kanalku as perhaps the

leading source because of its accessibility but -- and, you know, you can get to it in your old beater 16 foot Lund sort of deal, but then across Chatham is like Basket Bay, Kook Lake, you know, Hanus Bay, Lake Eva, Sitkoh Lake, that sort of thing. So it seems like each community definitely maintains this portfolio of sources and, you know, honestly the biologists -- it's difficult to untangle how those choices are made as to where folks go. We don't have the resources to monitor all those places, we can't keep folks on the beach waiting for somebody to show up that may never show up, you know, so they can count their fish, and so I'm always trying to talk to folks when I can and try to figure out what is driving those choices, you know, from season to season and throughout the season and it's often just things like, you know, a stretch of weather or just, you know, just random things about who's boat happened to be running at the time in a small enough community, so -- so that becomes a very difficult thing to, you know, keep your finger on the pulse and it changes year to year. So that's just one of the challenges we face, I think, in trying to monitor these things.

So to take that Angoon example a little bit further, you know, I've definitely toyed with the idea of trying to establish some sort of central place in Angoon where people can bring us their catch so we can take scale samples from multiple systems or, you know, we can see where they're coming from and then -- where the fish are coming from and where the people went to catch them and then also to whom are they being distributed to, if we can figure some of that out.

So, yeah, I hope that -- I maybe lost your question in there but, you know, those are definitely some of my thoughts on that matter.

MS. NEEDHAM: I appreciate all of that information. And I want to iterate what Patty actually put in the meeting chat, is, that I thought the presentation was an excellent presentation and thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks, Cathy. Just a comment, I mean this last discussion is making an excellent argument for indigenous management. I can see all the possibilities available to keeping better track of these catches if its done locally.

1 But, Jake, I have a question.

In looking at all this permit data, I guess it's my understanding that most of the fishing in these systems takes place in State jurisdiction and I'm not sure, is all the permitting State permitting or is there joint permits between State and Federal systems, or how does that work.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, Mr. Chair. The vast majority of the permits are State permits and I think, you know, almost all of the fishing that I'm personally aware of is, you know, done sort of at the stream mouth or very close, like in marine waters just adjacent so it would be a State managed fishery at that point. There is some places where people do dipnetting and things like that but that's more the exception than the rule. And we do, of course, have a Federal permit system that tends to be used more on Prince of Wales and that sort of thing. But, you know, that's certainly available to folks. I think it would be -the only advantages, I believe -- somebody might correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you can only like use rod and reel under a Federal, you know, that sort of thing, but it's also limited to the freshwater. So, you know, you have a lot more flexibility, I guess with the State permit. And honestly the State permit system works, you know, well, they do all the work for us in terms of -- you know, they have electronic reporting and things like that that we don't have. that's -- that's probably a good way to keep doing things, if that helps.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yeah, just kind of wanted to make that clear to everybody that even though you're giving us all this information, it actually does come from the State and then it's them who is compiling it and they have their methods of doing that and that's kind of what we rely on for our information it sounds like.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}\xspace$. KENNER: Hello, Mr. Chair, can you hear me.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, I can.

MS. KENNER: Oh, that's wonderful. This is Pippa Kenner with OSM. I just wanted to add to what Jake is saying.

0253 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. 2 3 MS. KENNER: Yeah, Jake, I agree with 4 everything you say and the State permit system is good 5 in all waters, not just marine waters, whereas the 6 Federal permit is generally available for freshwaters 7 with a few exceptions. 8 9 But I did want to say that there have 10 been a number of validation studies for the salmon --11 subsistence salmon harvest permit program in Southeast 12 Alaska and we do have information that some communities 13 are using that system more than others. In the reports 14 that are done, primarily now by Lauren Sill with the 15 Division of Subsistence, in most of those reports 16 consideration of community subsistence patterns, she 17 does include -- she will include a discussion of how 18 the permit system is working, but those -- that 19 research is few and far between and we definitely could 20 use better information when we're writing analysis 21 about people's dependence on fishing in general and 22 salmon in particular. 23 24 Thank you. 25 26 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you for that 27 additional information, Pippa. Yeah, I don't want to get too deep in the hole permitting process but I guess 28 29 it is important to understand a little bit more about 30 how it works seeings how we do have these discrepancies 31 in numbers here between harvest surveys and permit 32 information so we have to keep that in mind, I guess. 33 Any other questions from Council on any other..... 34 35 MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair. 36 37 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:for Jake 38 Musslewhite this morning. 39 40 MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair. 41 42 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. 43 44 MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie. 45 46 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, who is this?

MR. WAGNER: Louie.

47 48

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Louie.

MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have maybe about three questions for Jake. You show one picture of the eulachon and another picture that looks like the Klehini and we, my son and nephew and I went up to get the eulachons last year, get our one bucket and our proxy and the fish I see in the school here, they'll lay in the river like this and maybe hundred, two or 300 feet up, there's some more, they school off in their areas like that for spawning and I was wondering if he had more pictures to show us because he has in here there was on site monitoring on the 25th and when we were there, we didn't see anyone, there was no one on the river, just two enforcement officers that boarded us when we came out of the river with our bucket.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, through the Chair. I am not directly involved with that. I have seen a few photos and things like that. So I'm not the best person to ask. I mean I could probably dig up some more photos and everything like that. But, yeah, that's the best I -- I can do for you there in terms of, you know, more documentation of those. Rob Cross actually promised to take any eulachon questions for me so I mean perhaps if he's on and he has, you know, access to some resources or something like that then, you know, we -- we -- he might be able to help you better than I could.

 MR. WAGNER: Yeah, and we had no problem getting our fish, it was just, you know, a couple of hundred feet from our cabin on the river, we're land owners up there also. And they don't, you know, only go up that — the upper landing slough, they go up the Eulachon, they go up the main river, they go up the Klehini, you know, we need better reports if you folks are going to be going up there. If my son and I can make up there this year — where I should be right now — we'll try to get some good pictures to bring back for the fall meeting and whatever information we could gather.

And on seven harvesters that participated in the fishery, there was no one there and the other one was, I figure, was our neighbor, his cabin is next to ours -- well, there was three of us and we had three proxies, so to me that's seven, was

0255 there actually seven fishermen or 4? 2 3 MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, through the 4 Chair, that's..... 5 6 MR. WAGNER:should get your story 7 straight. 8 9 MR. MUSSLEWHITE:that -- yeah, 10 that slide was given to me. It would take me a few 11 minutes, I could look up -- you know, those were all through the Federal permitting system as far as I'm 12 13 aware so I would have to pull those out of our database 14 to see exactly who those -- you know, who those folks 15 were, or how many unique people or whatever, yeah, so I'm not sure about that. That was just sort of 16 17 information I was given. 18 19 MR. WAGNER: Okay. Because.... 20 21 MR. CROSS: Mr. Chair, this is Rob 22 Cross, I could shed some light if you'd like. 23 24 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think I heard 25 Rob Cross want to break in. 26 27 MR. CROSS: Yes, Mr. Chair, thank you. 28 So to a few of those -- or to both those questions from 29 Council Member Wagner, I believe it was. We will take 30 any information we can get on the Unuk River eulachon. 31 We like to use the local land owners and the fishermen 32 that go down there or harvesters that go down there and 33 as you know, better than anyone, it's difficult to get 34 there and with tides and flight restrictions and things 35 like that so if you have any photos we take as much 36 traditional, ecological knowledge and reports as we can 37 get. So we would appreciate that. 38 39 As far as the surveys, I wasn't 40 directly involved in those, but the U.S. Forest Service 41 Staff and I believe some members of KIA go down there 42 and they stay in a local land owner's cabin and try to 43 run as many of those rivers and sloughs as they can 44 with a jet boat but they're limited in their capacity due to getting out there, having to two a jet boat out 45 46 in big water and things like that. 47 48 And as far as the harvest reports go, 49 we did get a report that seven harv -- seven permits

were used and I -- I don't have the data on whether those were all desig -- whether those were all individual harvesters or as you said there were some designated harvester permits that were used as well. I do know that 7 out of 122 permits were utilized through a combination of direct use and designated harvester.

6 7 8

5

So hopefully that clears that up.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

MR. WAGNER: You know your folks that are reporting, it makes a big difference on us being able to harvest our traditional fish and it's very important at the beginning of the season for everyone in Southeast, it's the first fish, and the reporting is very important and to be -- have the best information you can, you know, we wait -- we can hardly wait for this time of the year to be on the river and I'm hoping to get up there after this meeting if ${\tt I'm}$ not too late and my big boat is down right now. I had to send my steering south and I'm hoping for good weather to go up in my outboard so I can be there and hopefully get some pictures. So I know I'm talking about, when I get up there and harvest our fish. But this monitoring needs to be monitored 100 percent. So just flying over and taking a picture just doesn't cut it because the fish could be on the opposite side of where those fish are and you can see the shade from the trees, you won't know if that's the shade or fish in there and it's -the whole river could be black. And when the fish come in, they come in with the tide so there's fish all the way down through the river and at night when the tide changes and it's coming in again, the fish are coming in at night. When we tie to our log there, you put a flashlight over and you can see the fish coming up the river. And a picture of the flats would be really good to show all the seals and sea lions and the birds that are down on the flats, you know, all of those would really make a difference.

38 39 40

41 42 It's just a better monitoring should be done if you guys are going to monitor this because it matters to all of our lives, this whole community in Metlakatla, Saxman, very important.

43 44 45

Thank you.

46 47

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Louie.

48 49

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I absolutely agree with that and I think to tie all this together to what Member Needham was saying as well, the best estimate of harvest that we can get, the cheapest and should be the most reliable is self reporting. And so I think that harvesters due to mistrust or whatever it is, often do themselves a disservice by not fully reporting their harvest and ${\tt I}$ think that, you know, we often incorporate -- or we always incorporate the harvest estimates that we can get with escapement estimates and so if we're getting under reporting on harvest it makes escapement look lower and then, again, I think it's doing a real disservice. And so if we can promote people to harvest 100 percent -- or to report 100 percent of their harvest they would be helping themselves out, they would be giving us better information to use and we can make more informed management decisions on that.

And then as far as the Unuk and eulachon go, right ow we have a consultant from the Chilkoot Indian Association that is doing environmental DNA work up there and she is presently down on the Unuk River trying to do some recon and see if we can use environmental DNA to get better escapement estimates. As you mentioned, eulachon are very difficult. They don't have high sight fidelity, and the systems that they are are extremely braided so going in and putting in some sort of weir is just technically not possible.

 Yeah, so I appreciate everything you've said and we really -- again, we appreciate any information we can get from local harvesters or land owners and it's been critical.

And one last thing is that limited opener that we have on the Unuk River is not only allowing harvest opportunity for Federally-qualified users but it's -- it's giving us information -- we're using it as a survey since we are having a hard time getting out there and it's logistically difficult, we're using that harvest to try to estimate, or use it as an index of that returning population. And, so, again, I would just emphasize if people can fully report their harvest it would help us out a lot and I think, in turn, it would give us a better idea of how many fish are coming back and potentially lead to a

0258 larger opener. 2 3 Thank you. 4 5 MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, can I respond 6 to one thing. 7 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, go ahead, 9 Louie. 10 11 MR. WAGNER: On the -- if they -- no 12 on-site due to access difficulty, well, there's no 13 harbor up there, Mr. Chair, and the weather can come up 14 -- it's either out of the Southeast, east, north or 15 westerly and boats upwards have been lost up there in 16 my time, going to and from the logging camps, just in 17 Shrimp Bay there, but it is not safe and I read in the 18 book here somewhere where it was mentioned by -- it 19 might have been Albert, that, you know, safety of the 20 people come first so you have to think of the safety of 21 them. They're unfamiliar with the area, last year 22 everyone was so excited to go up, they thought they 23 were going to follow me. So I left early in a storm, I 24 didn't want to be responsible for anyone because those 25 flats are over a mile long on the beach there and you 26 start getting too many people coming up there like that 27 it's no good, they're not experienced of the river, 28 it's very shallow and sand bars all the way getting up 29 there so it's -- it's a big concern if you start just 30 telling the people to go up -- it's not like in 31 Anchorage where you could drive there on the road, you 32 know, so safety should be a very important issue here. 33 34 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 35 36 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chair, John Smith. 37 38 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, 39 Louie. Go ahead, John. 40 41 MR. SMITH: Yeah, I really want to echo 42 out the -- in being really specific on the data, like 43 we were talking about the moose earlier of knowing who 44 harvested and where they were from and where they 45 harvested from and getting the numbers to be more 46 direct. I really like the idea of having a rep in each 47 community, a tribal rep would be really good that would 48 help the system and, of course, this would encourage 49 money, maybe there's funds to put that position there.

In our culture make note that I'm Kaagwaantaan from the Eagle Nest House, (In Tlingit) and also Ed Mercer Box House, I have responsibility to them of understanding -- keeping an eye on who's coming in to your property to harvest off the -- off the land. It was okay to do that as long as you came in and checked in with the head house and -- and as long as you made connection that it was okay. So having a rep in each of the villages would bring the cultural component into place but also having the tribe like, take for instance, Angoon, Basket Bay is a sockeye creek straight across -- I live in Juneau but I lived in Hoonah before and I still harvested out of Basket Bay, I still went to Excursion Inlet, I went to Hoktaheen and specific times of the moon and the sun and the stars are lined up, you go to those specific places knowing that the sockeye people are there.

I really -- also I'm an educator, most of my life and I see a good time to start educating, getting on the radio and maybe documenting in the paper of how important it is for each of -- I mean all ethnicities and all people that harvest off the land, air, sea, that they help us, and it's important that they -- and how can we really make that important so that people aren't making little fibs on there because the little fibs don't help us. The testimony from the community also I really like. So I encourage those things. And money would be a key to put a position up. But I think the tribe would be a good connection and maybe some of us like my big brother Herman and -- and others that are here are cultural bearers so maybe we can -- can encourage that.

I do have a lot of questions about the mine also. And the two questions I have about the mine is also about monitoring, is keeping an eye on who's keeping an eye on that, who's doing the testing of the carbon and the oxygen level, the elements that are being — floating in the water, understanding that. In the document here it talked about in 1950 that there was a bunch of money that was put up there and it says, did they clean up, does it look like they cleaned up, how's the river look, you know, those are my concerns, are we really pushing to monitor but also making them responsible, respectful and safe miners.

Thank you.

0260

1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
2 John.
3

4 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.
5 I really want to ask a question about the data that was
6 presented by Jake earlier and I thought we were asking

9 10 11

7

8

that.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, he's available for questions. Go ahead, Cal.

12 13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

MR. CASIPIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Jake, on your presentation for the Hoonah, Icy Straits page, I was looking through that, and it's not written here but earlier you said something about locals and Excursion Inlet not fishing there because they know escapements are low or something like that. I mean is that same method getting to, you know, that self-service lodge that's in Excursion.

questions about his presentation from the FRMP project, and I've got some questions I'd like to ask him about

212223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, through the Chair. Member Casipit. Yeah, that's just -- based on a couple of conversations I had with some of the cabin owners there around Excursion, you know, because they -- when I'm there in that area, I think they know who I am and stuff and so we, you know, talk about how the run is going and stuff and so I just kind of heard a few mentions that maybe there's sort of a -- you know a -- a community agreement not to fish there because there was the perception that the run wasn't doing that well. I don't know how widespread that is or whatever, but, you know, I do know that perception is there and that may be is one of the things that is sort of constraining harvest there -- recently. As far as the operation -- the charter operation, for those who aren't aware of it there's Doc Warners, which is one of those sort of unguided angler type things right there at Excursion Inlet, so they give you a boat and a lunch and a map and say go for it and, you know, so it's kind of one of those classic unguided things that seems to be becoming more and more popular. I have not talked with them in recent years about it. We have put lots of signs up around access to Neva like kind of down at the bridge by Saltwater and further up the road and everything, reminding folks -- because I think awareness with some of our -- you know, that closure is -- is tough, if you were to look in the Fish and Game

sport regs you would not see any mention of the sockeye closure there, I don't believe. Because it's strictly a Federal regulation. So we've tried to make people aware of that. I have heard anecdotes about people from the lodge going up there and catching fish, I've never encountered any myself but, you know, I think my crew has occasionally seen people up there. So that's -- I think on my list for this year is to, you know, make contact with Doc Warners and just sort of see if they are directing people to go up there to fish and get them to stop or, you know, basically make them aware if that helps.

Does that answer your question, Cal.

MR. CASIPIT: Yeah, it does. And it's kind of what my personal observations there. That's my primary place I go get sockeyes and I — and I went there last summer and got 10 so somebody — nobody's talked to me about a community closure there. And — and as far as the folks from Doc Warners coming, you know, I find that most of the time when you see the folks from there fishing is when — when the straits are smoking. You know, if there's — if there's a bunch of wind on Icy Straits then most of those people run up and go fish for sockeye instead of going out into the Straits and so on.

 $\label{eq:continuous} I\,\text{'ve got other issues with Doc Warners} \\ \text{people there but it's not related to the Federal} \\ \text{Program.}$

The second question I have is in regards to the Sitka presentation you did for Redoubt. And I was looking at the escapement there and ups and downs, and I was wondering -- I thought there was -- but is there an escapement goal range for Redoubt under the Fish and Game management system?

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, through the Chair. Member Casipit. Yeah, there definitely is an escapement goal range, in fact, there's an entire Redoubt Bay management plan. I don't -- I'd have to look it up, I don't remember the numbers off the top of my head but it's, you know, a carefully crafted allocation plan that, you know, sets aside, you know, clearly lays out the management between subsistence and sport and commercial fisheries. There is provisions there for seine openers, you know, given a certain

```
0262
 1
     projected return and that sort of thing so, yeah,
     that's a pretty carefully observed and managed fishery
 2
     to say the least.
 4
 5
                     MR. CASIPIT: Yeah, I remember that
 6
     management plan too. I was -- it just escapes me what
 7
     the escapement goal range was and I was just asking if
     you might remember it. I know about that management
 8
 9
     plan and how it works as far as higher escapements more
10
     things are happening there. But somehow -- somehow the
11
     40 to 60 was the range -- somehow -- I don't know, I
12
     don't know if that's true or not. But, yeah, it -- I
13
     don't need it right now but if you guys can check on
14
     that and let me know what the escapement goal range is
15
     at some point, email me or whatever. Appreciate it.
16
17
                     MR. KOLLER: Mr. Chair, this is Justin
18
    Koller.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          Justin, go ahead.
21
22
                     MR. KOLLER: Through the Chair. Mr.
23
     Casipit. That escapement goal range for Redoubt Lake,
24
     which I believe was set back in 2001 or 2003 is 7,000
25
     through 25,000 is the goal range.
26
27
                     Thank you.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
30
     Justin.
              Cal, if you're done are there any other
31
     Council members with a question.
32
33
                     MR. CASIPIT: Yeah, I'm done.
34
35
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody
38
     else with a question on the fisheries report.
39
40
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Ian.
43
44
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, definitely some
45
     themes that I want to continue on a little bit. So,
46
     yeah, we've heard about the under reporting and, you
47
     know, Jake, thanks for a great presentation, along with
48
     others have said. You know, I'm just concerned about
49
     even like the rule of thumb of doubling reporting,
```

you're seeing a harvest of zero in the Eva system and only a little over 200 in Hoktaheen and that's -- it seems that even an assumption of a doubling rule of thumb isn't going to get you probably at what are real harvest number is there. I know our community of Hoonah has had to shift to Surge Bay and other places based on conditions but I'm real concerned about the fish under reporting because I guess I think back to the deer discussions we had last autumn or this --yeah, last autumn, and, you know, basically lack of reporting by our communities was identified as a key issue and especially when addressing competition and that -- you know, that competition that Cal just brought up in that Neva Lake system is a real key one and I don't want Hoonah or Gustavus or Pelican or other communities that use that system to start seeing, you know, I guess a deregulation or like a lack of control there because they're not reporting so that's strong --I'm definitely really concerned about that.

The -- also just anecdotally, or -- yeah, anecdotally, you mentioned climate change effect in these systems and I heard, you know, reports during that big drought that we had a couple years that that Hoktaheen fish, especially, got really stacked up and actually may have been really -- really susceptible to harvest and not totally sure what the effect of that's going to be but some of these systems, you know, the effect doesn't just happen in the river itself, it happens when these fish can't get into the mouth of the river. So I just wanted to bring that up for thinking about future considerations in our monitoring and how we're thinking about river levels.

And I'd -- and another -- sorry, I promise, I won't go on too long, but I'll try to get it all out here.

I did notice that during the presentation the general theme was that harvest is down, subsistence harvest is down and the timelines for that to me, to my eye, seemed to align with when permits were no longer given out directly physically within our communities. So in Hoonah, I think it was 2017 or '18 the State stopped coming here, became slowly a digital process, and I think you -- that's -- we've lost out on an opportunity for reporting when that happened and so I don't know what the reason for that was other than money but to me there's a direct

relationship amongst all the systems when that -- I assume the State is not giving out permits in other communities across the region as well, or maybe it's just Hoonah.

And, yeah, I guess that's all I have and sorry maybe you'll have to mine out my questions and concerns a little bit but that's what's been on my brain here as folks have been talking.

 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So thanks for that Ian, I mean this is a really important give and take we're having here with the questions and answers and comment because as I said, all of this, you know, might inform what we want to do with proposed regulation changes. So it's good to get -- have all these discussion points. So any other Council members have a question.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ MUSSLEWHITE: Mr. Chair, this is Jake, if I could maybe just speak to Ian's comments there.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Good idea, go ahead.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah. I guess in terms of the kind of permit harvest numbers and everything, I guess the way I've personally approached it is to view a lot of those things, you know, what we call an index. You know he mentioned my rule of thumb of doubling it which is, you know, maybe a little crude but, you know, when I see the numbers I know that maybe that's not capturing everything but it usually is good enough to at least capture the trends. So hopefully, you know, so that in itself, usually the trend is more important than the absolute value of, you know, the actual numbers. So, you know, that helps a little bit.

And also he mentioned, I think that was an important to thing up, is that kind of that transition where we saw the old school paper permit to the electronic permit maybe was sort of a break, and maybe a counter intuitive one, where you think that reporting on the electronic permit would be better and would encourage more reporting but, you know, as he mentioned I think Fish and Game has a practice of going to some of the smaller communities and issuing permits but also kind of cornering people to report their catch

1 before they would be issued the next year's permit and that practice stopped. I'm -- I'm not sure of the extent of that practice. I actually tried to start that up again in Angoon myself and, you know, just held 5 a public meeting in Angoon at the office there where I kind of presented some of the work I was doing and, you 6 7 know, just sort of information on the Federal program here but most importantly set up a couple computers and 8 9 walked people through getting permits and then 10 reporting their catch and stuff and so we definitely 11 could expand efforts in that arena but, you know, it is 12 expensive for the travel and laborious and such. So 13 there's that. I got shut down in that effort by Covid, 14 essentially, after holding it for one whole year 15 straight, but I'm going to try to revive it this year 16 and in Angoon and maybe once I get my feet under me I 17 can try to extend that to Hoonah as well, although I 18 can't promise anything, but I'm definitely trying to 19 work in that direction anyway.

20 21

Yeah, so I hope that helps.

22 23

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, anything

else Ian.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, thanks, thanks Jake. I think one follow up for me, is, as we're talking about these topics of co-management, I think there are some really expensive endeavors to undertake from a Federal and State perspective that would be much, much cheaper and efficient for communities to take on themselves and these household surveys seems to be a prime example to me, you know, and it goes along with what was mentioned by John and Louie, you know, to establish a position in the communities. But that just seems like a really natural place for us to start collecting some of these datas. But, yeah, it's expensive to mobilize, house yourself and deal with inclimate weather and everything coming in from the outside but it's a relatively easy and inexpensive process for us to take on internally.

41 42 43

44

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Ian. Other Council members with questions for Jake on his presentation.

45 46 47

(No comments)

48 49

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We may be

1 done with questions for now, of course, there may be more that arise as we get into proposals. So as Justin 2 Koller presented to us, this is an opportunity, this meeting, for the Council to draft proposals. It will 5 be our only opportunity as a Council to do that. 6 should point out, you know, that Council members 7 working with their local organizations, groups, whatever, can certainly put in proposals up through, I 9 think it was May 22nd, if I recall, sometime in May. 10 So, you know, this is not Council members only 11 opportunity to put in proposals but if you want a 12 proposal to come from the Council this is the only 13 opportunity.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So maybe just preliminary, maybe if people have to think about it a little bit, maybe we need to take a little break but maybe just a brief discussion here, are there Council members out there that have proposals in mind that they'd like to bring forward. And maybe -- maybe we can just kind of, without going into too much detail, maybe we can just get an idea of what those proposals are first and then go back and we'll work on them.

232425

MR. SMITH: Chairman.

26

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.

272829

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

MR. SMITH: This is John. I like the idea of having a rep, I truly believe that a partnership with the tribe in every village to help monitor data. I like the idea of how that was done back in Hoonah. I was -- I grew up there, graduated there and that was the process and didn't realize that has changed. But definitely I'd like to make a motion that we build a relationship with each community and tribe to help with data, that would be very positive. And not to mention that, you know, in Hoonah when I --I worked for Whitestone 28 years and we put up a camera up on the pole there and we could look up into the mountains and it's -- it was waterproof and it's probably still there today. I just -- I could see little monitors at every river that the Fish and Game's monitoring. I also realize too that there's not enough Fish and Game officers, noticing in some villages there isn't monitor or control and that sort, but just ideas.

46 47 48

Thank you.

0267 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, John. I don't think I see a regulatory action there. 2 You know what we're proposing here is actual changes to regulation, which would not really be in the scope of 5 monitoring. However, we will -- as part of our letter that the Council is drafting, you know, as part of this 6 7 indigenous management process we're probably going to include ideas like that into that. But as far as a 9 regulatory proposal I don't see anything there along 10 monitoring, community monitoring opportunities, unless 11 somebody else has a different opinion on that I just 12 don't see that as a regulatory issue. 13 14 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. 15 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If any of our 17 Staff has a comment on that, go ahead. 18 19 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna. 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 22 DeAnna. 23 24 MS. PERRY: I just wanted to let you 25 know that Patty Phillips had her hand up but now it's 26 down so now I'm not sure if she was speaking to that or 27 speaking to something else but I didn't know if you saw 28 that on Teams so I just wanted to bring your attention 29 to that. Patty did want to speak. 30 31 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: No, I didn't 32 notice that. Yeah, Patty, if you're there. 33 34 (No comments) 35 36 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, we'll have 37 to wait for Patty, hopefully she'll be able to join us. 38 Anybody else on the Council with an idea for a 39 proposal. 40 41 MR. KITKA: Don, this is Harvey Kitka. 42 43 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey. 44 45 MR. KITKA: I had a thought that's been 46 bothering me for some time is where they put 47 limitations on subsistence fisheries for communities 48 and -- but the State has their -- their sportfishing on

-- on these fish, like sockeye, whereas the

49

```
0268
 1
     sportfisherman can go there every day and catch his
     limit but there doesn't seem to be a top limit that
    they can walk away or stop them at so they -- they can
    fish every day and catch more fish than the subsistence
 5
    users would need for the year.
 6
 7
                     Thank you.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. It sounds
10
     like maybe you're proposing maybe a seasonal bag limit
11
     on certain species for sportfishing?
12
13
                     MR. KITKA: Yes. Basically on our
14
     subsistence fish.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right, okay, I'm
17
     taking note of that.
18
19
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez, this
20
     is Patty Phillips again.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty.
23
24
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. I was
25
     looking at the shellfish, subsistence fishing part of
26
    the regulations and Southeast Alaska does not have
27
     regulations for shellfish like clams and I think that
28
     we should have some; customary and traditional use
29
    determination, and have it identified as a subsistence
30
    fishing resource.
31
32
                     Thank you.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So were you
35
     saying like the first step would be have a customary
36
     and traditional use determination or something more
37
     than that?
38
39
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Both customary and
     traditional use determination, and what do you call
40
41
     that, season and harvest limit.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay, any
44
    other preliminary ideas we may want to talk about.
45
46
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.
47
48
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
49
    Albert.
```

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Albert, I hear you but Frank was there first. I'm glad to hear you're back Albert, but, Frank, you go ahead first.

MR. WRIGHT: Put a limit on out of state, I don't know if we can do that but out of state people taking crab. I see people in Hoonah coming -- sportsmen coming in and crabs that are still illegal and they take 20 crab and they ain't even legal size so we got to figure out how to slow this down and -- because Hoonah's just got one area that's closed off to commercial fishermen but they come in there and they think those crab are good but they're not.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Frank. I got that written down. Albert, what do you have.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just to add what Patricia mentioned as far as clams and cockles and so on and so forth and I agree with that but I don't see having a bag limit. Hoonah Sound is a good example of the State coming in and allowing a commercial fishery and taking away from the subsistence users who used to fill a fish tote full of shrimp and take it home, no problem. But when the State came in and created a commercial fishery in Hoonah Sound, the Sitka residents were then limited to a five gallon bucket. The hard thing for the to understand, as an example, Mr. Chairman, is if I would run 30 miles west to get cockles and clams, I'm going to get a whole lot of them because 30 miles west is a long run for me and then I come back and share with the community. I don't send anything I get to China, Japan or any other country, it goes to homeowners here in Angoon and I think we need to start putting that impression on the State, every fishery -- commercial fishery that has been allowed has since fished out of the State and it's on the backs of residents in communities like Angoon.

So that's my spiel on that one.

I'd like to ask the State to restore, you know, I don't know if we can put it in proposal form, but have them restore our herring back to what it was before they allowed bait fishery to wipe out all the herring within Southeast and then Angoon. I used

to spend a lot of time as a little kid with my dad going everywhere. Hood Bay had a run, Distant Point had a run of spawning herring. White Rock. Kelp Bay. Favorite Bay. None of those have that anymore. So if somehow if we can put it into a format asking the State to figure out how to bring those runs back because they're the ones that allowed it to be depleted it to nothing. Hopefully that's an opportunity. I spoke with Joel and we had conversations about Kake, and so one proposal I'm going to ask for is maybe something he wanted for Kake, is to close Point McCartney to Kanalku Point for commercial fishing of crab.

He stated that when the boats go in there and set their pots there's no more crab left for the residents of Kake.

I would also like to have a proposal for the Angoon area from the main land to Danger Point, anything east of Danger Point be closed to commercial crabbing is another proposal.

A third one is to allow furtherance of Angoon to fish sockeye in a place we call Little Basket Bay. It's right by Basket Bay but if we're caught fishing there we're illegal so I would like the opportunity for Angoon residents or anybody to fish it and not be cited for it.

That's what I have for now. It sounds like I got back just in time. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: yes, you did, Albert, thank you. I have a question for Staff drafting these proposals. The call is for Federal fish and shellfish proposals. I was wondering, Justin, could you clarify for me on the shellfish proposals, it does occur to me that most of the shellfish that we're talking about the fishing takes place in State waters, I don't know, where does -- where does the Federal jurisdiction start when we're talking about shellfish?

(No comments)

 $$\operatorname{CHAIRMAN}$$ HERNANDEZ: Is Justin or somebody else there on the Staff there to answer that question.

MR. KOLLER: Yes, pardon me, I'm sorry.

```
0271
    I tried to unmute.....
 2
 3
                     MS. KENNER: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this
 4
     is....
 5
 6
                     MR. KOLLER:
                                 .....my phone and it
 7
    didn't work for me. So, Mr. Chair, this is Justin
 8
     Koller.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
11
12
                     MR. KOLLER: There is a small portion
13
     of Federal public waters, marine waters around the
14
    Makhnati Island area, in District 13, near Sitka,
15
     there, and DeAnna and Mr. Casipit might be able to shed
16
     some light on some additional Federal public waters
17
    that these regulations apply to in the marine waters
18
    around the Tongass National Forest. I think there is
19
    several other ones but they're extremely small and most
20
    marine waters are managed under State regulations for
21
    fish -- or excuse me -- for shellfish and fish.
22
23
                     Thank you.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, okay, we're
26
    talking about only those very small Federal water
27
     areas. So just for the Council member's benefits, some
28
     of the points that are brought up here, those are all
29
    kind of issues that State Board of Fish takes up and,
30
     you know, some of these crab proposals have already
31
    been brought before the Board, even in their most
32
    recent meetings, there were proposals on limiting crab
33
    harvest in various places. So unless -- unless we're
34
    talking about some very specific locations that are
35
    Federal waters, you know, this is not really our
     opportunity to do that. That next opportunity happens
36
37
     three years from now, I guess, with the State. So
38
     we'll have to keep that in mind.
39
40
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, real quick
41
     if I may.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
44
    Albert.
45
46
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
47
     So Admiralty Island National Monument has its own
48
     language and it also has its own boundaries and it was
49
     supposed to be co-managed between the city, the Native
```

corporation and the Forest Service. There was no mention of the State taking over the waters. And when President Carter signed the declaration to create the Monument there was a three mile line around the island, that was removed without due process from the tribe so if anyone on Staff knows anything about that three mile line being removed and the process that was used to remove that three mile line, if they could get me that information I'd appreciate it.

Thank you.

 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, Albert. Yeah, I do recall that being mentioned before and I don't -- I don't know what the answer is but if Staff would want to enlighten us on that at some point, that would be helpful as well. I don't know if they have the answer right now.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So while we're waiting, maybe, for some more clarification, anybody else on the Council with a proposal idea that they want to bring forward.

MR. KITKA: Don, this is Harvey Kitka.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,

30 Harvey.

MR. KITKA: I have one thing that's been kind of bothering me for some kind and that's -- I think when I talked to Bob Larson some years ago, that everything that we subsist on, whether it be shellfish or land or rivers or anything -- anything that we subsist on was in our ability to harvest at any time. Why can't the State and the Federal government get together and make the same ruling.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'll just put that out there as a comment. I don't know if there's an answer to that question, Harvey.

MS. KENNER: Mr. Chair, this is Pippa. Can I clarify the question please.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Pippa.

MS. KENNER: I missed the beginning of it because I was looking up all the C&T, did Harvey ask about regulations being in alignment with the State or was he talking about customary and traditional use determinations being in line with the State?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Harvey, if you want to get back to Pippa on that.

MR. KITKA: Through the Chair. Pippa, this is Harvey Kitka. And basically I was talking about both things, why doesn't -- when the Federal government took over the subsistence why didn't they take the shellfish and all the other stuff with it and it's been a question that's been kind of bothering me.

MS. KENNER: Okay, Harvey, this is Pippa. That helps. Did you say why didn't they take it all with them?

MR. KITKA: I said why didn't they take -- did not take it because everything we subsist on is -- is recognized by the Federal government but not by the State. I don't see where the State could trump the Federal government.

MS. KENNER: Yeah, Harvey, thanks for the question. Again, this is Pippa Kenner. So I think the main part of your question has to do with the Federal Subsistence Board's management authority over fisheries in the marine waters and, in general, all over the state our fisheries regulations only apply in fresh waters and only in some freshwaters. There are some exceptions, they've had to meet certain criteria about when ANILCA was adopted and the status of those waters at the time, but, in general, the Federal Subsistence Board has not been given the delegated authority from the Secretaries to manage fishing in marine waters.

That's where we get into extraterritorial jurisdiction.

MR. KITKA: Okay, so that would.....

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ KENNER: Those requests -- those requests go to the Secretaries -- sorry, go ahead.

MR. KITKA: Basically that was where I

was trying to get to. I -- I kind of wanted to know who we would have to write to or who we'd have to petition to get things back to where our people have had subsistence for thousands of years, that's why we are called the Tides People. We lived off the sea, we lived off the rivers, and off the land and so I -- you know, when we get into these double regulations it just gets kind of cumbersome and not knowing who to report to in some cases.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, do....

MS. KENNER: You're welcome Harvey.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thanks, Harvey. So Pippa I might ask you, the start of the meeting Harvey wanted to know, you know, his clan did submit a petition for extraterritorial jurisdiction and he was asking what the status of that was. I don't know if anybody up in your office has an answer for him on that. I was hoping to get to that him before the end of the meeting. And also, maybe while we're on that subject, maybe you would be best to explain to maybe some of the newer Council members just exactly what we're talking about when we're talking about extraterritorial jurisdiction, you can do that briefly.

MS. KENNER: Well, hey, these are really good questions from you, Mr. Chair, this is Pippa Kenner for the record. I would also like to ask if Greg Risdahl is on. He is the Subsistence Program Coordinator for the Forest Service. And my understanding is that that petition went directly -- or excuse me -- the petition that came from the Sitka Clan for extraterritorial jurisdiction in probably marine waters did not come through OSM, it's going directly through the agency to the Secretary and I -- I think -- that's about all -- that's as much as I can say. Greg Risdahl or DeAnna might have more information.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Robbin.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Members of the Council. This is Robbin LaVine, the Policy Coordinator for the Office of Subsistence Management. Pippa's correct, the petition for extraterritorial jurisdiction was sent from the Sitka Tribe directly to the Secretary of the Department of Agriculture. And the Forest Service was CC'd on that communication. And that took place, I think, in 2020. Last year your Council forwarded the letter to the Chair in support of this position and we have that letter on record. OSM has been kept in the loop on this but very far down the loop, so at this point that's all we know. And I do -- I -- I have learned that we are hoping to speak with the Regional Forester and may have an update on the progress of that petition before your meeting concludes tomorrow but we can't --we can't guarantee it.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Robbin. Harvey, I guess we're just going to have to wait on that, it's in the process, I guess. Pippa, did you say you could give us a brief description of what the extraterritorial jurisdiction process is?

MS. KENNER: Actually I am not particularly familiar with that process. This is Pippa Kenner. And -- for the record -- and maybe Robbin or Tom Kron can speak to that. If they don't feel comfortable, we will get the information and we'll have it for you this afternoon.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I don't know if....

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Robbin.

MS. LAVINE: If -- if you -- if you're interested we can give you our protocol on procedures for addressing petitions for Secretarial extension of jurisdiction. We do have that document, that guidance, in the Federal Subsistence Board policies and protocols. That document can be shared with you through DeAnna and I'll make sure she has it. If you're interested, also, I can give you a very brief overview of what might trigger or validate a petition for extraterritorial jurisdiction, some of the factors

0276 1 that are usually included when someone forwards a petition. And I have a little bit of information on that on hand, if you're interested. 4 5 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, if you 8 have.... 9 10 MR. RISDAHL: Mr. Chair, this is..... 11 12 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Who is that? 13 14 MR. RISDAHL: This is Greg Risdahl. 15 I'm sorry, I've been trying to get off of mute. I can 16 give you a -- just a snippet here. I did send a 17 message to the Regional Forester, Dave Schmid right 18 after Harvey Kitka asked the question because I was not 19 familiar with the Sitka Tribe's resolution, or 20 petition, and I know he is in a regional leadership 21 team meeting through tomorrow so there is a strong 22 likelihood that I will not hear back from him until 23 Friday morning when I do have a briefing with him. But 24 I assure you, I will get you information on the status 25 of that as soon as I possibly can. As you know that 26 was sent to USDA, the Secretary's office when Sonny 27 Perdue was the Secretary and, of course, there's a new 28 Secretary, Vilsack, now. So I -- I don't know the 29 status of that but I will look into that for you. 30 31 Thank you much. 32 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that's good 34 to know. Okay, let's see, was -- who was it, was it 35 Robbin, maybe could give us a brief overview of what criteria are for a Secretarial review while we're on 36 37 the topic. 38 39 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So 40 this is -- these are the notes that I developed when 41 providing a little bit of support to another Council on 42 Federal jurisdiction issues and so these are the notes 43 that I have on extraterritorial jurisdiction. 44 45 So there is a process for petitioning 46 the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture to 47 exert regulatory authority over activities on non-

Federal lands under State law, like commercial fishing,

to protect subsistence activities on Federal lands and

48

49

 waters. Although the Secretaries have never exercised this authority, they can consider petitions to exercise control over hunting, fishing or trapping activities occurring on non-Federal lands and waters when such petitions indicate that these activities may be interfering with subsistence hunting, fishing, or trapping on the Federal public lands to such an extent as to result in a failure to provide the subsistence priority as specified in Title VIII of ANILCA.

Those are the notes that I have to share with you now. And as I said, I will send you the most recent procedures for submitting petitions for Secretarial extension of jurisdiction. I'll get that to you, DeAnna, as soon as possible.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you for that Robbin. Yeah, I don't want to get too far into this. Because it doesn't apply, you know, in a lot of cases, but we did have a petition from Angoon and their petitions stated that their fishery on Kanalku, which was taking place in Federal waters was being affected by interference from commercial fishing taking place out in Chatham Strait. That was the basis of it. That petition, it went through the process, it was eventually I guess negotiated would be the proper term between the Angoon community and I know there's a lot of detail I'm leaving out here, and the Department of Fish and Game, and they kind of came to a mutual agreement that they would change their management practices in Chatham Strait somewhat to try and remedy the situation. So that's the one petition that I'm familiar with.

Like I say the Federal waters involved were freshwater fisheries taking place at Kanalku, that was the criteria.

Now, Harvey has filed a petition for the Kaagwaantaan Clan, not the tribe, and his petition is based on the fact that there are Federal waters in Sitka Sound, mainly those waters we've been talking about surrounding Makhnati Island, where subsistence harvest takes place and, basically they have to claim that activities taking place in the surrounding fisheries in Sitka Sound are interfering with their ability to harvest in their Federal waters.

0278 1 So it's pretty specific criteria if we're talking about petitions, it doesn't just apply to 2 like all shellfish or something like this. So if 4 anybody was thinking along those lines, bear that in 5 mind. 6 7 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is 8 Albert. 9 10 MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 13 Albert. 14 15 MR. HOWARD: This is Albert, Mr. Chair. 16 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was a part of the 17 extraterritorial jurisdiction petition and part of the 18 process was to demonstrate that you've exhausted all 19 remedies with the State to fix the problem. If you had 20 heard what you what was stated earlier, you can file a 21 petition when you're concerned that what's happening in 22 State waters is affecting your subsistence resource in 23 Federal waters. You can file a petition that -- that 24 states the State of Alaska's management practices are 25 not consistent with Federal regulation, and you can 26 27 to be Federal waters. You have to demonstrate that 28

send the petition to the Secretary. So it doesn't have what is happening in State waters is affecting what you 29 expect to happen in Federal waters. The petition -- I 30 had to leave the Board of Fish meeting because my -m,y then 10 or 11 year old needed a single parent dad at home, so they took priority over me staying in the

meeting. And what had happened was we tried to turkey

buzzard (ph)....

31

32

33

34

35 36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: What was that?

MR. HOWARD: I take that from (Indiscernible) Paul's speech to AFN when they were talking about when proposals are good for Native Claims Settlement Act; he said every time they give you something it's a turkey buzzard. Angoon something they already had. You know if I was at the Board of Fish meeting and stayed there instead of my single dad obligation, things may have turned out different, but anyone -- anyone that has concerns with what is happening within your resource and you can demonstrate that what's happening in State waters is affecting your -- your way of life and it isn't being addressed by the

```
0279
     State then you can petition the Secretary.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. Okay,
 4
     yeah, I think....
 5
 6
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....as every -- I
 9
     don't know, is somebody else chiming in.
10
11
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna.
14
15
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, I received an email
     from Wendy Steinberger. She's a Natural Resource
16
17
     Specialist with the State. And she's been listening to
18
     the meeting and wanted to answer the question about
19
     tidelands around the Admiralty Island National
20
    Monument. She does have a sentence or two here but I
21
    would like to see if Wendy would like to deliver that
22
     information personally to you or if she'd like for me
23
     to read it. Wendy, are you still on, if you could
24
     star, six, and we should be able to hear you.
25
26
                     (No comments)
27
28
                     MS. PERRY: Okay. I'll go ahead and
29
    read what she has and she.....
30
31
                     MS. STEINBERGER: Yeah, I'm here.
32
33
                     MS. PERRY: Oh, great, thanks, Wendy.
34
35
                     MS. STEINBERGER: Sorry. I'm not
     really good at Teams phone yet. Yeah, we actually -- I
36
37
    believe that this would be covered under the Tongass
38
     Quiet Title Disclaimer that was part of the litigation
39
     in Original 128, and we actually have it on our
40
     navigability website for the public to read. And,
41
     essentially, the Tongass was not a valid pre-statehood
42
    withdrawal and so all of those tidelands came to the
43
     State. That's at least our understanding. And that's
44
    why those lands around Admiralty Island would not have
     gone to the Monument. So we believe that those were
45
46
     disclaimed during the Original 128 procedure.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Just what's
49
     the 128 procedure?
50
```

 MS. STEINBERGER: Oh, Original 128 was an original litigation with the Supreme Court of the United States and the State of Alaska. It encompassed lands in the Tongass National Forest and it encompassed lands within Glacier Bay and it was all about tide lands. The final decision -- I'm not sure if I have that final decision out on the website or not -- but we do have the Tongass disclaimer for the tide lands out on the website.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. PERRY: This is DeAnna. Wendy has sent me that link, Mr. Chair, and I'll be happy to share that.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Some people might want to see that but it sounds like eventually it all came down a court case that went to the Supreme Court, it sounds like so.

MS. STEINBERGER: Yes, that is correct.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, that's probably where a lot of those disputes get settled. So I hope that clarifies it for you, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, that -- to me, that's another process Angoon was left out of when they took it to the Supreme Court. Angoon wasn't there to say, yeah, sure, we agree with you taking the three mile line off the map, we were never even at the table. Now, that was something that Jimmy Carter had signed, the proclamation of time, that had that three mile line on it and there was no process that was brought to Angoon to eliminate the line. So in our minds, at the tribe, and my own personal mind, that that line is still there unless someone can demonstrate that, in fact, my Constitutional right was protected when they removed it.

So, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, the Supreme Court is the highest court in the land so I guess they got the final say.

MR. HOWARD: Yeah, I understand that. But, still, you know, if somebody's making a decision

```
0281
 1
     about your own life, Don, and you're not there, is that
 2
     right?
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Those are the kind
 5
     of questions the Supreme Court decides, I guess, so
 6
     that's the way it is.
 7
 8
                     MR. WAGNER: Mr. Chair, Louie.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So -- I think I
11
    heard Louie, go ahead.
12
13
                     MR. WAGNER: Yes, I just have a
14
     question. On the proposals, you say we have until May
15
     2nd to do it, if that's the case then I would like to
     talk to our Mayor and Secretary and Council and see if
16
17
     they would like to put one together.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Justin,
20
     could you just remind us of the closing date for
21
     proposals again.
22
23
                     MR. KOLLER: Yes, Mr. Chair. Mr.
24
     Wagner. The last day to submit proposals is May 16th.
25
26
                     Thank you.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You have until May
29
    the 16th.
30
31
                     MR. WAGNER: Okay.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's right,
34
    Louie, if you want to take any information that you've
    gotten here at this meeting and bring it to a local
36
     entity and have them put in a proposal, that's an
37
     excellent idea.
38
39
                     MR. WAGNER: Yes. It's just to see if
40
     we can do something about bringing more fish home for
41
    the people, you know, it's been a long time. So we'll
42
     see what we could do there.
43
44
                     Thank you.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you.
47
    Let's see, so we're still looking for proposal ideas
48
     from the Council. Anybody else.
49
```

0282	
1	(No comments)
2	
3	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Maybe folks
4	need to think a little bit. I was going to propose
5	taking a 10, 15 minute break here. People can collect
6	their thoughts a little bit. When we come back we'll
7	hear if there's any other ideas and decide on how to
8	proceed with drafting proposals if we have some come to
9	the top here.
10	
11	So let's let's recess until 3:30 and
12	we'll come back.
13	
14	(Off record)
15	
16	(On record)
17	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
18	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Hello everyone,
19	this is Chairman Hernandez again. I opened up my phone
20	line. We can get back to business here if everybody is
21	coming back from break. And I'll start with, Frank,
22	Frank, if you're there and you can run down the roll
23	call one more time and make sure we have a quorum.
24	1
25	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, Don.
26	1 ,
27	Ian Johnson.
28	
29	(No comments)
30	
31	MR. WRIGHT: Ian Johnson.
32	
33	(No comments)
34	
35	MR. WRIGHT: Cal Casipit.
36	
37	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Just a reminder,
38	Frank, everybody has to unmute their phones probably
39	so.
40	
41	MR. WRIGHT: Yeah.
42	
43	MR. CASIPIT: Cal's here.
44	
45	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you, Cal.
46	
47	Michael Douville.
48	
49	(No comments)
50	

0283	
1	MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.
2	4
3 4	(No comments)
5	MR. WRIGHT: Ian Johnson.
6	
7	(No comments)
8 9	MR. WRIGHT: James Slater.
10	MIN. WRIGHT. Dames States.
11	(No comments)
12	
13 14 skiff.	MR. WRIGHT: Probably still in his
15	
16	Bob Schroeder.
17	
18 19	MR. SCHROEDER: Bob's here, thank you.
20	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you, Bob.
21	
22	MR. DOUVILLE: Mike Douville is here.
23 24	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mike. Thanks.
25	in. Wildir. Inam jou, nine. Iname.
26	Albert Howard.
27 28	(No commonts)
29	(No comments)
30	MR. WRIGHT: Albert.
31	
32 33	MR. HOWARD: Albert Howard's here.
34	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you, Albert.
35	
36	Don's here.
37 38	Patricia Phillips.
39	raditora intitipo.
40	MS. PHILLIPS: Here.
41 42	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you, Patty.
43	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you, Patty.
44	Louie Wagner.
45	
46 47	MR. WAGNER: Here.
48	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
49	- <u>7</u>
50	

0284	
1	Harvey Kitka.
2	narvey kreka.
3	MR. KITKA: I'm here.
4	
5	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Harvey.
6	T. 1
7 8	John Smith.
9	(No comments)
10	(No Conditiones)
11	MR. WRIGHT: You on here John.
12	
13	(No comments)
14	
15	MR. WRIGHT: Cathy Needham.
16 17	MS. NEEDHAM: I'm here.
18	MS. NEEDHAM: I'M Here.
19	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Cathy.
20	
21	MR. SMITH: I'm here.
22	
23	MR. WRIGHT: Who is that?
24 25	MD CMIMU. John Cmith
26	MR. SMITH: John Smith.
27	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, John, thank you.
28	int. main. ona,, com, cham you.
29	Mr. Chair, we got a quorum.
30	
31	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
32	Frank. Okay, so we have a preliminary list here of
33	proposal ideas. Has anybody thought of anything else
34	while we've been on break.
35 36	(No comments)
36 37	(No comments)
38	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So normally
39	what the process is with the Council is once we have an
40	idea for a proposal, quite often ask for a little Staff
41	assistance during the course of the meeting to maybe
42	come up with some regulatory proposed regulatory
43	language that, you know, works to convey the idea
44	that's brought forward in the proposal. And we may
45	have to give them some time to work through that during
46	the course of the meeting and come back to us with some
47	workable language, I guess would be the right term so
48	that we could submit it.
4.0	

0285 1 So for right now, I have a proposal that deals with seasonal bag limits and, Harvey, I 2 think that was your suggestion and I guess I should have asked, I don't know if you're looking for seasonal bag limits on specific locations or if you're looking 5 for kind of a broader proposal that would deal with all 6 7 areas. So that's a question for you. 8 9 I also have.... 10 11 MR. KITKA: Don this is..... 12 13 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 14 Harvey. 15 16 MR. KITKA: I was basically -- I was 17 wondering about the disparity between the sportfishing 18 from out of state people that are non-Federally-19 qualified fishing in our subsistence areas and being 20 allowed to take more than what we are allowed to take 21 in a day's time, or in a year's time. 22 23 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. 24 doesn't sound like you have any like specific streams 25 that you want to address, it's a more general proposal? 26 27 MR. KITKA: Yes. This has to do with 28 all our subsistence fish. This happens in probably 29 almost all our communities. I know it happens around 30 Kake and I'm pretty sure it happens in every place that 31 charter people bring their crews in and start 32 sportfishing in our streams and they're not really 33 sportfishing, they're snagging, just -- but they -- the 34 bag limit per day coming to more than what we can take 35 home subsistence for the family. 36 37 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right, okay, so it 38 sounds like more of a general -- a general regulation 39 dealing with the whole region essentially it sounds 40 like. All right, we'll come back to that, I just want 41 to clarify where we're going on some other ones. 42 43 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. 44 45 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. 46 47 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna. 48

So I just wanted to be clear, are we looking at a State

proposal for this, it sounds like one of the proposals

49

that we just put in that went through the Board of Fish, the next Board of Fish cycle is in a couple years. Is that what Harvey's mentioning when he's talking about the sport and the charters. There's not a current meeting cycle for Southeast that's open right now, this is only a window open for Federal proposals and I just wanted to clarify because maybe I'm not understanding right.

MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair, this is Harvey.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.

MR. KITKA: Yeah, we do have the charter fleet, that even some of the bigger cruise ships that are bringing people ashore and they're allowed to fish, even like Hanus Bay, like Neva -- Neva Lake, they go up there and they're allowed to sportfish and it seems like their bag limits are a lot different than our bag limits, and it'd be nice to maybe put a limit on how much they can take on Federal lands.

MS. PERRY: Okay, thank you, Harvey.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: yeah, that was my understanding, Harvey, that it would -- right, apply to sportfishing that's taking place in Federal lands.

Okay.

Okay, Patty, you were talking about customary and traditional shellfish, harvest opportunities. Were you thinking that these would apply just to the limited amount of Federal waters that would provide for shellfish fishing?

 MS. PHILLIPS: Well, thank you, Mr. Chair. I really -- yes, we need to do that for sure, but I think that we should just establish C&T determination for all Southeast Alaska, Yakutat area for shellfish, C&T. I understand we can't do bag limits or, you know, harvest limits or gear types. I mean why can't we establish a customary and traditional use determination for shellfish, for clams, I mean it's something that we use for subsistence and then -- and then I was thinking about it, waters under Federal jurisdiction at river systems are from headland to headland, and within headland to headlands are areas where you can get clams. So I know that the Federal

0287 regulations say Makhnati and, you know, lighthouse stations and, you know, like that but the law says waters inside of river systems from headland to headland, so, you know, does it apply or not. And then 5 we had, you know, way back when John Littlefield was Chair they brought to the Yakutat meeting the whole, 6 7 you know, whole idea of when President Theodore Roosevelt, you know, signing into law the Tongass 8 9 National Forest that, you know, the waters out to a 10 certain extent were included in the Tongass. So, you 11 know, I -- was there a resolution of that, there 12 probably was and I'm just not recalling it. But even 13 with a C&T determination, we don't have to set season 14 and bag limit, we can just say the rural residents of 15 Southeast Alaska have customary and traditional use of 16 shellfish, including clams. 17 18 So I mean what harm is there in that, 19 and then just go with it. 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, I don't 22 think there's any harm in that because we do have 23 Federal waters. I'll remind the Council that further 24

on in this meeting we're going to have a discussion or presentation or some information on, you know, there's this process underway right now to identify other Federal waters in Southeast. It's still -- there's still some areas that are under consideration, I think. I guess I don't know until we hear the presentation but that's my understanding. So, yeah, it's -- it's -- you know without a customary and traditional use determination there would be no -- no shellfish harvest in Federal waters so, yeah, it's -- I think it's warranted, Patty, and, like I say, analysis would probably maybe settle some of these questions about just exactly where jurisdiction ends, and this headland to headland design -- language that you talked about. So I could see all that being worthwhile, just have to figure out the right language to bring that out in a proposal.

Okay, so I think I know where we're going there.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, John Smith.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: John, go ahead.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, is that actually a --

49 50

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46 47

can you hear me? CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead. MR. SMITH: Okay. I was just checking if there was a map or a chart that kind of defines the -- the State, the Federal waters and the boundaries, but also I -- I hear what Harvey's saying, you know, two to four percent of our people harvest the

subsistence and then you have a different regulations between the -- the two entities and it seems like there's more regulation with the subsistence and then you have people that come into town and they're taking salmon out and, of course, there's no tax. You know somebody was talking earlier today about that, I'm not sure if that was Gustavus or whatever, but I surely do believe that it would be encouraging to have a bag limit but also maybe restricting certain cultural areas that people can fish, but not discriminating against every -- you know, people that are coming from out of town because they pay big money, too, to get a permit to come in there. I looked at that and it's a lot of money for them to come. So they're putting the money up to receive some salmon in this -- in this area. so

how do we do this without leaving anybody out is the

important thing.

Thank you, guys.

Happy day.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: All right, we'll keep that under consideration. I just want to point out the Council, under new business, which is where we are right now, but Item K on the agenda is a briefing on the Secretarial Regulations Proposing the Inclusion of Identified Submerged Lands in the Tongass National Forest. So like I say, we're going to have that discussion later in the meeting and there may be maps, I don't know John, if they have maps. I really don't know where that discussion is going, or that briefing, but we will just kind of keep all those points in mind until we get that briefing.

Okay.

So let's see there was also a suggestion for some kind of closed areas for crabbing. Unless -- unless those areas are specific to what we

know are Federal waters, I don't see that, you know, we don't have much jurisdiction over crab fishing. And like I said the State just addressed a lot of those proposals at this meeting that's going on right now so I don't know if we can address that.

6 7

8

9

Herring restoration was mentioned. I don't know if that's a regulatory proposal. It kind of strikes me as something more of some other kind of request. I don't know, I don't see where we could go on that, personally.

11 12 13

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.

14 15

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

MR. SMITH: Yeah, when you're talking about crab, I share a perspective. Like here in Juneau this last year it was really good. Almost every time harvest a pot there was more than 10 to 20, you know, we would come home with a lot of crab, but in different areas there wasn't as big of numbers there so it changes quite frequently. So I think that because of that, that it should be encouraged that the regulations change. Like here in Juneau, the way it was this year and hearing how everybody was harvesting, you know, if you get 20 crab a day and you go out every day that's a lot of crab. But -- so in certain areas, even like back home in Hoonah, those numbers changed in those spots. Even like going out for cockles down in -- down the bay, there used to be a lot of them but now you go there you don't see that many. So I really think a bag limit is going to be important because even here in Juneau, 10 years ago when I moved here, I was kind of learning the area and out the road it was very busy but noticed lots of people, I go out there now and you can't even find a cockle, so just concerns that really do believe that a bag limit in the shellfish, cockles and those kind of things would be a positive because I've seen people fill their skiffs up and I only see one or two people but I'm sure they're taking it home to share with family.

42 43 44

Happy day.

45 46 47

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I would hope so. Let's see the other proposal deals with allowing a fishery at Little Basket Bay. That seems that would be a regulatory proposal. So what we've done in the past

49 50

and, I don't know, I'm looking for suggestions here seeing how we're not all meeting in person, we've been able to have, you know, Council members who suggested these proposals actually take some time to sit down with the Staff that's present and work on language together. I don't know how to make that work in this virtual world. I don't know I'm looking for suggestions here on how to go about crafting these proposals if anybody could come up with a suggestion that would be great.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna.

MS. PERRY: We could do a work group this evening through Teams and those folks who might be interested in participating who don't have Teams would be able to call in and participate. That's probably the only option available to us with our virtual meetings. But we could make sure that we have Staff and Council members together on one call to go through this list and see what Federal proposals we might be able to craft.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, that would be a good working solution. If the Council members who brought these forward would want to get together with Staff and discuss them and flesh out some language and be able to bring that back to the Council, you know, for consideration by the Council. That would certainly work, if we could do that.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I didn't suggest any of the proposals but I'm more than happy to stay after and work with Staff. I think I get a gist of where some of the Council members are coming from on the things that we did, if Patty and Harvey could also do it, I think that might just be a good way to get it done.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. I can't remember who put forward the Little Basket Bay proposal that.....

0291	
1	REPORTER: Albert.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is it Albert? I
4	don't know if he wants to join in on that.
5	
6 7	MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman.
8	MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
9	Mo. NEEDHAM. MI. Chail, Chis is Cachy.
10	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: A couple people
11	talking, was that Albert.
12	
13	(No comments)
14	
15	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Who else was on
16 17	the line.
18	MR. HOWARD: Okay, I think I'm off
19	mute.
20	
21	REPORTER: You are, Albert.
22	
23	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, yeah, Albert,
24 25	was that your suggested proposal, the fishery at Little
26	Basket Bay?
27	MR. HOWARD: Yeah, it was. It's based
28	on Little Basket Bay I think you can, you know, I
29	can't throw a rock that far but it's like a five minute
30	boat ride from Basket Bay to Little Basket, but we
31	legally can't fish it but there is sockeye there.
32	
33	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Would you want to
34 35	get together tonight and work out some proposed language and make that happen?
36	ranguage and make that happen:
37	MR. HOWARD: Sure, that sounds good to
38	me.
39	
40	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So, Cathy,
41	I think your suggestion could work if a few Council
42	members want to get together this evening.
43 44	MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Hey, Mr. Chair, this
45	is Jake Musslewhite.
46	
47	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Jake.
48	
49	MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, hey, I just
50	

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that's what we need to hear. I did neglect to ask, is the Staff willing to spend a little time this evening with the Council members and flesh some of these out? I don't know if it would be just you Jake or if anybody else would be involved, but are you available?

wanted to point out really quick before you spend too much time on it, that Little Basket Bay would actually be open in Federal public waters. So, you know, I'm not -- I'm not sure if it would be open in the adjacent

marine waters under State regs or whatever, but it's already open for Federal freshwaters. So you really

wouldn't need any kind of proposal to open that, the

part that you could open, if that makes sense.

MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Boy, Mr. Chair, I wish I could, I got like six things I got to do tonight, you know, unfortunately.

21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. How about 22 Justin....

MR. CROSS: Mr. Chair, this is Rob Cross. Some of the Staff will be available to help you guys out this evening.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. That's good, Rob, thank you. So why don't we do that. And then when these come back to the Council they'll probably be pretty well fleshed out and the Council can review them and decide if they want to move them forward so I think that's a good plan.

And so far....

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, this is

38 Patty.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, I just got bumped off. Could you tell me the plan and I'll dial in.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. DeAnna will set that up so DeAnna should be in contact with you, Cathy, and Harvey -- Harvey are you going to be available this evening, Harvey.

```
0293
 1
                     MR. KITKA: Yeah, Don, I'll be
     available but probably not until about 7:00 though.
 2
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, we'll
 5
     try and make that work for everybody and that would be
 6
     much appreciated. It'd be a big help.
 7
 8
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chair.
 9
10
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.....
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Excuse me.
13
14
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, this.....
15
16
                     MS. PERRY: This is.....
17
18
                     MR. SMITH: .....John Smith to just let
19
     you know that I'm open to support if you need any back
20
     up there, I'm available.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah.
23
     DeAnna, if you want to include John in that contact
24
     list that would be great.
25
26
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, so are we
27
     setting this for 7:00 -- I need a time so that I can
28
     send everybody an invite and the information.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Somebody
31
    help DeAnna out there.
32
33
                     MS. PERRY: I know Harvey said he
34
     wasn't available until 7:00, is that okay with everyone
35
     else or -- I just need an agreement on time, please.
36
37
                     MS. PHILLIPS: I'm flexible, this is
38
     Patty.
39
40
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
43
     Ian. I was going to suggest that you set that up on a
44
     chat line or something so we can move on here. Go
45
     ahead, Ian.
46
47
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, sorry, I missed
48
     roll call earlier. I have been here for awhile, so
49
     just making that known. But then also, DeAnna, I guess
50
```

```
0294
    if it was me, I think you could keep the same Teams
    link that you've been using and then I think using
    break out rooms within Teams would allow every -- all
    the different working groups to stay on the same link
     and maybe trade information back and forth.
                                                  So I know
     that's an option through Teams and I'm putting that out
 6
 7
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So we have
10
    a plan, people can kind of just get together and -- and
11
    make that happen, that would be great. If we want to
12
    move on with the agenda here, next topic up is a review
13
     and approval of our annual report. And that is an
14
     action item and DeAnna, our Coordinator will introduce
15
    us to that.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Hi, Cathy, go
22
     ahead.
23
24
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Did we miss the agenda
25
     item, call for nonrural determination proposals.
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
29
                     REPORTER: Yes.
30
31
                     (Pause)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Did you say you'd
34
     like to go to -- oh, excuse me, I'm sorry, I skipped
35
     over that. Yeah, I missed it. Call for nonrural
36
     determination proposals would be next on the agenda.
37
     Yeah, thank you, Cathy.
38
39
                     MS. KENNER: Mr. Chair, this is Pippa.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Pippa, are
42
     you going to present on that.
43
44
                     MS. KENNER: I am.
                                         I am ready to.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Sorry for
47
    my mistake there, I think we're all on the same page
48
    now so we're going to call for nonrural determination
49
    proposals, and it is an action item so go ahead Pippa.
```

MS. KENNER: Hello, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council. My name is Pippa Kenner and I'm an Anthropologist at the Office of Subsistence Management here in Anchorage. The materials for this presentation are at Tab 8 in your meeting material.

So I'm here to provide you with a brief overview of the current call for proposals for nonrural determinations in Federal regulations. This is not an action item on your agenda unless you would like to submit a proposal.

A nonrural determination is the process for formally changing in Federal regulation a community's status from nonrural to rural or from rural to nonrural. This is the second call for proposals for nonrural determinations since 2018. Any valid nonrural determination proposals submitted this year will be acted on by the Board in 2025. The call for nonrural determination proposals occurs every four years at the same time as every other fisheries regulatory cycle. The next call for proposals for nonrural determinations will be in 2026.

So as you just heard from our colleagues in the Fisheries Division, Justin, the proposed rule for the 2023/2025 subsistence fish, shellfish regulations has published in the Federal Register which is necessary to open OSM's call for proposals. The call for proposals to change nonrural determinations will be open until May 16th, 2022.

There is a flier on our website and at Tab 8 in the meeting materials on how to submit proposals to change nonrural determinations. This flier includes information on where to submit a proposal and lists items a proposal must contain to be accepted for consideration by the Board.

Again, this is only an action for your Council if you wish to submit a proposal and it's an update on where we are in the process.

 $\label{eq:thm:condition} \mbox{Thank you, very much for your attention} \mbox{ and I'm prepared to try to answer your questions.}$

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank....

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

```
0296
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you,
 2
     Pippa. Does the Council have any questions on this.
 3
 4
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
 7
 8
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 9
     When is the submission deadline, I guess I'm not -- my
10
     copy says -- I don't see an actual deadline. And then
11
    my second question would be, whether or not if we know
     -- there are only two nonrural communities -- do we
12
13
     know if Ketchikan is going to put in a change for
14
     nonrural determination.
15
16
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
17
18
                     MS. KENNER: Yes, thank you, Mrs. --
19
    Mr. Chair -- Member Needham. This is Pippa Kenner for
20
    the record. I understand that Staff from the Ketchikan
21
    Association have been in touch with OSM but, no, I have
22
    not personally spoken to them. I think from what I
23
    have, what they've put on the public record at these
24
     meetings, it is likely we will receive a proposal from
25
     them.
26
27
                     Thank you.
28
29
                     Oh, and the deadline is the 16th of
30
    May.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, anything
33
    else Cathy.
34
35
                     MS. NEEDHAM: No, thank you, Mr. Chair.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, any other
38
     Council members have a question on this.
39
40
                     MR. KITKA: Harvey Kitka here.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.
43
44
                     MR. KITKA: I just -- just a comment.
     Being's as we lose our ferry system, that becomes very
45
46
    evident that we have no real contact with any -- any
47
     communications in Southeast, this includes Juneau and
48
    Ketchikan and there's no road systems connecting us to
49
    the -- to the main road systems and things, for all
```

0297 these communities in Southeast, kind of -- kind of a strange thing to come as a nonrural determination because from my point of view everybody's nonrural right now -- or everybody's rural right now. When you -- when you have to fly everything in to get your groceries and stuff it gets pretty tough. 6 7 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 9 Harvey. Any other Council members with a question for 10 Pippa or a comment or a suggestion. 11 12 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. 15 16 MR. WRIGHT: Just wondering why it's 17 the 16th of May and then it's not going to be -- is it 18 not going to be acted on until '26? 19 20 MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. To 21 Member Wright. For the record this is Pippa Kenner. 22 The process for -- the processing of these proposals 23 for nonrural determinations include a series of 24 consultations and public meetings with the public that 25 extends the duration of the process into a fourth year, 26 that's right. 27 28 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 29 30 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Frank, any 31 follow up. 32 MR. WRIGHT: Just wondering why -- why 33 34 it's still saying we can get proposals in and -- and -and I guess that's just the way the government works. 36 37 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 38 39 MS. KENNER: Yeah, Mr. -- thank --40 thank you, Mr. Chair. Member Wright. So the call for 41 proposals to change nonrural determinations, it 42 coincides with the call for proposals to change fish 43 and shellfish regulations and those calls are usually 44 open for two months, 60 days, and so that is why it 45 closes on May 16th. We have a lot of work to do after 46 those proposals come in. They almost all come in at 47 the last day or two, of course, but we have to get 48 analysis written and ready for Council review at the

fall meeting so we have to close it at a time early

49

```
0298
     enough that we can do the review process of the
 2
     analysis of these proposals.
 3
 4
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 7
     Pippa. I think that was a good explanation. Any other
 8
     questions from Council.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I have one
13
     question, Pippa. Going back in history a little bit.
14
     During the time when -- the first few years that I was
15
     on the Council, Saxman was actually removed as a rural
     community and made nonrural, that was -- and, of
16
17
     course, that ended up getting reversed but was the
    process the same back then. Did that come -- did
18
19
     somebody put in a proposal to remove Saxman or --
20
     almost to my recollection was, they were just kind of
21
     summarily removed by the Board and I don't recall a
22
    process there and I don't know if things have changed
23
     since then and I don't know if you were -- I'm pretty
24
     sure you were working then as well, do you recall.....
25
26
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....that -- yes.
29
30
                     MR. SMITH: What determines a rural and
31
     nonrural, is it based on the number of people or -- or
32
     what?
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I believe....
35
36
                     MS. KENNER: Okay, so.....
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....that was --
39
     and -- and, Pippa, yeah, if.....
40
41
                     MS. KENNER: .....okay that is.....
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: .....you could
44
     maybe just -- yeah, there's a few issues there.
45
46
                     MS. KENNER: Well, that -- I was going
47
     to say that fits right into the Chair's question.
48
     Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, this is Pippa
49
     Kenner.
50
```

1 So at the beginning of the Federal Subsistence Management Program, a decennial review of 2 nonrural determinations was built into the regulations and that is coinciding with the census every 10 years. 5 There would be a process of reviewing the rural/nonrural nature of communities. There were 6 7 population thresholds. And what happened to Saxman is that it was aggregated with Ketchikan and what we found 9 in review of the nonrural determination process is that 10 people really -- Council members and the public really 11 disagreed with aggregating small nearby communities 12 into these larger nonrural areas. That aggregation was 13 based on the number of commuters, where kids went to 14 school, that sort of thing. The public and many 15 Council members did not feel that that were -- that 16 those were good criteria for determining whether a 17 community was rural or nonrural. So in the review of 18 the nonrural determination process that occurred after 19 the 2000 decennial review, the year 2000, we went back 20 to the drawing board and came up with -- with a lot of 21 consultation with Councils, we deter -- we wrote a --22 we proposed a new process for determining for the 23 rural/nonrural determination process. And that is, 24 that, every so many years we have a call for proposals, 25 and in those proposals the proponent needs to give us a 26 lot of information about why the rural or nonrural 27 character of a community has changed. What has 28 happened. That change could be anything.

2930

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

For an example, I'm going to say maybe a Naval base closed, like what happened in Adak, or a -- or a -- well, that's -- that's the best discussion, or maybe some large industry has left the community and so now the nonrural character doesn't apply anymore in that community and so we ask that people give us the information that the Councils and the Board will need in making this determination. And then it goes through a threshold process, so we look at what's been given to us and write a threshold analysis for the Councils and for the Board to determine, is there enough information in this application for a -- in this proposal for us to move forward, and the proposal either passes or does not pass the threshold. If it passes the threshold, we go through the public meeting process and eventually we get to the Councils and in these new regulations it is stated that the Board will rely heavily on the determination made -- the recommendation of the Councils. That was not part of the previous process, it now is a major part of the process. And then that

0300 proposal goes to the Board. 2 3 So for example, recently, or the most 4 recent -- the only change that we've made through this 5 new process is with a small community that was aggregated with Seward at the beginning of the program. 6 7 They requested that they not be aggregated with Seward, which is a nonrural -- which is a nonrural area, they wanted to be determined rural and so they went through 9 10 that four year process with the Southcentral Council 11 and with the Board and they are now considered a rural 12 community. 13 14 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 15 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Pippa. 17 That was a pretty good explanation of the new process. 18 So, yeah, it is different from what it was in the past. 19 I think my take from that is there is no more decennial 20 review, it's all done by proposal now, every four years, is that correct? 21 22 23 MS. KENNER: That's correct, Mr. Chair. 24 This is Pippa. 25 26 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, okay, thank 27 you, Pippa. And I guess the other important component of what you said is that all these proposals -- any 28 29 proposal that comes forward comes to us, the RAC, and 30 we make a recommendation on it and our recommendation 31 holds a lot of weight so we have an opportunity to make 32 proposals and we also have an opportunity to review 33 proposals. 34 35 Any other questions. 36 37 (No comments) 38 39 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So I quess the last question is, is there anybody on the Council 40 41 who is thinking about putting in a proposal that we 42 need to take action on. 43 44 (No comments) 45 46 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, maybe not.

So we can close out that item for now. If somebody

can do that but we all know where we stand on that

wants to revisit it with a proposal recommendation we

47

48

49

0301 right now. So, DeAnna, would you like to lead us through review and approval of our annual report. 2 3 4 MS. PERRY: Sure, Mr. Chair. For 5 Council members behind Tab No. 10 of your meeting book 6 you'll see the draft of the Council's 2021 annual 7 report. I trust that everybody's had a chance to review that at this point. It covers several topics 9 that were raised at the last meeting. If folks need 10 another minute to give it another glance we can do that 11 then the Council should decide if there will be edits 12 to the report, including any additional topics you'd 13 like to add. I can make those edits by the end of this 14 meeting and have our Chair approve and we can send that 15 on to OSM. This is an action item so it would be the 16 Council's responsibility to finalize this report again, 17 by either giving a thumb's up, or any edits, 18 subtractions, additions we need to do. 19 20 So I would ask, Mr. Chair, that you 21 inquire of the Council's wish. 22 23 Thank you. 24 25 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, 26 DeAnna. So we don't have to take this action right at 27 this moment. If Council members would like to spend 28 some time before the end of the meeting and review all 29 these items, if there's any suggested amendments we can 30 do that. We could also take care of..... 31 32 MS. PERRY: And, Mr. Chair.... 33 34 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We can also take 35 care of them right now if Council members are ready so 36 I'll open it up to the Council members, any questions 37 for DeAnna or comments on the annual report. Go ahead. 38 39 (No comments) 40 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any questions or 41 42 anybody have anything they want to amend on the annual 43 report that they know about right now. 44 45 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. 46 47 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: DeAnna, go ahead. 48

MS. PERRY: Yeah, I just wanted to add,

49

based on the public testimony given earlier today, it sounds like there are some signed resolutions out there, so if it's okay with the Council, if I'm able to obtain those to attache to the annual report I will. have one day to turn this around to get it to OSM so if I'm unable to find all of those signed resolutions, we do have one that is attached, that I think most of these folks used for their individual resolutions but I just wanted the Council to know I will make an effort to get some of those signed resolutions to also attach. That's in regards to our No. 1 topic on our annual report, Transboundary Mining Impacts.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, yeah, thank you, DeAnna. That's a good point. That would be an appropriate thing to attach to the annual report, the resolution, right?

MS. PERRY: yes.

 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that's good to know. Something else that we've been attaching to the annual reports is the -- one of the requests for an annual report is to report on subsistence harvests and I can't remember what the exact wording is in ANILCA but that fisheries report that Jake Musslewhite prepared for us, I think we've been attaching that to the annual report as well to give the Board a -- just a really good idea of what's happening with our subsistence fisheries harvest in the region.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, yeah, that was a very excellent report and like I say we can include that in the annual report for the Board to review as well.

MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal.

MR. CASIPIT: Yeah, a couple -- a few things. The draft that's in our book behind the tab on Page 6, it does specify as enclosures the Transboundary resolution, the fish harvest and the wildlife harvest reports so I think that's all included.

```
0303
 1
                     I am prepared to make a motion to
     approve this annual report if that's okay unless
 2
    Council members have any additions. I think this is a
     pretty good summary of the items that we've been
 5
     talking about the past few meetings.
 6
 7
                     Anyway, I move that we approve this
 8
     annual report as we've discussed.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cal made the
11
    motion, if Council members think we're ready to
12
     consider that we'll ask for a second.
13
14
                     MR. JOHNSON: This is Ian, I second.
15
16
                     MS. NEEDHAM:
                                   This is Cathy, I second
17
     the motion.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Ian
20
     seconded that, DeAnna. So it's up for discussion and
21
     any other discussion on the annual report.
22
23
                     MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I believe that's
26
     John.
27
28
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, I just -- I don't
29
     know if this pertains or whatever, but the
30
     Transboundary river mining, I really think we should
31
     request or -- a report from them of the mining of what
32
     they've done to clean up after some of their
33
     strategies, or I mean issues that might have happened,
34
     like the watershed breaking or those type of things,
35
     actually see if they're taking care of business. I
36
     don't know if that could go in there but it would
37
     really, you know, be good to see if they're cleaning
38
     that up.
39
40
                     Happy day.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
43
     John. DeAnna, maybe this would be a good time to maybe
44
     just review a little bit for new Council members just
     exactly what kind of things we do put in the annual
45
46
     report and maybe that would fit in with what John is
47
     requesting there.
48
49
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair.
```

I'm trying to pull up my briefing. So for new Council members and a reminder to our seated Council members. Our annual reports go to the Federal Subsistence Board and this is something that is a mechanism through ANILCA that established the annual reports as a way to bring regional subsistence issues and needs to the Secretary's attention. So each of the 10 Regional Councils do an annual report. It provides us as a Council and opportunity to address the directors of each of the four Department of Interior agencies and Department of Agriculture Forest Service in their capacity as the Board.

Going to John's request, that's not something that the Board could help us out with. That's not something that Office of Subsistence Management, the Federal Subsistence Board would know about, what -- what mitigations or what clean up has happened on the transboundary mining -- on those rivers, the transboundary rivers.

So the Title VIII, Section .805, in ANILCA, it describes what we can put in the annual report and I'll read them off here real quick.

1. Identification of current and anticipated subsistence uses of fish and wildlife populations within the region.

2. Evaluation of current and anticipated subsistence needs for fish and wildlife populations from the public lands within the region.

3. A recommended strategy for the management of fish and wildlife populations within a region to accommodate subsistence uses and needs related to public lands.

4. Recommendations concerning policies, standards, guidelines and regulations to implement the strategy.

So those are the types of things that the Board has jurisdiction over and those are what we give them as far as what is region specific concerns that are on the minds of the Council members and their constituents.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,

```
0305
 1
    DeAnna. That was probably helpful to a lot of us to
    review that again, and it did raise a question in my
    mind. One of the topics in an annual report you stated
    was a recommendation on management strategies, I
 5
    believe was the wording.
 6
 7
                     MS. PERRY: Yeah, a recommended
 8
    strategy.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. So I guess
11
     I had this thought earlier and it's kind of come back
12
     to me, our paper that we're preparing on indigenous
13
    management, I mean that is essentially a suggestion for
14
    a management strategy. Do you think the annual report
15
    would be a good place to attach that letter to or would
    it be best as a stand-alone letter. Maybe other
16
17
    Council members have thoughts on that, I don't know.
18
19
                     MR. SCHROEDER: This is Bob, am I
20
     coming through.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
23
     Bob.
24
25
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, I suggest we keep
26
    it as a separate item. Annual reports have a -- kind
27
    of a different function and we want a little bit more
28
    direct action on this, I believe. So that would be my
29
     suggestion. Thank you.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
32
    Bob. I think I can see that -- I think I can see that
33
    point of view, you're probably right, okay. any other
34
    Council members.
35
36
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez, this
37
    is Patty Phillips.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
40
     Patty.
41
42
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. I just had a
43
     question about No. 7, co-management of subsistence
     resources. It says general topics discussed this past
44
    year were: food sovereignty -- my question is about
45
46
    food sovereignty, broadening the concepts of
47
    subsistence to food sovereignty. Is that to redefine
48
    subsistence to food sovereignty or to include food
49
     sovereignty, you know, in the context of subsistence.
50
```

0306 1 So broadening the concept of subsistence to include food sovereignty, you know, I'm not sure what you're 2 saying there. 4 5 MS. PERRY: Through the Chair. Member 6 Phillips. So during our work group conversations there 7 has been talk about broadening the word subsistence to also include the concept of food sovereignty. Many 9 felt -- you know some of the information, some of the 10 folks who have spoke to the work group, felt that 11 subsistence was not an adequate word or description and 12 would also like to see that encompass food security. 13 So perhaps I should insert the word, include, in 14 between in and food on that second bullet, so it would 15 read: 16 17 Broadening the concept of subsistence 18 to include food sovereignty. 19 20 Would that be a little clearer? 21 22 MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. Yes, it would, 23 thank you. 24 25 MS. PERRY: Okay. Thanks for the 26 question. 27 28 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you 29 Patty. I think that was a good amendment. And, yeah, I 30 just -- you know, we did -- I guess we do point out in 31 the annual report that indigenous management has been a 32 topic of the Council so we are bringing it to their --33 to the Board's attention as well through our annual 34 report. So like Bob said our other letter is probably 35 more powerful on its own, so that's good. 36 37 Any other comments or suggested 38 amendments to the annual report. 39 40 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal. 41 42 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal. 43 44 MR. CASIPIT: Yeah, the only suggestion 45 I have would be kind of tailing on to what you were 46 saying is that maybe somewhere in this, No. 7., that we 47 just end with saying something like -- or somewhere in 48 there the Board should expect a letter with more detail 49 and a -- and more specific suggestions for the Board's

```
0307
 1
     consideration. Something like that.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay, yeah,
 4
    because we've moved on, you know, from what we
 5
     originally started here in this topic of co-management,
 6
     now, we actually have a work product. So DeAnna you
 7
    might add that to the letter, saying that we now have
 8
     forwarded a more detailed letter, I guess, on our
 9
     efforts.
10
11
                     MS. PERRY: Okay.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that's a
14
    good idea. Any other suggestions.
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So
19
    deliberation on this motion put forward by Cal, we've
20
    got some suggested changes and I think, DeAnna, has
21
     agreed that she could add those to the annual report
22
    with just a few modifications. Are there any others
23
    before we offer the question on this annual report
24
     topic.
25
26
                     (No comments)
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. This does
29
     require a vote by the Council on the motion so if
30
     somebody would like to call for the question if we're
31
     ready.
32
33
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian. I
34
     call for the question.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
37
           The motion is to approve our annual report as
     presented to us in our Council book and I think I could
38
39
     do a roll -- excuse me, voice vote on this. All in
     favor of approving the annual report as presented to us
40
41
     say aye.
42
43
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
46
     there anybody opposed to approving the annual report as
47
     presented, say nay.
48
49
                     (No opposing votes)
```

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good, we could move on. Let me just look and make sure I don't miss anything again. It kind of looks like the next topic also has to do with the annual report and this is Item H, Federal Subsistence Board annual report reply process review and revision discussion, Council comments and feedback. This is to be presented by Tom Kron from OSM. Tom, are you with us this afternoon.

MR. KRON: I am, Mr. Chair, glad to be here. Members of the Council. Mr. Chair. For the record my name is Tom Kron and I am the Leadership Team representative for OSM for this meeting.

During the Federal Subsistence Board's August 2021 work session, the InterAgency Staff Committee briefed the Board on the annual report reply process and possible revisions to improve responsiveness to Regional Advisory Council concerns. This InterAgency Staff Committee briefing is part of the larger InterAgency Staff Committee effort to improve workload efficiencies within the Federal Subsistence Management Program. The Board reviewed and discussed the report reply process and agreed to forward this topic to the Councils for your input on the suggested revisions, including possible ideas of your own.

As you are aware, and DeAnna talked about, ANILCA Section .805 authorizes the Councils to prepare an annual report containing information related to important subsistence resource issues within each region.

Historically, the Board has strived to provide responses to every topic listed in annual reports regardless of its authority to address the issues raised. However, it is unclear if the Board responses on all annual report topics are helpful to the Councils. The InterAgency Staff Committee has suggested process revisions.

 To maintain annual reports as a way to inform the Board of local conditions, issues and needs, and

2. To propose letter writing as a way to request a response from the Board on topics of concerns.

To this end we ask you to think about what you want from the Board in response to your annual report. To guide your discussion I suggest that we open the floor for questions and Council discussion and then ask you to take action, depending on your comments to forward to the Board.

Before I go any further, I wanted to ask if Robbin, Greg, Glenn, Pat or Jill are on, and have any additional comments. I think I saw that Pat is still on the Teams briefings so maybe -- again, I'm not sure who's available from the ISC but it would be good to ask for their comments on this as well.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Tom. So you're available and some of the Staff to take questions on this and I guess I want to start off with one question. You say we can take action. I guess I'm a little unsure of what type of action the Council might take in relation to this. What are you looking for?

MR. KRON: Well, again.....

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I heard somebody} \\ \text{else responding.}$

MS. LAVINE: Hello, Mr. Chair, this is Robbin LaVine, I'm the Subsistence Policy Coordinator with OSM.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, go ahead,

Robbin.

MS. LAVINE: Yes, Mr. Chair, the Board is looking for Council input on a possible revision to the annual report reply process. Of course the Board recognizes the tremendous value of the annual reports from the Regional Advisory Councils and this is not —this continues to be an incredibly important part of our program and how the Board informs itself on

subsistence issues of importance in your region so we're not talking about changing the annual report process, what we're talking about or what the ISC is suggesting, and not the Board, this is coming from the ISC and the Board puts it to you, this -- the -- the suggestion is that if there are items of critical importance, that you write a letter requesting a reply. And I think you just did that in the item prior to this agenda item. You said, should we put this -- should we put this issue in our annual report or attach it to our report and then you said, no, we want this to be a letter because we want a detailed response from the Board. So this is basically what the Council -- or the ISC is suggesting.

Under the ISC scenario, the only change in the process is how the Board responds to issues in your annual report. In this particular scenario, the Board wouldn't respond -- wouldn't reply to your annual reports, other than to really express gratitude, but if there are issues that you want the Board to provide a substantive response for, that would happen in a letter writing process.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Robbin. Thank you, Tom. So maybe we should open it up to questions from other Council members, anybody have a question now.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: I don't actually have a question but I do have feedback to provide if that's helpful at this time.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Great, go ahead.

MS. NEEDHAM: So I think that what they're looking for is to figure out if there's a better way that the Board responds back to us and after being on the Council for many years and submitting a number of annual reports over the years, I think that in the words of an old friend of ours, Steve Kessler, from awhile ago, if we put something into our annual report and we have -- we get a better response back

from the Board when we have a specific ask. So the annual report actually kind of serves two purposes.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

One, to just inform the Board of the work that we are doing but that sometimes we do want a response back from them, and there are cases where we've asked them for information and maybe their response back was; we're aware but really we don't have jurisdiction or we're aware, there isn't -- you know, we don't see a path forward, that kind of thing. So -and then it sounds like now what they're trying to move towards is if we have a specific request we have to do a letter, which I think our Council, we do do a lot of letter writing already and I think that is a very effective tool that we utilize. I think my only concern with that and it's not enough of a concern to say that there should be some other way because if that becomes policy, yeah, I think it could really work, but my only concern is -- and I'm not talking about just at these telephonic meetings but the burden of letter writing and the time that it takes for us to get a letter written gets longer and longer all of the time. And it used to be, when we had our good friend, Steve Kessler, with us, you know, during our meetings we would have enough Staff that would actually be drafting those letters and we would see them by the end of our Council meeting and we could vote on them and send them off to the -- off through the system to be addressed and so -- I just want to make sure that everybody -- if we decide to go this route when we want a response back -- a meaningful response back from the Federal Subsistence Board, if we decide to go the letter writing route, how much longer sometimes that takes. And I just want to make sure we would have a lot of Staff support in that aspect. I think we put a lot of burden on our Coordinator to go back, wait for the transcripts, she has to read through all of our discussion to get our letters written and it kind of delays the process, a lot. But if we had better support I think it could be a pretty effective means.

I think that's just my thoughts and so I'll let other Council members weigh in on it. I think they're looking for feedback and that's my feedback, thank you, Mr. Chair.

45 46 47

48

44

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you very much, Cathy. Yeah, very valuable feedback. Hopefully points well taken there. I don't know if

```
0312
 1
     there's any response to that. It wasn't really a
     question but....
 2
 3
 4
                     MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Robbin.
 7
 8
                     MS. LAVINE: I just wanted to respond.
 9
     That's exactly what we're looking for. Thank you, Mr.
10
     Chair.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
13
     Robbin. Anybody else on the Council with a question or
14
     input on this.
15
16
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Don, Bob Schroeder.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. Go
19
     ahead, Bob.
20
21
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, I think we should
22
     keep things pretty much as is mainly because -- well,
23
     for quite a few reasons, but very pertinent is the
24
     annual report is a specific item mentioned in ANILCA
25
     and I don't think we want to just twiddle with that
26
    very much at this time. I think there could be
27
    unforeseen consequences there.
28
29
                     The other reason for keeping our
30
     current system with the annual report, is that there
31
     are quite a few items -- sometimes the annual reports
32
     would have eight or nine or 10 items because there were
33
     quite a few items of concern for Council members, and,
34
    frankly, it would be an undue burden and basically a
35
    modification of ANILCA to say that we should really
36
     channel those things into some other -- into a letter
37
     writing campaign.
38
39
                     And also I just really second what
40
     Cathy Needham said about the burden that that would put
41
     on the Council. The annual report can typically be
42
     raising some issue in a rather succinct and not
43
    particularly developed form, whereas letters, to make a
44
     point, we have to kind of lay out arguments and be a
45
     little bit more formal.
46
47
                     So that's my feeling, I suggest no
```

change is warranted at this time.

48

0313 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank.... 2 3 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:you, Bob. 6 Any -- go ahead. 7 8 MR. SMITH: Just a comment on Cathy. I 9 really like that idea. In the district, and even in 10 the Juneau commission, we would use a Google rollover 11 and then all the committee members are able to see what 12 the main writer in that Google drive that made it, that 13 everybody's able to read and then send comments for 14 that person to edit. We've done that before, where we 15 started that in the beginning of a meeting and have a 16 letter edited by the time we were finished and I think 17 that might be just a good strategy. I really like 18 that. 19 20 Happy day. 21 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. If we get 23 into letter writing we'll keep that in mind. And, you 24 know, the Council has done both, obviously. We put 25 items in the annual report, we also write letters. I 26 think maybe what Bob was suggesting was that we kind of 27 continue to do what we're doing in the annual report, that there will still be times when we recognize that a 28 29 letter would be more effective so. 30 31 Anybody else with a comment or a 32 question. 33 34 MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez, this 35 is Patty Phillips. 36 37 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I hear somebody, 38 couldn't tell who. 39 40 MS. PHILLIPS: Patty Phillips. 41 42 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, hi, Patty, go 43 ahead. 44 45 MS. PHILLIPS: So for example, you 46 know, I understand that this is a very, you know, the 47 whole RAC process is a careful, deliberative and 48 intentionally slow process. But, for example, in the 49 annual letter, No. 1, Transboundary River Mining, on 50

0314 1 the final paragraph we say -- the Council (indiscernible) for the transboundary mining concerns to the U.S. Department of State. So we're asking for something. So with this new policy change, would we 5 have to be writing a letter to ask that besides having 6 it in our annual letter or what? 7 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think that's a 9 good question, Patty, maybe somebody could address 10 that. 11 12 MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. 15 16 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 Through the Chair. Under this new process, your annual 18 reports would be a means of informing the Board on 19 important subsistence issues, conditions, most up to 20 date things emerging in your region, and as well as 21 expressing concerns, but it wouldn't be a place where 22 you request a response. So if you get to a point if 23 there is an issue that is -- that goes beyond informing 24 the Board, beyond sharing information, if you get to a 25 point where you request a response from the Board, then 26 that would go into a letter. 27 28 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 29 30 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Patty, do 31 you want to follow up on that. 32 33 MS. PHILLIPS: Well, yeah, so I mean it 34 looks like we're going to have to be writing letters about our -- our numbered items in our annual report. Because then we go into No. 2 and we say, you know, the 36 37 Council has concerns about information sharing between 38 ADF&G and the Federal Subsistence Management Program, 39 and we would like to know more about the current 40 information sharing policy and so here we are asking 41 for more information, and so we're going to have to do 42 another letter. If that's what I'm understanding. 43 44 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I see where you're 45 going with this, Patty. 46

MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, Don, could I get

47

48

49 50 back in there.

0315 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Bob. 2 3 MR. SCHROEDER: Yeah, I just picked up 4 -- since Patty didn't pick up her copy of ANILCA, if you read through Section .813, it's pretty clear on 5 what periodic reports are. And they're not talking 6 7 about simply about informing, they're talking about quite a few things there. You're supposed to be making -- Point 7 under .813, is, such other recommendations 9 10 the Secretary deems appropriate. So we're supposed to 11 do -- this is a piece of our job, so this basically 12 seems to be something of a rewrite of what's in ANILCA 13 and I just don't really feel that this is appropriate 14 to do this. 15 16 And as Patty said it takes a lot of 17 effort for us to write letters. We meet twice a year. 18 We have limited Staff availability at this moment and ${\tt I}$ 19 -- I frankly think we just wouldn't get anywhere near 20 as much done. 21 22 So, thank you. 23 24 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. That.... 25 26 MR. KRON: Mr. Chair. 27 28 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Tom. 29 30 MR. KRON: Yeah, just to respond to Bob 31 and Patty, you know, again there's no effort to rewrite 32 ANILCA, you know, that's not in our purview anyway. 33 But it's just a request to ask the Councils what their 34 thoughts are on the way things are set up to work right 35 now and to ask you if there are things you think could 36 be done better relative to the annual report, annual 37 report reply process. And Bob had said earlier don't 38 change it, it works, and that -- that could very well 39 be where things go. But, anyway, we're not -- we're 40 not actually proposing changes, we're just asking you 41 -- putting out some issues to be considered and asking 42 you what you think. 43 44

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Tom. That's a good clarification of where we are. Any other questions or comments from Council members.

49 50

45 46

47

```
0316
 1
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
 4
 5
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
 6
     think I wanted to add one other consideration or
 7
     response back to Staff regarding this topic. And that
     is, when I think about how our annual report and our
 8
 9
     communications with the Federal Subsistence Board go,
10
     you know, as we saw with all of the work that we did
11
    with the Roadless Rule, we actually refer to our annual
     report outside of just communicating with the Federal
12
13
     Subsistence Board. I know that I have provided copies
14
    of past annual reports that we have approved to other
15
     organizations that are interested in topics or that are
16
     like working on topics that we have discussed and at
17
     the same time also....
18
19
                     (Teleconference interference -
20
    participants not muted)
21
22
                     MS. NEEDHAM:
                                  .....like what is the
    Federal Board's response back so like -- like I kind of
23
24
     agree with the fact that the way that the Regional
25
     Advisory -- the Southeast Regional Advisory Council,
26
    how we do our business, and what we include in our
27
     annual report and the letter writing we do is really
28
    working for us because we've found that niche and I
29
    wouldn't want any part of that taken away from us
30
    because I think we've worked really hard on trying to
31
    make sure that we have good communications when we
32
    interact with the Federal Subsistence Board but also a
33
    very strong, you know, public record for when our
34
    constituents need to find and utilize points that have
35
    been brought to our attention and that we have
36
    captured.
37
38
                     So I'll leave it at that, thank you,
39
    Mr. Chair.
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy.
41
42
     anybody else on the Council want to weigh in on this.
43
44
                     MS. PHILLIPS: This is Patty again, Mr.
45
     Chair.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
48
     Patty.
49
```

0317 1 MS. PHILLIPS: So I would suggest that our annual report, that (indiscernible - breaking up) I 2 don't think you're trying to rewrite ANILCA -- I don't think OSM is trying to rewrite, you know, that 5 provision of ANILCA but -- and you're trying to find a better way, you know, how can -- how can the Federal 6 7 Subsistence Board better respond to our concerns. So in our annual report, you know, we should take our 9 asks, our requests and put them at the end of our bullet points, you know, like on No. 1, the Council 10 11 requests the Board forward these transboundary mines to 12 the U.S. Department of State, make that the end of our 13 bullet point. On No. 2. the Council would like to know 14 more about the current information sharing policy 15 between the State and the FSMP, put that at the --16 well, that's already at the end but, you know, we can 17 do a better job of, okay, this is the concern and this 18 is what we want. I mean right now I mean are they 19 having to do problem-solving to figure out what we're 20 asking? Anyways, on TEK, the Council would like to see 21 this developed for insuring local knowledge into OSM 22 recommendations. So, you know, I mean that's 23 (indiscernible - breaking up) more clearly 24 (indiscernible - breaking up) everything else we're 25 putting into our report. 26 27 Thank you. 28 29 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 30 Patty. All good suggestions. Anybody else. 31 32 (No comments) 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So, Tom, I 34 35 don't know if you want anything more from the Council 36 other than, you know, a record here of our discussion 37 for you to consider or I don't know if you want a more 38 formal action. I hate to say it but are you looking for a letter.... 39 40 41 (Laughter) 42 43 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:or is this 44 adequate? 45 MR. KRON: Mr. Chair, I think this is 46 47 very adequate. I would ask Robbin if she's got any 48 additional thoughts but I think you've had a number of 49 your Council members weigh in with their perspectives.

I think, you know, we've heard what you think and we understand.

2 3 4

Robbin, what do you think.

5 6

7

9

10

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair, this is Robbin. I wholeheartedly agree with Tom, this is exactly what we're looking for, really rich discussion and sharing your thoughts with us. And I think if you summarize the discussion here that will be perfect and exactly what we're looking for.

11 12 13

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

14 15

16

17

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Robbin. Thank you, Tom. Unless anybody else on the Council has anything else to add, I think we could wrap this topic up.

18 19 20

(No comments)

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, it's 5:00 o'clock. I think we can recess for today. We'll come back with public testimony tomorrow morning and coincidentally enough right after we do the public testimony our next item is receiving public testimony protocol, quided discussion, so that might be helpful. Yeah, so we've got a few more new business items for tomorrow and then we'll go into agency reports. We'll hear from Tlingit and Haida Central Council for sure, that'll be an interesting discussion. And we're going to wrap up a few items, finalize any proposal wording that Council members come up with this evening and we also have to present a -- I guess we didn't have this discussion with the full Council, but the working group on indigenous management is going to, I guess the right term would be, consolidate, maybe our bullet points that we discussed this morning, get them in a more concise form and be able to present them to the Council tomorrow, take any last comments or suggestions on those bullet points and then we can take those and put them into a draft letter -- I won't say a draft letter, I guess the letter will have to be drafted after the -after the Council meeting adjourns but hopefully we'll have a good public record on what should go into that letter and that can be finalized and sent after the meeting closes.

47 48 49

So keep that in mind for tomorrow.

```
0319
 1
                     And....
 2
 3
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....anybody else
 6
     on the Council got something to add.
 7
 8
                     (No comments)
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Did I hear
11
     somebody else.
12
13
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
16
    Cathy.
17
18
                     MS. NEEDHAM: For those that were going
19
     to work this evening on the Federal fisheries
20
     proposals, if you could stay on -- or be on Teams just
21
     after this meeting so we can figure out what we're
     doing since we didn't set a time and all of that, that
22
23
     would be great.
24
25
                     And I don't know if this is the right
26
    time to add this or anything, but even if we don't do
27
     anything, there is a Board of Game call for proposals
28
     that's opened, and it closes in April, and I don't know
29
     if we wanted to consider any Board of Game proposals
30
    but I thought at least Council members should know that
31
     that period is open if they aren't aware of it already.
32
33
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
36
     Cathy. Yeah, I guess I'm aware of that so we'll keep
37
     that in mind. Okay, anything else from other Council
38
     members we need to consider this evening, or tonight
39
    before we reconvene tomorrow morning.
40
41
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, if I could
42
     interject, this is DeAnna.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna.
45
46
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, I just wanted to let
47
    Council members know that I have forwarded Bob's
48
     updated bullet points for the indigenous management
49
     conversation tomorrow. I'm working with OSM to get
50
```

that version put on the website so if folks have a chance to look that over tonight and be ready for our discussion tomorrow, it is on its way to you. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Just to clarify, DeAnna, are you sending it like an email to like Council members but also putting it on the website? MS. PERRY: Yes. Because it's being distributed to Council members, this is the cleaned up version that Bob is okay with public seeing it so, yes, we're posting it on the website. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. I'm glad that could happen on short notice, so progress. Okay, if there's nothing else, last chance. I know this work group wants to get together here shortly for talking about proposals so I will recess the meeting until 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. (Off record) (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)

0321			
1	CERT	IFICATE	
2			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
4)ss.	
5 6	STATE OF ALASKA)	
7	T Salana A Hila	, Notary Public in and for the	
8		ter for Computer Matrix Court	
9	Reporters, LLC, do hereby	-	
10	nepercers, and neres;		
11	THAT the foregoing	g pages numbered through	
12		and correct Transcript of the	
13	SOUTHEAST FEDERAL SUBSIST	ENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL	
14		electronically on the 23rd day	
15	of March;		
16	_		
17		transcript is a true and	
18 19	correct transcript request		
20	thereafter transcribed by reduced to print to the be		
21	ability;	est of our knowledge and	
22	dolling,		
23	THAT I am	not an employee, attorney, or	
24	party interested in any wa		
25			
26		Anchorage, Alaska, this 30th	
27	DATED at 2 day of April 2022.	Anchorage, Alaska, this 30th	
27 28		Anchorage, Alaska, this 30th	
27 28 29		Anchorage, Alaska, this 30th	
27 28 29 30	day of April 2022.		
27 28 29 30 31	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile	
27 28 29 30	day of April 2022.		
27 28 29 30 31 32	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	day of April 2022.	alena A. Hile otary Public, State of Alaska	